

# Alan J Bond

## List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/4219930/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

82  
papers

3,644  
citations

117453

34  
h-index

143772

57  
g-index

90  
all docs

90  
docs citations

90  
times ranked

2561  
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Environmental assessments and sustainable finance frameworks: will the EU Taxonomy change the mindset over the contribution of EIA to sustainable development?. <i>Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal</i> , 2022, 40, 90-98.	1.0	22
2	Environmental assessments and sustainable finance taxonomies – a riposte. <i>Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal</i> , 2022, 40, 123-128.	1.0	1
3	Translating Best Practice Principles into criteria for evaluating the consideration of biodiversity in SEA practice. <i>Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal</i> , 2022, 40, 437-449.	1.0	2
4	Transformative effectiveness: How EIA can transform stakeholders’ frames of reference. <i>Environmental Science and Policy</i> , 2022, 136, 207-215.	2.4	3
5	Taking an environmental ethics perspective to understand what we should expect from EIA in terms of biodiversity protection. <i>Environmental Impact Assessment Review</i> , 2021, 86, 106508.	4.4	15
6	When is an Offset Not an Offset? A Framework of Necessary Conditions for Biodiversity Offsets. <i>Environmental Management</i> , 2021, 67, 424-435.	1.2	9
7	Reflecting on, and revising, international best practice principles for EIA follow-up. <i>Environmental Impact Assessment Review</i> , 2021, 89, 106596.	4.4	16
8	Evolution or revolution? Reflecting on IA effectiveness in Thailand. <i>Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal</i> , 2020, 38, 156-166.	1.0	7
9	Explaining the political nature of environmental impact assessment (EIA): A neo-Gramscian perspective. <i>Journal of Cleaner Production</i> , 2020, 244, 118694.	4.6	53
10	Impact assessment for the twenty-first century – rising to the challenge. <i>Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal</i> , 2020, 38, 94-99.	1.0	15
11	Evaluating Environmental Impact Assessment report quality in South African national parks. <i>Koedoe</i> , 2020, 62, .	0.3	14
12	Explanations for the Quality of Biodiversity Inputs to Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) in Areas with High Biodiversity Value. <i>Journal of Environmental Assessment Policy and Management</i> , 2019, 21, 1950009.	4.3	21
13	Distilling and Applying Criteria for Best Practice EIA Follow-Up. <i>Journal of Environmental Assessment Policy and Management</i> , 2019, 21, 1950008.	4.3	39
14	Investigating the effectiveness of strategic environmental assessment in Thailand. <i>Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal</i> , 2019, 37, 356-368.	1.0	14
15	Biodiversity offsets in EIA: Getting the timing right. <i>Environmental Impact Assessment Review</i> , 2019, 75, 1-12.	4.4	23
16	Exploring pluralism – Different stakeholder views of the expected and realised value of strategic environmental assessment (SEA). <i>Environmental Impact Assessment Review</i> , 2018, 69, 32-41.	4.4	27
17	Drivers, opportunities and barriers for a retailer in the pursuit of more sustainable packaging redesign. <i>Journal of Cleaner Production</i> , 2018, 187, 18-28.	4.6	74
18	Are current effectiveness criteria fit for purpose? Using a controversial strategic assessment as a test case. <i>Environmental Impact Assessment Review</i> , 2018, 70, 34-44.	4.4	45

