

# Jonathan Banks

## List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/4258063/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

32  
papers

827  
citations

430874  
18  
h-index

526287  
27  
g-index

32  
all docs

32  
docs citations

32  
times ranked

1299  
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Providing men at risk of suicide with emotional support and advice with employment, housing and financial difficulties: a qualitative evaluation of the Hope service. <i>Journal of Mental Health</i> , 2024, 33, 3-13.	1.9	0
2	Unintended consequences of online consultations: a qualitative study in UK primary care. <i>British Journal of General Practice</i> , 2022, 72, e128-e137.	1.4	38
3	Preventing male suicide through a psychosocial intervention that provides psychological support and tackles financial difficulties: a mixed method evaluation. <i>BMC Psychiatry</i> , 2022, 22, 333.	2.6	2
4	Continuous, risk-based, consultation peer review in out-of-hours general practice: a qualitative interview study of the benefits and limitations. <i>British Journal of General Practice</i> , 2021, 71, e797-e805.	1.4	3
5	The introduction of a safety checklist in two UK hospital emergency departments: A qualitative study of implementation and staff use. <i>Journal of Clinical Nursing</i> , 2020, 29, 1267-1275.	3.0	3
6	Integrating care between an NHS hospital, a community provider and the role of commissioning: the experience of developing an integrated respiratory service. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2020, 10, e040267.	1.9	2
7	Raised inflammatory markers as a predictor of one-year mortality: a cohort study in primary care in the UK using electronic health record data. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2020, 10, e036027.	1.9	0
8	Raised inflammatory markers as a predictor of one-year mortality: a cohort study in primary care in the UK using electronic health record data. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2020, 10, e036027.	1.9	5
9	Added value and cascade effects of inflammatory marker tests in UK primary care: a cohort study from the Clinical Practice Research Datalink. <i>British Journal of General Practice</i> , 2019, 69, e470-e478.	1.4	23
10	Use of multiple inflammatory marker tests in primary care: using Clinical Practice Research Datalink to evaluate accuracy. <i>British Journal of General Practice</i> , 2019, 69, e462-e469.	1.4	19
11	Predictive value of inflammatory markers for cancer diagnosis in primary care: a prospective cohort study using electronic health records. <i>British Journal of Cancer</i> , 2019, 120, 1045-1051.	6.4	55
12	GP and parent dissonance about the assessment and treatment of childhood eczema in primary care: a qualitative study. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2018, 8, e019633.	1.9	22
13	Implementing online consultations in primary care: a mixed-method evaluation extending normalisation process theory through service co-production. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2018, 8, e019966.	1.9	67
14	Use of an electronic consultation system in primary care: a qualitative interview study. <i>British Journal of General Practice</i> , 2018, 68, e1-e8.	1.4	76
15	Using the National Early Warning Score (NEWS) outside acute hospital settings: a qualitative study of staff experiences in the West of England. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2018, 8, e022528.	1.9	28
16	What do tests do for doctors? A qualitative study of blood testing in UK primary care. <i>Family Practice</i> , 2017, 34, 735-739.	1.9	17
17	Understanding symptom appraisal and help-seeking in people with symptoms suggestive of pancreatic cancer: a qualitative study. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2017, 7, e015682.	1.9	25
18	The researchers' role in knowledge translation: a realist evaluation of the development and implementation of diagnostic pathways for cancer in two United Kingdom localities. <i>Health Research Policy and Systems</i> , 2017, 15, 103.	2.8	3

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Using willingness-to-pay to establish patient preferences for cancer testing in primary care. BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making, 2016, 16, 105.	3.0	14
20	The Discovery Programme and its impact on cancer diagnostics. British Journal of Hospital Medicine (London, England: 2005), 2015, 76, 558-559.	0.5	0
21	Ethnic differences in patients' preferences for prostate cancer investigation: a vignette-based survey in primary care. British Journal of General Practice, 2015, 65, e161-e170.	1.4	17
22	Changing eating behaviours to treat childhood obesity in the community using Mandolean: the Community Mandolean randomised controlled trial (ComMando) – a pilot study. Health Technology Assessment, 2014, 18, 1-75.	2.8	37
23	Responding to symptoms suggestive of lung cancer: a qualitative interview study. BMJ Open Respiratory Research, 2014, 1, e000067.	3.0	74
24	Identifying families' reasons for engaging or not engaging with childhood obesity services. Journal of Child Health Care, 2014, 18, 101-110.	1.4	33
25	Preferences for cancer investigation: a vignette-based study of primary-care attendees. Lancet Oncology, The, 2014, 15, 232-240.	10.7	101
26	Decision making and referral from primary care for possible lung and colorectal cancer: a qualitative study of patients' experiences. British Journal of General Practice, 2014, 64, e775-e782.	1.4	20
27	Is healthy eating for obese children necessarily more costly for families?. British Journal of General Practice, 2012, 62, e1-e5.	1.4	22
28	Evaluating the transferability of a hospital-based childhood obesity clinic to primary care: a randomised controlled trial. British Journal of General Practice, 2012, 62, e6-e12.	1.4	33
29	Barriers engaging families and GPs in childhood weight management strategies. British Journal of General Practice, 2011, 61, e492-e497.	1.4	19
30	Improving access to primary care: Eight case studies of introducing Advanced Access in England. Journal of Health Services Research and Policy, 2008, 13, 33-39.	1.7	24
31	The community pharmacy and discursive complexity: a qualitative study of interaction between counter assistants and customers. Health and Social Care in the Community, 2007, 15, 313-321.	1.6	25
32	Implementation of Advanced Access in general practice: postal survey of practices. British Journal of General Practice, 2006, 56, 918-23.	1.4	20