## Dalia D'amato

## List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/4307345/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

361413 361022 2,209 36 20 35 citations h-index g-index papers 39 39 39 2725 docs citations times ranked citing authors all docs

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Transdisciplinary research in natural resources management: Towards an integrative and transformative use of coâ€concepts. Sustainable Development, 2022, 30, 309-325.	12.5	28
2	Public perceptions of using forests to fuel the European bioeconomy: Findings from eight university cities. Forest Policy and Economics, 2022, 140, 102749.	3.4	3
3	Sustainability Competences and Pedagogical Approaches at the University of Helsinki. Strategies for Sustainability, 2021, , 47-62.	0.3	O
4	Sustainability Narratives as Transformative Solution Pathways: Zooming in on the Circular Economy. Circular Economy and Sustainability, $2021, 1, 231$ .	5 <b>.</b> 5	41
5	Managerial Risk Perceptions of Corporate Social Responsibility Disclosure: Evidence from the Forestry Sector in China. Sustainability, 2021, 13, 6811.	3.2	7
6	Integrating the green economy, circular economy and bioeconomy in a strategic sustainability framework. Ecological Economics, 2021, 188, 107143.	5.7	120
7	Towards sustainability? Forest-based circular bioeconomy business models in Finnish SMEs. Forest Policy and Economics, 2020, 110, 101848.	3.4	154
8	Forest-based circular bioeconomy: matching sustainability challenges and novel business opportunities?. Forest Policy and Economics, 2020, 110, 102041.	3.4	30
9	A review of LCA assessments of forest-based bioeconomy products and processes under an ecosystem services perspective. Science of the Total Environment, 2020, 706, 135859.	8.0	50
10	Reviewing the interface of bioeconomy and ecosystem service research. Ambio, 2020, 49, 1878-1896.	5 <b>.</b> 5	31
11	Bioeconomy imaginaries: A review of forest-related social science literature. Ambio, 2020, 49, 1860-1877.	5.5	39
12	The role of sustainability standards in the uptake of bio-based chemicals. Current Opinion in Green and Sustainable Chemistry, 2019, 19, 45-49.	5.9	15
13	Circular, Green, and Bio Economy: How Do Companies in Land-Use Intensive Sectors Align with Sustainability Concepts?. Ecological Economics, 2019, 158, 116-133.	5.7	112
14	" <i>Being one of the boys</i> à€• perspectives from female forest industry leaders on gender diversity and the future of Nordic forest-based bioeconomy. Scandinavian Journal of Forest Research, 2019, 34, 521-528.	1.4	18
15	Thinking green, circular or bio: Eliciting researchers' perspectives on a sustainable economy with Q method. Journal of Cleaner Production, 2019, 230, 460-476.	9.3	61
16	Ecosystem Services in the Service-Dominant Logic Framework. Sitra, 2019, , 21-47.	0.1	1
17	Not so biocentric – Environmental benefits and harm associated with the acceptance of forest management objectives by future environmental professionals. Ecosystem Services, 2018, 29, 128-136.	5.4	13
18	Managerial Views of Corporate Impacts and Dependencies on Ecosystem Services: A Case of International and Domestic Forestry Companies in China. Journal of Business Ethics, 2018, 150, 1011-1028.	6.0	18

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Where communities intermingle, diversity grows – The evolution of topics in ecosystem service research. PLoS ONE, 2018, 13, e0204749.	2.5	40
20	A systematic review of the socio-economic impacts of large-scale tree plantations, worldwide. Global Environmental Change, 2018, 53, 90-103.	7.8	118
21	Effects of industrial plantations on ecosystem services and livelihoods: Perspectives of rural communities in China. Land Use Policy, 2017, 63, 266-278.	5.6	28
22	Ecosystem services classification: A systems ecology perspective of the cascade framework. Ecological Indicators, 2017, 74, 392-402.	6.3	321
23	Green, circular, bio economy: A comparative analysis of sustainability avenues. Journal of Cleaner Production, 2017, 168, 716-734.	9.3	650
24	A Descriptive Plantation Typology and Coding System to Aid the Analysis of Ecological and Socio-Economic Outcomes. Current Forestry Reports, 2017, 3, 296-307.	7.4	3
25	Factors Influencing Levels of CSR Disclosure by Forestry Companies in China. Sustainability, 2017, 9, 1800.	3.2	18
26	The Green Economy: Pragmatism or Revolution? Perceptions of Young Researchers on Social Ecological Transformation. Environmental Values, 2017, 26, 413-435.	1.2	12
27	Forest Company Dependencies and Impacts on Ecosystem Services: Expert Perceptions from China. Forests, 2017, 8, 134.	2.1	4
28	Forest ecosystem services, corporate sustainability and local livelihoods in industrial plantations of China: building conceptual awareness on the interlinkages. International Forestry Review, 2017, 19, 170-182.	0.6	6
29	Monetary valuation of forest ecosystem services in China: A literature review and identification of future research needs. Ecological Economics, 2016, 121, 75-84.	5 <b>.</b> 7	48
30	An ecosystem service-dominant logic? $\hat{a} \in \hat{a}$ integrating the ecosystem service approach and the service-dominant logic. Journal of Cleaner Production, 2016, 124, 51-64.	9.3	44
31	Linking forest ecosystem services to corporate sustainability disclosure: A conceptual analysis. Ecosystem Services, 2015, 14, 170-178.	5.4	32
32	Saproxylic beetles in three relict beech forests of central Italy: Analysis of environmental parameters and implications for forest management. Forest Ecology and Management, 2014, 328, 229-244.	3.2	38
33	Ecosystem services-based SWOT analysis of protected areas for conservation strategies. Journal of Environmental Management, 2014, 146, 543-551.	7.8	64
34	Using long-term ecosystem service and biodiversity data to study the impacts and adaptation options in response to climate change: insights from the global ILTER sites network. Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability, 2013, 5, 53-66.	6.3	39
35	Corporate social responsibility in wood-based panel industry: main strategies from four enterprises in China. Forest Products Journal, 0, , .	0.4	0
36	Private Governance of Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services: Findings From Nordic Forest Companies. Frontiers in Sustainability, 0, 3, .	2.6	0

3