Verity Watson

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/4227069/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

		236833	197736
58	2,622 citations	25	49
papers	citations	h-index	g-index
F 0	50	F.0	2026
59	59	59	3836
all docs	docs citations	times ranked	citing authors

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	A Systematic Review of Patients' Values, Preferences, and Expectations for the Treatment of Metastatic Prostate Cancer. European Urology Open Science, 2022, 36, 9-18.	0.2	6
2	Public acceptability of non-pharmaceutical interventions to control a pandemic in the UK: a discrete choice experiment. BMJ Open, 2022, 12, e054155.	0.8	4
3	Respondent Understanding in Discrete Choice Experiments: A Scoping Review. Patient, 2021, 14, 17-53.	1.1	31
4	The Value of Preventative Dental Care: A Discrete-Choice Experiment. Journal of Dental Research, 2021, 100, 723-730.	2.5	5
5	Is relational continuity of care as important to people as policy makers think? Preferences for continuity of care in primary care. Family Practice, 2021, 38, 569-575.	0.8	O
6	Radical Treatment Without Cure: Decision-making in Oligometastatic Prostate Cancer. European Urology, 2021, 79, 558-560.	0.9	3
7	The Burden of Dengue in Children by Calculating Spatial Temperature: A Methodological Approach Using Remote Sensing Techniques. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 2021, 18, 4230.	1.2	O
8	COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy in a representative working-age population in France: a survey experiment based on vaccine characteristics. Lancet Public Health, The, 2021, 6, e210-e221.	4.7	557
9	Regional Differences in COVID-19 Vaccine Hesitancy in December 2020: A Natural Experiment in the French Working-Age Population. Vaccines, 2021, 9, 1364.	2.1	4
10	Metastatic prostate cancer men's attitudes towards treatment of the local tumour and metastasis evaluative research (IP5-MATTER): protocol for a prospective, multicentre discrete choice experiment study. BMJ Open, 2021, 11, e048996.	0.8	2
11	How Are Debriefing Questions Used in Health Discrete Choice Experiments? An Online Survey. Value in Health, 2020, 23, 289-293.	0.1	11
12	Evaluating the Trade-Offs Men with Localized Prostate Cancer Make between the Risks and Benefits of Treatments: The COMPARE Study. Journal of Urology, 2020, 204, 273-280.	0.2	29
13	Understanding public preferences and trade-offs for government responses during a pandemic: a protocol for a discrete choice experiment in the UK. BMJ Open, 2020, 10, e043477.	0.8	14
14	Choice certainty and deliberative thinking in discrete choice experiments. A theoretical and empirical investigation. Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization, 2019, 164, 235-255.	1.0	13
15	Mode and Frame Matter: Assessing the Impact of Survey Mode and Sample Frame in Choice Experiments. Medical Decision Making, 2019, 39, 827-841.	1.2	8
16	Testing the Expert Based Weights Used in the UK's Index of Multiple Deprivation (IMD) Against Three Preference-Based Methods. Social Indicators Research, 2019, 144, 1055-1074.	1.4	9
17	Value-elicitation and value-formation properties of discrete choice experiment and experimental auctions. European Review of Agricultural Economics, 2019, 46, 3-27.	1.5	11
18	Patients' experiences and preferences for primary care delivery: a focus group analysis. Primary Health Care Research and Development, 2019, 20, e106.	0.5	6

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Decision heuristic or preference? Attribute non-attendance in discrete choice problems. Health Economics (United Kingdom), 2018, 27, 157-171.	0.8	34
20	The Best of Both Worlds: An Example Mixed Methods Approach to Understand Men's Preferences for the Treatment of Lower Urinary Tract Symptoms. Patient, 2018, 11, 55-67.	1.1	16
21	Comment on: Patients' preferences for anti-osteoporosis drug treatment: a cross-European discrete choice experiment: reply. Rheumatology, 2018, 57, 584-585.	0.9	3
22	Discrete Choice Experiment Response Rates: A Metaâ€analysis. Health Economics (United Kingdom), 2017, 26, 810-817.	0.8	61
23	Economic considerations and patients' preferences affect treatment selection for patients with rheumatoid arthritis: a discrete choice experiment among European rheumatologists. Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases, 2017, 76, 126-132.	0.5	33
24	Patients' preferences for anti-osteoporosis drug treatment: a cross-European discrete choice experiment. Rheumatology, 2017, 56, 1167-1176.	0.9	26
25	Reâ€Thinking â€The Different Perspectives That can be Used When Eliciting Preferences in Health'. Health Economics (United Kingdom), 2017, 26, e103-e107.	0.8	18
26	What do UK medical students value most in their careers? A discrete choice experiment. Medical Education, 2017, 51, 839-851.	1,1	39
27	Patients' preferences and economic considerations play an important role in treatment decisions: a discrete choice experiment among rheumatologists. Rheumatology, 2017, 56, 68-76.	0.9	15
28	Is Best–Worst Scaling Suitable for Health State Valuation? A Comparison with Discrete Choice Experiments. Health Economics (United Kingdom), 2017, 26, e1-e16.	0.8	33
29	Influence of disease activity on RA treatment choices in countries with restricted access to expensive, innovative drugs: a discrete choice experiment among rheumatologists. RMD Open, 2017, 3, e000453.	1.8	2
30	Men's preferences for the treatment of lower urinary tract symptoms associated with benign prostatic hyperplasia: a discrete choice experiment. Patient Preference and Adherence, 2016, Volume 10, 2407-2417.	0.8	9
31	What do UK doctors in training value in a post? A discrete choice experiment. Medical Education, 2016, 50, 189-202.	1.1	45
32	Shared values and deliberative valuation: Future directions. Ecosystem Services, 2016, 21, 358-371.	2.3	148
33	The impact of information, value-deliberation and group-based decision-making on values for ecosystem services: Integrating deliberative monetary valuation and storytelling. Ecosystem Services, 2016, 21, 270-290.	2.3	119
34	Managing Minor Ailments; The Public's Preferences for Attributes of Community Pharmacies. A Discrete Choice Experiment. PLoS ONE, 2016, 11, e0152257.	1,1	40
35	Exploring preferences for symptom management in primary care: a discrete choice experiment using a questionnaire survey. British Journal of General Practice, 2015, 65, e478-e488.	0.7	8
36	What are shared and social values of ecosystems?. Ecological Economics, 2015, 111, 86-99.	2.9	364

