## Jean-Paul Salameh

## List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/4361390/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

28 papers 2,874 citations

16 h-index 27 g-index

30 all docs

30 does citations

30 times ranked

4740 citing authors

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Preferred Reporting Items for a Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of Diagnostic Test Accuracy Studies. JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association, 2018, 319, 388.	3.8	1,783
2	Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis of diagnostic test accuracy studies (PRISMA-DTA): explanation, elaboration, and checklist. BMJ, The, 2020, 370, m2632.	3.0	262
3	Accuracy of the Liver Imaging Reporting and Data System in Computed Tomography and Magnetic Resonance Image Analysis of Hepatocellular Carcinoma or Overall Malignancy—A Systematic Review. Gastroenterology, 2019, 156, 976-986.	0.6	221
4	Biparametric vs multiparametric prostate magnetic resonance imaging for the detection of prostate cancer in treatmentâ€naÃ⁻ve patients: a diagnostic test accuracy systematic review and metaâ€analysis. BJU International, 2019, 124, 209-220.	1.3	78
5	Diagnostic accuracy of magnetic resonance imaging for tumour staging of bladder cancer: systematic review and metaâ€analysis. BJU International, 2018, 122, 744-753.	1.3	60
6	Safety of Intrathecal Administration of Gadolinium-based Contrast Agents: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Radiology, 2020, 297, 75-83.	3.6	45
7	Digital breast tomosynthesis for breast cancer detection: a diagnostic test accuracy systematic review and meta-analysis. European Radiology, 2020, 30, 2058-2071.	2.3	41
8	Completeness of Reporting of Systematic Reviews of Diagnostic Test Accuracy Based on the PRISMA-DTA Reporting Guideline. Clinical Chemistry, 2019, 65, 291-301.	1.5	33
9	Diagnostic accuracy of dual-energy computed tomography (DECT) to differentiate uric acid from non-uric acid calculi: systematic review and meta-analysis. European Radiology, 2020, 30, 2791-2801.	2.3	32
10	CT/MRI and CEUS LI-RADS Major Features Association with Hepatocellular Carcinoma: Individual Patient Data Meta-Analysis. Radiology, 2022, 302, 326-335.	3.6	32
11	Diagnostic Accuracy of Dual-Energy CT for Evaluation of Renal Masses: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2019, 212, W100-W105.	1.0	31
12	Preferred reporting items for journal and conference abstracts of systematic reviews and meta-analyses of diagnostic test accuracy studies (PRISMA-DTA for Abstracts): checklist, explanation, and elaboration. BMJ, The, 2021, 372, n265.	3.0	30
13	MRI vs. CT for the Detection of Liver Metastases in Patients With Pancreatic Carcinoma: A Comparative Diagnostic Test Accuracy Systematic Review and Metaâ€Analysis. Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging, 2021, 53, 38-48.	1.9	29
14	Best practices for MRI systematic reviews and metaâ€analyses. Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging, 2019, 49, e51-e64.	1.9	28
15	Publication bias in diagnostic imaging: conference abstracts with positive conclusions are more likely to be published. European Radiology, 2020, 30, 2964-2972.	2.3	25
16	Diagnostic accuracy of three ultrasonography strategies for deep vein thrombosis of the lower extremity: A systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS ONE, 2020, 15, e0228788.	1.1	20
17	Comparative reviews of diagnostic test accuracy in imaging research: evaluation of current practices. European Radiology, 2019, 29, 5386-5394.	2.3	19
18	Impact of PI-RADS Category 3 lesions on the diagnostic accuracy of MRI for detecting prostate cancer and the prevalence of prostate cancer within each PI-RADS category: A systematic review and meta-analysis. British Journal of Radiology, 2021, 94, 20191050.	1.0	16

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Overinterpretation of Research Findings: Evaluation of "Spin―in Systematic Reviews of Diagnostic Accuracy Studies in High–Impact Factor Journals. Clinical Chemistry, 2020, 66, 915-924.	1.5	15
20	Impact of Reference Standard on CT, MRI, and Contrast-enhanced US LI-RADS Diagnosis of Hepatocellular Carcinoma: A Meta-Analysis. Radiology, 2022, 303, 544-545.	3.6	15
21	Searching practices and inclusion of unpublished studies in systematic reviews of diagnostic accuracy. Research Synthesis Methods, 2020, 11, 343-353.	4.2	14
22	Selective Citation Practices in Imaging Research: Are Diagnostic Accuracy Studies With Positive Titles and Conclusions Cited More Often?. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2019, 213, 397-403.	1.0	13
23	Publication Bias: Association of Diagnostic Accuracy in Radiology Conference Abstracts with Full-Text Publication. Radiology, 2019, 292, 120-126.	3.6	12
24	Reporting Bias in Imaging Diagnostic Test Accuracy Studies: Are Studies With Positive Conclusions or Titles Submitted and Published Faster?. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2021, 216, 225-232.	1.0	9
25	Reporting Guidelines for Imaging Research. Seminars in Nuclear Medicine, 2019, 49, 121-135.	2.5	4
26	Completeness of reporting for systematic reviews of point-of-care ultrasound: a meta-research study. BMJ Evidence-Based Medicine, 2021, 26, 185-186.	1.7	2
27	Association of Accuracy, Conclusions, and Reporting Completeness With Acceptance by Radiology Conferences and Journals. Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging, 2022, , .	1.9	1
28	Evaluating the Impact of Peer Review on the Completeness of Reporting in Imaging Diagnostic Test Accuracy Research. Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging, 2022, 56, 680-690.	1.9	0