

Verity Watson

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/4227069/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

58
papers

2,622
citations

236925

25
h-index

197818

49
g-index

59
all docs

59
docs citations

59
times ranked

3836
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy in a representative working-age population in France: a survey experiment based on vaccine characteristics. <i>Lancet Public Health</i> , The, 2021, 6, e210-e221.	10.0	557
2	What are shared and social values of ecosystems?. <i>Ecological Economics</i> , 2015, 111, 86-99.	5.7	364
3	Rationalising the "irrational": a think aloud study of discrete choice experiment responses. <i>Health Economics (United Kingdom)</i> , 2009, 18, 321-336.	1.7	163
4	Shared values and deliberative valuation: Future directions. <i>Ecosystem Services</i> , 2016, 21, 358-371.	5.4	148
5	Comparing welfare estimates from payment card contingent valuation and discrete choice experiments. <i>Health Economics (United Kingdom)</i> , 2009, 18, 389-401.	1.7	121
6	The impact of information, value-deliberation and group-based decision-making on values for ecosystem services: Integrating deliberative monetary valuation and storytelling. <i>Ecosystem Services</i> , 2016, 21, 270-290.	5.4	119
7	Looking below the surface: The cultural ecosystem service values of UK marine protected areas (MPAs). <i>Ecosystem Services</i> , 2014, 10, 97-110.	5.4	95
8	Discrete Choice Experiment Response Rates: A Meta-analysis. <i>Health Economics (United Kingdom)</i> , 2017, 26, 810-817.	1.7	61
9	Models of intrapartum care and women's trade-offs in remote and rural Scotland: a mixed-methods study. <i>BJOG: an International Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology</i> , 2008, 115, 560-569.	2.3	53
10	Exploring preference anomalies in double bounded contingent valuation. <i>Journal of Health Economics</i> , 2007, 26, 463-482.	2.7	51
11	What do UK doctors in training value in a post? A discrete choice experiment. <i>Medical Education</i> , 2016, 50, 189-202.	2.1	45
12	Is it all about money? An examination of the motives behind moonlighting. <i>Applied Economics</i> , 2011, 43, 3767-3774.	2.2	44
13	Patients' preferences for osteoporosis drug treatment: a discrete-choice experiment. <i>Arthritis Research and Therapy</i> , 2014, 16, R36.	3.5	44
14	Rapid prenatal diagnostic testing for Down syndrome only or longer wait for full karyotype: the views of pregnant women. <i>Prenatal Diagnosis</i> , 2005, 25, 1206-1211.	2.3	43
15	Managing Minor Ailments; The Public's Preferences for Attributes of Community Pharmacies. A Discrete Choice Experiment. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2016, 11, e0152257.	2.5	40
16	What do UK medical students value most in their careers? A discrete choice experiment. <i>Medical Education</i> , 2017, 51, 839-851.	2.1	39
17	Utilisation of eye-care services: The effect of Scotland's free eye examination policy. <i>Health Policy</i> , 2012, 108, 286-293.	3.0	37
18	Decision heuristic or preference? Attribute non-attendance in discrete choice problems. <i>Health Economics (United Kingdom)</i> , 2018, 27, 157-171.	1.7	34

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Economic considerations and patients' preferences affect treatment selection for patients with rheumatoid arthritis: a discrete choice experiment among European rheumatologists. <i>Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases</i> , 2017, 76, 126-132.	0.9	33
20	Is Bestâ€“Worst Scaling Suitable for Health State Valuation? A Comparison with Discrete Choice Experiments. <i>Health Economics (United Kingdom)</i> , 2017, 26, e1-e16.	1.7	33
21	Respondent Understanding in Discrete Choice Experiments: A Scoping Review. <i>Patient</i> , 2021, 14, 17-53.	2.7	31
22	Involving the public in priority setting: a case study using discrete choice experiments. <i>Journal of Public Health</i> , 2012, 34, 253-260.	1.8	29
23	A Generation of Childless Women: Lessons from the United States. <i>Women's Health Issues</i> , 2014, 24, e21-e27.	2.0	29
24	Evaluating the Trade-Offs Men with Localized Prostate Cancer Make between the Risks and Benefits of Treatments: The COMPARE Study. <i>Journal of Urology</i> , 2020, 204, 273-280.	0.4	29
25	Methodological issues in the monetary valuation of benefits in healthcare. <i>Expert Review of Pharmacoeconomics and Outcomes Research</i> , 2003, 3, 717-727.	1.4	27
26	Does One Size Fit All? Investigating Heterogeneity in Menâ€™s Preferences for Benign Prostatic Hyperplasia Treatment Using Mixed Logit Analysis. <i>Medical Decision Making</i> , 2009, 29, 707-715.	2.4	27
27	Patientsâ€™ preferences for anti-osteoporosis drug treatment: a cross-European discrete choice experiment. <i>Rheumatology</i> , 2017, 56, 1167-1176.	1.9	26
28	Practical Issues in Conducting a Discrete Choice Experiment. <i>The Economics of Non-market Goods and Resources</i> , 2008, , 73-97.	1.2	25
29	Valuing Experience Factors in the Provision of Chlamydia Screening: An Application to Women Attending the Family Planning Clinic. <i>Value in Health</i> , 2009, 12, 621-623.	0.3	22
30	Job satisfaction and quit intentions of offshore workers in the <sc>UK N</sc>orth <sc>S</sc>ea oil and gas industry. <i>Scottish Journal of Political Economy</i> , 2011, 58, 607-633.	1.6	21
31	Are choice experiments reliable? Evidence from the lab. <i>Economics Letters</i> , 2014, 124, 9-13.	1.9	19
32	Task complexity and response certainty in discrete choice experiments: An application to drug treatments for juvenile idiopathic arthritis. <i>Journal of Behavioral and Experimental Economics</i> , 2014, 50, 40-49.	1.2	19
33	Reâ€Thinking â€The Different Perspectives That can be Used When Eliciting Preferences in Healthâ€™. <i>Health Economics (United Kingdom)</i> , 2017, 26, e103-e107.	1.7	18
34	The Best of Both Worlds: An Example Mixed Methods Approach to Understand Menâ€™s Preferences for the Treatment of Lower Urinary Tract Symptoms. <i>Patient</i> , 2018, 11, 55-67.	2.7	16
35	Patientsâ€™ preferences and economic considerations play an important role in treatment decisions: a discrete choice experiment among rheumatologists. <i>Rheumatology</i> , 2017, 56, 68-76.	1.9	15
36	Understanding public preferences and trade-offs for government responses during a pandemic: a protocol for a discrete choice experiment in the UK. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2020, 10, e043477.	1.9	14

