

Nehmat Houssami

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/4139517/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

299
papers

20,338
citations

9234

74
h-index

12233

133
g-index

304
all docs

304
docs citations

304
times ranked

15199
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Breast cancer. Nature Reviews Disease Primers, 2019, 5, 66.	18.1	1,620
2	Integration of 3D digital mammography with tomosynthesis for population breast-cancer screening (STORM): a prospective comparison study. Lancet Oncology, The, 2013, 14, 583-589.	5.1	707
3	Accuracy and Surgical Impact of Magnetic Resonance Imaging in Breast Cancer Staging: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis in Detection of Multifocal and Multicentric Cancer. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2008, 26, 3248-3258.	0.8	705
4	Meta-analysis of the association of breast cancer subtype and pathologic complete response to neoadjuvant chemotherapy. European Journal of Cancer, 2012, 48, 3342-3354.	1.3	410
5	ESO-ESMO 2nd international consensus guidelines for advanced breast cancer (ABC2). Annals of Oncology, 2014, 25, 1871-1888.	0.6	402
6	The Association of Surgical Margins and Local Recurrence in Women with Early-Stage Invasive Breast Cancer Treated with Breast-Conserving Therapy: A Meta-Analysis. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2014, 21, 717-730.	0.7	397
7	Society of Surgical Oncologyâ€™American Society for Radiation Oncology Consensus Guideline on Margins for Breast-Conserving Surgery With Whole-Breast Irradiation in Stages I and II Invasive Breast Cancer. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2014, 32, 1507-1515.	0.8	369
8	Society of Surgical Oncologyâ€™American Society for Radiation Oncology Consensus Guideline on Margins for Breast-Conserving Surgery With Whole-Breast Irradiation in Stages I and II Invasive Breast Cancer. International Journal of Radiation Oncology Biology Physics, 2014, 88, 553-564.	0.4	364
9	Society of Surgical Oncologyâ€™American Society for Radiation Oncology Consensus Guideline on Margins for Breast-Conserving Surgery With Whole-Breast Irradiation in Stages I and II Invasive Breast Cancer. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2014, 21, 704-716.	0.7	348
10	Meta-analysis of the impact of surgical margins on local recurrence in women with early-stage invasive breast cancer treated with breast-conserving therapy. European Journal of Cancer, 2010, 46, 3219-3232.	1.3	342
11	Ductal Carcinoma in Situ at Core-Needle Biopsy: Meta-Analysis of Underestimation and Predictors of Invasive Breast Cancer. Radiology, 2011, 260, 119-128.	3.6	313
12	Meta-Analysis of Magnetic Resonance Imaging in Detecting Residual Breast Cancer After Neoadjuvant Therapy. Journal of the National Cancer Institute, 2013, 105, 321-333.	3.0	298
13	1st International consensus guidelines for advanced breast cancer (ABC 1). Breast, 2012, 21, 242-252.	0.9	291
14	Preoperative Ultrasound-Guided Needle Biopsy of Axillary Nodes in Invasive Breast Cancer. Annals of Surgery, 2011, 254, 243-251.	2.1	290
15	ESO-ESMO 2nd international consensus guidelines for advanced breast cancer (ABC2). Breast, 2014, 23, 489-502.	0.9	269
16	Magnetic Resonance Imaging Screening of the Contralateral Breast in Women With Newly Diagnosed Breast Cancer: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Incremental Cancer Detection and Impact on Surgical Management. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2009, 27, 5640-5649.	0.8	258
17	Preoperative Magnetic Resonance Imaging in Breast Cancer. Annals of Surgery, 2013, 257, 249-255.	2.1	253
18	Use of a decision aid including information on overdetection to support informed choice about breast cancer screening: a randomised controlled trial. Lancet, The, 2015, 385, 1642-1652.	6.3	235