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	A contribution to the conceptualisation of quality in impact assessment. <i>Environmental Impact Assessment Review</i> , 2018, 68, 49-58.	4.4	41
20	On legitimacy in impact assessment: An epistemologically-based conceptualisation. <i>Environmental Impact Assessment Review</i> , 2018, 69, 16-23.	4.4	26
21	Investigating the effectiveness of mandatory integration of health impact assessment within environmental impact assessment (EIA): a case study of Thailand. <i>Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal</i> , 2018, 36, 16-31.	1.0	16
22	Delivering more inclusive public participation in coastal flood management: A case study in Suffolk, UK. <i>Ocean and Coastal Management</i> , 2018, 161, 147-155.	2.0	4
23	Reconceptualising sustainability assessment. <i>Environmental Impact Assessment Review</i> , 2017, 62, 205-215.	4.4	128
24	Progressing quality control in environmental impact assessment beyond legislative compliance: An evaluation of the IEMA EIA Quality Mark certification scheme. <i>Environmental Impact Assessment Review</i> , 2017, 63, 160-171.	4.4	33
25	Environmental impact assessment and strategic environmental assessment in the UK after leaving the European Union. <i>Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal</i> , 2016, 34, 271-274.	1.0	5
26	Global megatrends and their implications for environmental assessment practice. <i>Environmental Impact Assessment Review</i> , 2016, 61, 52-60.	4.4	102
27	Critical attributes of Sustainability in Higher Education: a categorisation from literature review. <i>Journal of Cleaner Production</i> , 2016, 126, 260-276.	4.6	72
28	A game theory perspective on environmental assessment: What games are played and what does this tell us about decision making rationality and legitimacy?. <i>Environmental Impact Assessment Review</i> , 2016, 57, 187-194.	4.4	37
29	Conclusion: reflections on the state of the art of sustainability assessment. , 2015, , .		0
30	Introducing the roots, evolution and effectiveness of sustainability assessment. , 2015, , .		6
31	Key learnings from psychology for sustainability assessment. , 2015, , .		1
32	Managing uncertainty, ambiguity and ignorance in impact assessment by embedding evolutionary resilience, participatory modelling and adaptive management. <i>Journal of Environmental Management</i> , 2015, 151, 97-104.	3.8	65
33	Respatialization and local protest strategy formation: Investigating high-speed rail megaproject development in the UK. <i>Geoforum</i> , 2015, 59, 98-108.	1.4	30
34	WHAT IS THE ROLE OF IMPACT ASSESSMENT IN THE LONG TERM?. <i>Journal of Environmental Assessment Policy and Management</i> , 2015, 17, 1550006.	4.3	11
35	Demonstrating the benefits of impact assessment for proponents. <i>Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal</i> , 2015, 33, 108-115.	1.0	34
36	Framing effectiveness in impact assessment: Discourse accommodation in controversial infrastructure development. <i>Environmental Impact Assessment Review</i> , 2015, 50, 66-73.	4.4	40

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	A conceptual framework for sustainability assessment. , 2015, , .		5
38	Ecosystem services and sustainability assessment: theory and practice. , 2015, , .		1
39	International perspectives on the strengthening of impact assessment through integration and focus. Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal, 2014, 32, 27-30.	1.0	8
40	Towards sustainability assessment follow-up. Environmental Impact Assessment Review, 2014, 45, 38-45.	4.4	44
41	Strengthening impact assessment: a call for integration and focus. Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal, 2014, 32, 2-8.	1.0	102
42	Impact assessment: Eroding benefits through streamlining?. Environmental Impact Assessment Review, 2014, 45, 46-53.	4.4	86
43	A multi-criteria based review of models that predict environmental impacts of land use-change for perennial energy crops on water, carbon and nitrogen cycling. GCB Bioenergy, 2013, 5, 227-242.	2.5	19
44	A GIS based assessment of bioenergy potential in England within existing energy systems. Biomass and Bioenergy, 2013, 55, 107-121.	2.9	58
45	Who plans for health improvement? SEA, HIA and the separation of spatial planning and health planning. Environmental Impact Assessment Review, 2013, 42, 67-73.	4.4	11
46	Advancing the theory and practice of impact assessment: Setting the research agenda. Environmental Impact Assessment Review, 2013, 41, 1-9.	4.4	173
47	Conceptualising the effectiveness of impact assessment processes. Environmental Impact Assessment Review, 2013, 43, 65-72.	4.4	122
48	A review of environmental monitoring and auditing in the context of risk: unveiling the extent of a confused relationship. Journal of Cleaner Production, 2013, 47, 165-173.	4.6	25
49	Urban land planning: The role of a Master Plan in influencing local temperatures. Cities, 2013, 35, 1-13.	2.7	24
50	The state of the art of impact assessment in 2012. Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal, 2012, 30, 1-4.	1.0	83
51	Sustainability assessment: the state of the art. Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal, 2012, 30, 53-62.	1.0	291
52	Health impact assessment: the state of the art. Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal, 2012, 30, 43-52.	1.0	111
53	Climate change mitigation and adaptation in strategic environmental assessment. Environmental Impact Assessment Review, 2012, 32, 88-93.	4.4	74
54	An investigation of environmental and sustainability discourses associated with the substantive purposes of environmental assessment. Environmental Impact Assessment Review, 2012, 33, 80-90.	4.4	54