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	Choice certainty and deliberative thinking in discrete choice experiments. A theoretical and empirical investigation. <i>Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization</i> , 2019, 164, 235-255.	2.0	13
38	Uncertainty and framing in a valuation task. <i>Journal of Economic Psychology</i> , 2013, 39, 204-214.	2.2	11
39	Value-elicitation and value-formation properties of discrete choice experiment and experimental auctions. <i>European Review of Agricultural Economics</i> , 2019, 46, 3-27.	3.1	11
40	How Are Debriefing Questions Used in Health Discrete Choice Experiments? An Online Survey. <i>Value in Health</i> , 2020, 23, 289-293.	0.3	11
41	Men’s preferences for the treatment of lower urinary tract symptoms associated with benign prostatic hyperplasia: a discrete choice experiment. <i>Patient Preference and Adherence</i> , 2016, Volume 10, 2407-2417.	1.8	9
42	Testing the Expert Based Weights Used in the UK’s Index of Multiple Deprivation (IMD) Against Three Preference-Based Methods. <i>Social Indicators Research</i> , 2019, 144, 1055-1074.	2.7	9
43	Evaluation of Patients' Preferences for Genital Herpes Treatment. <i>Sexually Transmitted Diseases</i> , 2011, 38, 802-807.	1.7	8
44	Exploring preferences for symptom management in primary care: a discrete choice experiment using a questionnaire survey. <i>British Journal of General Practice</i> , 2015, 65, e478-e488.	1.4	8
45	Mode and Frame Matter: Assessing the Impact of Survey Mode and Sample Frame in Choice Experiments. <i>Medical Decision Making</i> , 2019, 39, 827-841.	2.4	8
46	Patients’ experiences and preferences for primary care delivery: a focus group analysis. <i>Primary Health Care Research and Development</i> , 2019, 20, e106.	1.2	6
47	A Systematic Review of Patients’ Values, Preferences, and Expectations for the Treatment of Metastatic Prostate Cancer. <i>European Urology Open Science</i> , 2022, 36, 9-18.	0.4	6
48	The Value of Preventative Dental Care: A Discrete-Choice Experiment. <i>Journal of Dental Research</i> , 2021, 100, 723-730.	5.2	5
49	Counting the cost of fast access: using discrete choice experiments to elicit preferences in general practice. <i>British Journal of General Practice</i> , 2006, 56, 4-5.	1.4	5
50	Regional Differences in COVID-19 Vaccine Hesitancy in December 2020: A Natural Experiment in the French Working-Age Population. <i>Vaccines</i> , 2021, 9, 1364.	4.4	4
51	Public acceptability of non-pharmaceutical interventions to control a pandemic in the UK: a discrete choice experiment. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2022, 12, e054155.	1.9	4
52	Managing poorly performing clinicians: Health care providers’ willingness to pay for independent help. <i>Health Policy</i> , 2012, 104, 260-271.	3.0	3
53	Comment on: Patients’ preferences for anti-osteoporosis drug treatment: a cross-European discrete choice experiment: reply. <i>Rheumatology</i> , 2018, 57, 584-585.	1.9	3
54	Radical Treatment Without Cure: Decision-making in Oligometastatic Prostate Cancer. <i>European Urology</i> , 2021, 79, 558-560.	1.9	3

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
55	Influence of disease activity on RA treatment choices in countries with restricted access to expensive, innovative drugs: a discrete choice experiment among rheumatologists. <i>RMD Open</i> , 2017, 3, e000453.	3.8	2
56	Metastatic prostate cancer men's attitudes towards treatment of the local tumour and metastasis evaluative research (IP5-MATTER): protocol for a prospective, multicentre discrete choice experiment study. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2021, 11, e048996.	1.9	2
57	Is relational continuity of care as important to people as policy makers think? Preferences for continuity of care in primary care. <i>Family Practice</i> , 2021, 38, 569-575.	1.9	0
58	The Burden of Dengue in Children by Calculating Spatial Temperature: A Methodological Approach Using Remote Sensing Techniques. <i>International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health</i> , 2021, 18, 4230.	2.6	0