3

#	Article	IF	Citations
37	Looking below the surface: The cultural ecosystem service values of UK marine protected areas (MPAs). Ecosystem Services, 2014, 10, 97-110.	2.3	95
38	Are choice experiments reliable? Evidence from the lab. Economics Letters, 2014, 124, 9-13.	0.9	19
39	Patients' preferences for osteoporosis drug treatment: a discrete-choice experiment. Arthritis Research and Therapy, 2014, 16, R36.	1.6	44
40	Task complexity and response certainty in discrete choice experiments: An application to drug treatments for juvenile idiopathic arthritis. Journal of Behavioral and Experimental Economics, 2014, 50, 40-49.	0.5	19
41	A Generation of Childless Women: Lessons from the United States. Women's Health Issues, 2014, 24, e21-e27.	0.9	29
42	Uncertainty and framing in a valuation task. Journal of Economic Psychology, 2013, 39, 204-214.	1.1	11
43	Involving the public in priority setting: a case study using discrete choice experiments. Journal of Public Health, 2012, 34, 253-260.	1.0	29
44	Managing poorly performing clinicians: Health care providers' willingness to pay for independent help. Health Policy, 2012, 104, 260-271.	1.4	3
45	Utilisation of eye-care services: The effect of Scotland's free eye examination policy. Health Policy, 2012, 108, 286-293.	1.4	37
46	Is it all about money? An examination of the motives behind moonlighting. Applied Economics, 2011, 43, 3767-3774.	1.2	44
47	Evaluation of Patients' Preferences for Genital Herpes Treatment. Sexually Transmitted Diseases, 2011, 38, 802-807.	0.8	8
48	Job satisfaction and quit intentions of offshore workers in the <scp>UK N</scp> orth <scp>S</scp> ea oil and gas industry. Scottish Journal of Political Economy, 2011, 58, 607-633.	1.1	21
49	Does One Size Fit All? Investigating Heterogeneity in Men's Preferences for Benign Prostatic Hyperplasia Treatment Using Mixed Logit Analysis. Medical Decision Making, 2009, 29, 707-715.	1.2	27
50	Comparing welfare estimates from payment card contingent valuation and discrete choice experiments. Health Economics (United Kingdom), 2009, 18, 389-401.	0.8	121
51	Rationalising the â€~irrational': a think aloud study of discrete choice experiment responses. Health Economics (United Kingdom), 2009, 18, 321-336.	0.8	163
52	Valuing Experience Factors in the Provision of Chlamydia Screening: An Application to Women Attending the Family Planning Clinic. Value in Health, 2009, 12, 621-623.	0.1	22
53	Models of intrapartum care and women's tradeâ€offs in remote and rural Scotland: a mixedâ€methods study. BJOG: an International Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, 2008, 115, 560-569.	1.1	53
54	Practical Issues in Conducting a Discrete Choice Experiment. The Economics of Non-market Goods and Resources, 2008, , 73-97.	1.2	25

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	Exploring preference anomalies in double bounded contingent valuation. Journal of Health Economics, 2007, 26, 463-482.	1.3	51
56	Counting the cost of fast access: using discrete choice experiments to elicit preferences in general practice. British Journal of General Practice, 2006, 56, 4-5.	0.7	5
57	Rapid prenatal diagnostic testing for Down syndrome only or longer wait for full karyotype: the views of pregnant women. Prenatal Diagnosis, 2005, 25, 1206-1211.	1.1	43
58	Methodological issues in the monetary valuation of benefits in healthcare. Expert Review of Pharmacoeconomics and Outcomes Research, 2003, 3, 717-727.	0.7	27