

Hansoo Kim

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/4172420/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

9
papers

91
citations

1937685
4
h-index

1588992
8
g-index

9
all docs

9
docs citations

9
times ranked

86
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Current Issues in Health Technology Assessment of Cancer Therapies: A Survey of Stakeholders and Opinion Leaders in Australia. <i>International Journal of Technology Assessment in Health Care</i> , 2022, 38, .	0.5	0
2	Reassessing the cost-effectiveness of nivolumab for the treatment of renal cell carcinoma based on mature survival data, updated safety and lower comparator price. <i>Journal of Medical Economics</i> , 2021, 24, 893-899.	2.1	2
3	Comparison of EQ-5D-3L with QLU-C10D in Metastatic Melanoma Using Cost-Utility Analysis. <i>Pharmacoeconomics - Open</i> , 2021, 5, 459-467.	1.8	5
4	Health Technology Assessment in Australia: The Pharmaceutical Benefits Advisory Committee and Medical Services Advisory Committee. <i>Value in Health Regional Issues</i> , 2021, 24, 6-11.	1.2	6
5	The Potential for Early Health Economic Modelling in Health Technology Assessment and Reimbursement Decision Making Comment on "Problems and Promises of Health Technologies: The Role of Early Health Economic Modeling". <i>International Journal of Health Policy and Management</i> , 2021, 10, 98-101.	0.9	1
6	Cost-effectiveness and financial risks associated with immune checkpoint inhibitor therapy. <i>British Journal of Clinical Pharmacology</i> , 2020, 86, 1703-1710.	2.4	19
7	Health Technology Assessment Challenges in Oncology: 20 Years of Value in Health. <i>Value in Health</i> , 2019, 22, 593-600.	0.3	21
8	A real world example of coverage with evidence development in Australia - ipilimumab for the treatment of metastatic melanoma. <i>Journal of Pharmaceutical Policy and Practice</i> , 2018, 11, 4.	2.4	13
9	A Cost-Effectiveness Analysis of Nivolumab Compared with Ipilimumab for the Treatment of BRAF Wild-Type Advanced Melanoma in Australia. <i>Value in Health</i> , 2016, 19, 1009-1015.	0.3	24