

Jean-Paul Salameh

List of Publications by Year in Descending Order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/4361390/jean-paul-salameh-publications-by-year.pdf>

Version: 2024-04-26

This document has been generated based on the publications and citations recorded by exaly.com. For the latest version of this publication list, visit the link given above.

The third column is the impact factor (IF) of the journal, and the fourth column is the number of citations of the article.

26
papers

1,506
citations

12
h-index

30
g-index

30
ext. papers

2,235
ext. citations

8.5
avg, IF

4.35
L-index

#	Paper	IF	Citations
26	Impact of Reference Standard on CT, MRI, and Contrast-enhanced US LI-RADS Diagnosis of Hepatocellular Carcinoma: A Meta-Analysis.. <i>Radiology</i> , 2022 , 212340	20.5	0
25	CT/MRI and CEUS LI-RADS Major Features Association with Hepatocellular Carcinoma: Individual Patient Data Meta-Analysis. <i>Radiology</i> , 2021 , 211244	20.5	4
24	Preferred reporting items for journal and conference abstracts of systematic reviews and meta-analyses of diagnostic test accuracy studies (PRISMA-DTA for Abstracts): checklist, explanation, and elaboration. <i>BMJ, The</i> , 2021 , 372, n265	5.9	6
23	MRI vs. CT for the Detection of Liver Metastases in Patients With Pancreatic Carcinoma: A Comparative Diagnostic Test Accuracy Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. <i>Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging</i> , 2021 , 53, 38-48	5.6	13
22	Impact of PI-RADS Category 3 lesions on the diagnostic accuracy of MRI for detecting prostate cancer and the prevalence of prostate cancer within each PI-RADS category: A systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>British Journal of Radiology</i> , 2021 , 94, 20191050	3.4	2
21	Reporting Bias in Imaging Diagnostic Test Accuracy Studies: Are Studies With Positive Conclusions or Titles Submitted and Published Faster?. <i>American Journal of Roentgenology</i> , 2021 , 216, 225-232	5.4	4
20	Overinterpretation of Research Findings: Evaluation of "Spin" in Systematic Reviews of Diagnostic Accuracy Studies in High-Impact Factor Journals. <i>Clinical Chemistry</i> , 2020 , 66, 915-924	5.5	2
19	Searching practices and inclusion of unpublished studies in systematic reviews of diagnostic accuracy. <i>Research Synthesis Methods</i> , 2020 , 11, 343-353	7.2	5
18	Diagnostic accuracy of dual-energy computed tomography (DECT) to differentiate uric acid from non-uric acid calculi: systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>European Radiology</i> , 2020 , 30, 2791-2801	8	12
17	Publication bias in diagnostic imaging: conference abstracts with positive conclusions are more likely to be published. <i>European Radiology</i> , 2020 , 30, 2964-2972	8	8
16	Diagnostic accuracy of three ultrasonography strategies for deep vein thrombosis of the lower extremity: A systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2020 , 15, e0228788	3.7	10
15	Digital breast tomosynthesis for breast cancer detection: a diagnostic test accuracy systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>European Radiology</i> , 2020 , 30, 2058-2071	8	21
14	Safety of Intrathecal Administration of Gadolinium-based Contrast Agents: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. <i>Radiology</i> , 2020 , 297, 75-83	20.5	21
13	Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis of diagnostic test accuracy studies (PRISMA-DTA): explanation, elaboration, and checklist. <i>BMJ, The</i> , 2020 , 370, m2632	5.9	78
12	Reporting Guidelines for Imaging Research. <i>Seminars in Nuclear Medicine</i> , 2019 , 49, 121-135	5.4	2
11	Diagnostic Accuracy of Dual-Energy CT for Evaluation of Renal Masses: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. <i>American Journal of Roentgenology</i> , 2019 , 212, W100-W105	5.4	20
10	Publication Bias: Association of Diagnostic Accuracy in Radiology Conference Abstracts with Full-Text Publication. <i>Radiology</i> , 2019 , 292, 120-126	20.5	7

9	Selective Citation Practices in Imaging Research: Are Diagnostic Accuracy Studies With Positive Titles and Conclusions Cited More Often?. <i>American Journal of Roentgenology</i> , 2019 , 213, 397-403	5.4	9
8	Comparative reviews of diagnostic test accuracy in imaging research: evaluation of current practices. <i>European Radiology</i> , 2019 , 29, 5386-5394	8	15
7	Biparametric vs multiparametric prostate magnetic resonance imaging for the detection of prostate cancer in treatment-naïve patients: a diagnostic test accuracy systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>BJU International</i> , 2019 , 124, 209-220	5.6	49
6	Best practices for MRI systematic reviews and meta-analyses. <i>Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging</i> , 2019 , 49, e51-e64	5.6	18
5	Accuracy of the Liver Imaging Reporting and Data System in Computed Tomography and Magnetic Resonance Image Analysis of Hepatocellular Carcinoma or Overall Malignancy-A Systematic Review. <i>Gastroenterology</i> , 2019 , 156, 976-986	13.3	132
4	Completeness of Reporting of Systematic Reviews of Diagnostic Test Accuracy Based on the PRISMA-DTA Reporting Guideline. <i>Clinical Chemistry</i> , 2019 , 65, 291-301	5.5	17
3	Preferred Reporting Items for a Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of Diagnostic Test Accuracy Studies: The PRISMA-DTA Statement. <i>JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association</i> , 2018 , 319, 388-396	27.4	1006
2	Diagnostic accuracy of magnetic resonance imaging for tumour staging of bladder cancer: systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>BJU International</i> , 2018 , 122, 744-753	5.6	41
1	An analysis of COVID-19 article dissemination by Twitter compared to citation rates		4