

# Christopher L Cummings

## List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/4234678/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

26  
papers

420  
citations

840119

11  
h-index

752256

20  
g-index

26  
all docs

26  
docs citations

26  
times ranked

484  
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	The Media's Taste for Gene-Edited Food: Comparing Media Portrayals within US and European Regulatory Environments. <i>Science Technology and Human Values</i> , 2023, 48, 1393-1420.	1.7	5
2	Gene-Edited Foods and the Public: The First Representative Survey Study of the United States. <i>Environmental Communication</i> , 2023, 17, 965-974.	1.2	7
3	Informing environmental health and risk priorities through local outreach and extension. <i>Environment Systems and Decisions</i> , 2022, 42, 388-401.	1.9	3
4	Secondary Risk Theory: Validation of a Novel Model of Protection Motivation. <i>Risk Analysis</i> , 2021, 41, 204-220.	1.5	32
5	Vaccine Hesitancy and Secondary Risks. <i>Risk, Systems and Decisions</i> , 2021, , 89-105.	0.5	2
6	COVID-19: how a self-monitoring checklist can empower early intervention and slow disease progression. <i>Environment Systems and Decisions</i> , 2021, 41, 1-3.	1.9	5
7	Barriers to responsible innovation of nanotechnology applications in food and agriculture: A study of US experts and developers. <i>NanoImpact</i> , 2021, 23, 100326.	2.4	18
8	Can Comorbidity Data Explain Cross-State and Cross-National Difference in COVID-19 Death Rates?. <i>Risk Management and Healthcare Policy</i> , 2021, Volume 14, 2877-2885.	1.2	5
9	Responsible innovation of nano-agrifoods: Insights and views from U.S. stakeholders. <i>NanoImpact</i> , 2021, 24, 100365.	2.4	8
10	Responsible Innovation Definitions, Practices, and Motivations from Nanotechnology Researchers in Food and Agriculture. <i>NanoEthics</i> , 2021, 15, 229-243.	0.5	11
11	A typology of beliefs and misperceptions about the influenza disease and vaccine among older adults in Singapore. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2020, 15, e0232472.	1.1	12
12	Synthetic Biology and Risk Regulation: The Case of Singapore. <i>Risk, Systems and Decisions</i> , 2020, , 297-312.	0.5	2
13	Synthetic Biology: Perspectives on Risk Analysis, Governance, Communication, and ELSI. <i>Risk, Systems and Decisions</i> , 2020, , 1-18.	0.5	1
14	“Influenza” versus “Flu”: Do Different Medical Terms Affect Vaccination Intention?. <i>Journal of Health Communication</i> , 2019, 24, 456-460.	1.2	11
15	Anticipating risks, governance needs, and public perceptions of de-extinction. <i>Journal of Responsible Innovation</i> , 2019, 6, 211-231.	2.3	11
16	Label it or ban it? Public perceptions of nano-food labels and propositions for banning nano-food applications. <i>Journal of Nanoparticle Research</i> , 2018, 20, 1.	0.8	20
17	Climate change and technology: examining opinion formation of geoengineering. <i>Environment Systems and Decisions</i> , 2018, 38, 208-215.	1.9	11
18	A decision analytic model to guide early-stage government regulatory action: Applications for synthetic biology. <i>Regulation and Governance</i> , 2018, 12, 88-100.	1.9	33

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Comparative, collaborative, and integrative risk governance for emerging technologies. <i>Environment Systems and Decisions</i> , 2018, 38, 170-176.	1.9	81
20	Comprehension of Products and Messages. , 2017, , 153-173.		6
21	Societal Risk Evaluation Scheme (SRES): Scenario-Based Multi-Criteria Evaluation of Synthetic Biology Applications. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2017, 12, e0168564.	1.1	32
22	Investigating factors influencing consumer willingness to buy GM food and nano-food. <i>Journal of Nanoparticle Research</i> , 2015, 17, 1.	0.8	39
23	The multi-facets of sustainable nanotechnology â€“ Lessons from a nanosafety symposium. <i>Nanotoxicology</i> , 2015, 9, 404-406.	1.6	7
24	Influences of individual-level characteristics on risk perceptions to various categories of environmental health and safety risks. <i>Journal of Risk Research</i> , 2013, 16, 1277-1295.	1.4	20
25	Comparing nanoparticle risk perceptions to other known EHS risks. <i>Journal of Nanoparticle Research</i> , 2011, 13, 3089-3099.	0.8	23
26	Characteristics and classification of nanoparticles: Expert Delphi survey. <i>Nanotoxicology</i> , 2011, 5, 236-243.	1.6	15