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
55	Learning How to Deal with Values, Frames and Governance in Sustainability Appraisal. <i>Regional Studies</i> , 2011, 45, 1157-1170.	2.5	45
56	Investigating the effectiveness of environmental assessment of land use change: A comparative study of the approaches taken to perennial biomass crop planting in São Paulo and England. <i>Biomass and Bioenergy</i> , 2011, 35, 2285-2297.	2.9	15
57	Re-evaluating Sustainability Assessment: Aligning the vision and the practice. <i>Environmental Impact Assessment Review</i> , 2011, 31, 1-7.	4.4	188
58	Capturing the implications of land use change in Brazil through environmental assessment: Time for a strategic approach?. <i>Environmental Impact Assessment Review</i> , 2011, 31, 261-270.	4.4	38
59	Informal knowledge processes: the underpinning for sustainability outcomes in EIA?. <i>Journal of Cleaner Production</i> , 2010, 18, 6-13.	4.6	61
60	Application of the SEA Directive to EU structural funds: Perspectives on effectiveness. <i>Environmental Impact Assessment Review</i> , 2010, 30, 136-144.	4.4	49
61	Introduction: The effectiveness of impact assessment instruments. <i>Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal</i> , 2009, 27, 91-93.	1.0	56
62	Sustainability appraisal: jack of all trades, master of none?. <i>Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal</i> , 2009, 27, 321-329.	1.0	44
63	Tackling Climate Change Close to Home: Mobile Breast Screening as a Model. <i>Journal of Health Services Research and Policy</i> , 2009, 14, 165-167.	0.8	12
64	A novel, integrated approach to assessing social, economic and environmental implications of changing rural land use: a case study of perennial biomass crops. <i>Journal of Applied Ecology</i> , 2009, 46, 315-322.	1.9	117
65	The role and functioning of environmental assessment: Theoretical reflections upon an empirical investigation of causation. <i>Journal of Environmental Management</i> , 2008, 88, 1233-1248.	3.8	75
66	The consideration of health in land use planning: Barriers and opportunities. <i>Environmental Impact Assessment Review</i> , 2008, 28, 184-197.	4.4	13
67	The Contribution of Environmental Assessment to Sustainable Development: Toward a Richer Empirical Understanding. <i>Environmental Management</i> , 2007, 40, 516-530.	1.2	48
68	Contracts or Scripts? A Critical Review of the Application of Institutional Theories to the Study of Environmental Change. <i>Ecology and Society</i> , 2006, 11, .	1.0	28
69	Impact mitigation in environmental impact assessment: paper promises or the basis of consent conditions?. <i>Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal</i> , 2005, 23, 265-280.	1.0	31
70	Development control and the natural environment—the Maltese connection. <i>European Planning Studies</i> , 2005, 13, 407-433.	1.6	2
71	Public participation in EIA of nuclear power plant decommissioning projects: a case study analysis. <i>Environmental Impact Assessment Review</i> , 2004, 24, 617-641.	4.4	64
72	Dealing with the cultural heritage aspect of environmental impact assessment in Europe. <i>Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal</i> , 2004, 22, 37-45.	1.0	45

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
73	The interminable issue of effectiveness: substantive purposes, outcomes and research challenges in the advancement of environmental impact assessment theory. <i>Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal</i> , 2004, 22, 295-310.	1.0	230
74	Environmental impact assessment and the decommissioning of nuclear power plants—a review and suggestion for a best practicable approach. <i>Environmental Impact Assessment Review</i> , 2003, 23, 197-217.	4.4	13
75	INVESTIGATION OF DIFFERENT STAKEHOLDER VIEWS OF LOCAL RESIDENT INVOLVEMENT DURING ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENTS IN THE UK. <i>Journal of Environmental Assessment Policy and Management</i> , 2003, 05, 45-82.	4.3	21
76	AN ASSESSMENT OF THE ROLE OF ENVIRONMENTAL REPORTING IN SUPPORTING SHARE VALUES IN FTSE100 COMPANIES. <i>Journal of Environmental Assessment Policy and Management</i> , 2003, 05, 149-182.	4.3	8
77	Environment agency scoping guidance on the environmental impact assessment of projects. <i>Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal</i> , 2002, 20, 135-142.	1.0	9
78	Review of present European environmental policies and legislation involving cultural heritage. <i>Environmental Impact Assessment Review</i> , 2002, 22, 611-632.	4.4	26
79	Harmonising environmental impact assessment processes for geological repositories for nuclear waste in the European Union. <i>Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal</i> , 2001, 19, 205-214.	1.0	2
80	Identifying an appropriate methodological approach for considering social, economic and environmental problems caused by development in São Paulo. <i>Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal</i> , 1999, 17, 157-163.	1.0	2
81	Policy And Practice The Focus of Local Agenda 21 in the United Kingdom. <i>Journal of Environmental Planning and Management</i> , 1998, 41, 767-776.	2.4	21
82	The quality of environmental impact statements: a review of those submitted in Cork, Eire from 1988–1993. <i>Project Appraisal</i> , 1997, 12, 43-52.	0.2	22