Matthew G Nosworthy

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/4206637/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

686830 713013 23 789 13 21 citations h-index g-index papers 23 23 23 617 docs citations times ranked citing authors all docs

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Determination of the protein quality of cooked Canadian pulses. Food Science and Nutrition, 2017, 5, 896-903.	1.5	100
2	Effect of Fermentation on the Protein Digestibility and Levels of Non-Nutritive Compounds of Pea Protein Concentrate. Food Technology and Biotechnology, 2018, 56, 257-264.	0.9	92
3	Effect of Processing on the In Vitro and In Vivo Protein Quality of Beans (Phaseolus vulgaris and Vicia) Tj ETQq1 1	l 0,784314 1.7	1 rgBT /Overlo
4	Effect of processing on the in vitro and in vivo protein quality of red and green lentils (Lens) Tj ETQq0 0 0 rgBT /C	Overlock 10 4.2) Tf 50 622 To
5	Factors Influencing the Quality of Dietary Proteins: Implications for Pulses. Cereal Chemistry, 2017, 94, 49-57.	1.1	64
6	Impact of Processing on the Protein Quality of Pinto Bean (<i>Phaseolus vulgaris</i>) and Buckwheat (<i>Fagopyrum esculentum</i> Moench) Flours and Blends, As Determined by in Vitro and in Vivo Methodologies. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 2017, 65, 3919-3925.	2.4	62
7	Effect of Processing on the <i>in Vitro</i> and <i>in Vivo</i> Protein Quality of Yellow and Green Split Peas (<i>Pisum sativum</i>). Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 2017, 65, 7790-7796.	2.4	59
8	Effect of tempering moisture and infrared heating temperature on the nutritional properties of desi chickpea and hull-less barley flours, and their blends. Food Research International, 2018, 108, 430-439.	2.9	50
9	Determination of the protein quality of almonds (<i>Prunus dulcis</i> L.) as assessed by in vitro and in vivo methodologies. Food Science and Nutrition, 2019, 7, 2932-2938.	1.5	36
10	Effect of fermentation time on the nutritional properties of pea proteinâ€enriched flour fermented by ⟨i>Aspergillus oryzae⟨ i> and ⟨i>Aspergillus niger⟨ i>. Cereal Chemistry, 2020, 97, 104-113.	1.1	27
11	Thermal processing methods differentially affect the protein quality of Chickpea (<i>Cicer) Tj ETQq1 1 0.784314</i>	rgBŢ/Ove	rlock 10 Tf 50 26
12	Extent and management of acid soils for sustainable crop production system in the tropical agroecosystems: a review. Acta Agriculturae Scandinavica - Section B Soil and Plant Science, 2021, 71, 852-869.	0.3	22
13	Effect of extrusion conditions on the physical properties of desi chickpeaâ€barley extrudates and quality attributes of their resulting flours. Journal of Texture Studies, 2020, 51, 300-307.	1.1	18
14	Effect of barrel temperature and feed moisture on the physical properties of chickpea–sorghum and chickpea–maize extrudates, and the functionality and nutritional value of their resultant flours—Part II. Cereal Chemistry, 2019, 96, 621-633.	1.1	15
15	Nutritional properties of pea proteinâ€enriched flour treated with different proteases to varying degrees of hydrolysis. Cereal Chemistry, 2020, 97, 429-440.	1.1	12
16	Effect of barrel temperature and feed moisture on protein quality in pre-cooked Kabuli chickpea, sorghum, and maize flours. Food Science and Technology International, 2020, 26, 265-274.	1.1	11
17	Oxidative stability of directâ€expanded chickpea–sorghum snacks. Food Science and Nutrition, 2020, 8, 4340-4351.	1.5	10
18	Evaluation of a performic acid oxidation method for quantifying amino acids in freshwater species. Limnology and Oceanography: Methods, 2018, 16, 803-813.	1.0	8

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Alteration of the dietary methionine: Cysteine ratio modulates the inflammatory response to an inter-peritoneal injection of lipopolysaccharide in wistar rats. Journal of Nutritional Biochemistry, 2022, 102, 108937.	1.9	5
20	Antioxidant capacity and total phenolics content of directâ€expanded chickpea–sorghum snacks. Journal of Food Processing and Preservation, 2021, 45, e15439.	0.9	4
21	Effect of Genotype, Year, and Location on the Proximate Composition and <i>In Vitro</i> Protein Quality of Select Pea Cultivars. ACS Food Science & Technology, 2021, 1, 1670-1676.	1.3	3
22	<i>In vitro</i> protein digestibility of directâ€expanded chickpea–sorghum snacks. , 2021, 3, e87.		2
23	Amino Acid Profile and Bioavailability of Plant-Based Protein-Rich Products. , 2022, , 343-379.		1