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	A systematic review of the effectiveness of magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) as an addition to mammography and ultrasound in screening young women at high risk of breast cancer. <i>European Journal of Cancer</i> , 2007, 43, 1905-1917.	1.3	232
20	Review of Preoperative Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) in Breast Cancer: Should MRI Be Performed on All Women with Newly Diagnosed, Early Stage Breast Cancer?. <i>Ca-A Cancer Journal for Clinicians</i> , 2009, 59, 290-302.	157.7	227
21	Randomized Clinical Trials in Oncology: Understanding and Attitudes Predict Willingness to Participate. <i>Journal of Clinical Oncology</i> , 2001, 19, 3554-3561.	0.8	221
22	Breast cancer screening with tomosynthesis (3D mammography) with acquired or synthetic 2D mammography compared with 2D mammography alone (STORM-2): a population-based prospective study. <i>Lancet Oncology</i> , The, 2016, 17, 1105-1113.	5.1	216
23	Correcting for Lead Time and Length Bias in Estimating the Effect of Screen Detection on Cancer Survival. <i>American Journal of Epidemiology</i> , 2008, 168, 98-104.	1.6	215
24	Society of Surgical Oncologyâ€“American Society for Radiation Oncologyâ€“American Society of Clinical Oncology Consensus Guideline on Margins for Breast-Conserving Surgery With Whole-Breast Irradiation in Ductal Carcinoma In Situ. <i>Journal of Clinical Oncology</i> , 2016, 34, 4040-4046.	0.8	211
25	Categorizing breast mammographic density: intra- and interobserver reproducibility of BI-RADS density categories. <i>Breast</i> , 2005, 14, 269-275.	0.9	210
26	Breast screening with ultrasound in women with mammography-negative dense breasts: Evidence on incremental cancer detection and false positives, and associated cost. <i>European Journal of Cancer</i> , 2008, 44, 539-544.	1.3	196
27	Rapid review: radiomics and breast cancer. <i>Breast Cancer Research and Treatment</i> , 2018, 169, 217-229.	1.1	190
28	Recommendations for triage, prioritization and treatment of breast cancer patients during the COVID-19 pandemic. <i>Breast</i> , 2020, 52, 8-16.	0.9	188
29	Review of radiation dose estimates in digital breast tomosynthesis relative to those in two-view full-field digital mammography. <i>Breast</i> , 2015, 24, 93-99.	0.9	186
30	Underestimation of malignancy of breast core-needle biopsy. <i>Cancer</i> , 2007, 109, 487-495.	2.0	182
31	Evidence of the effect of adjunct ultrasound screening in women with mammography-negative dense breasts: Interval breast cancers at 1year follow-up. <i>European Journal of Cancer</i> , 2011, 47, 1021-1026.	1.3	182
32	Society of Surgical Oncologyâ€“American Society for Radiation Oncologyâ€“American Society of Clinical Oncology Consensus Guideline on Margins for Breast-Conserving Surgery with Whole-Breast Irradiation in Ductal Carcinoma In Situ. <i>Annals of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2016, 23, 3801-3810.	0.7	176
33	Adjunct Screening With Tomosynthesis or Ultrasound in Women With Mammography-Negative Dense Breasts: Interim Report of a Prospective Comparative Trial. <i>Journal of Clinical Oncology</i> , 2016, 34, 1882-1888.	0.8	173
34	Effects of study methods and biases on estimates of invasive breast cancer overdetected with mammography screening: a systematic review. <i>Lancet Oncology</i> , The, 2007, 8, 1129-1138.	5.1	171
35	Early prediction of pathologic response to neoadjuvant therapy in breast cancer: Systematic review of the accuracy of MRI. <i>Breast</i> , 2012, 21, 669-677.	0.9	165
36	Overview of the evidence on digital breast tomosynthesis in breast cancer detection. <i>Breast</i> , 2013, 22, 101-108.	0.9	163

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	An Individual Person Data Meta-Analysis of Preoperative Magnetic Resonance Imaging and Breast Cancer Recurrence. <i>Journal of Clinical Oncology</i> , 2014, 32, 392-401.	0.8	162
38	Breast Cancer Screening Using Tomosynthesis or Mammography: A Meta-analysis of Cancer Detection and Recall. <i>Journal of the National Cancer Institute</i> , 2018, 110, 942-949.	3.0	161
39	Overview of radiomics in breast cancer diagnosis and prognostication. <i>Breast</i> , 2020, 49, 74-80.	0.9	161
40	Sydney Breast Imaging Accuracy Study: Comparative Sensitivity and Specificity of Mammography and Sonography in Young Women with Symptoms. <i>American Journal of Roentgenology</i> , 2003, 180, 935-940.	1.0	156
41	Meta-analysis of pre-operative magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and surgical treatment for breast cancer. <i>Breast Cancer Research and Treatment</i> , 2017, 165, 273-283.	1.1	156
42	Early detection of second breast cancers improves prognosis in breast cancer survivors. <i>Annals of Oncology</i> , 2009, 20, 1505-1510.	0.6	154
43	Full-Field Digital Versus Screen-Film Mammography: Comparative Accuracy in Concurrent Screening Cohorts. <i>American Journal of Roentgenology</i> , 2007, 189, 860-866.	1.0	143
44	Accuracy and Outcomes of Screening Mammography in Women With a Personal History of Early-Stage Breast Cancer. <i>JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association</i> , 2011, 305, 790.	3.8	141
45	Helping women make choices about mammography screening: An online randomized trial of a decision aid for 40-year-old women. <i>Patient Education and Counseling</i> , 2010, 81, 63-72.	1.0	139
46	The epidemiology, radiology and biological characteristics of interval breast cancers in population mammography screening. <i>Npj Breast Cancer</i> , 2017, 3, 12.	2.3	137
47	Women's views on overdiagnosis in breast cancer screening: a qualitative study. <i>BMJ, The</i> , 2013, 346, f158-f158.	3.0	135
48	Society of Surgical Oncologyâ€”American Society for Radiation Oncologyâ€”American Society of Clinical Oncology Consensus Guideline on Margins for Breast-Conserving Surgery With Whole-Breast Irradiation in Ductal Carcinoma in Situ. <i>Practical Radiation Oncology</i> , 2016, 6, 287-295.	1.1	135
49	Informed Choice in Mammography Screening. <i>Archives of Internal Medicine</i> , 2007, 167, 2039.	4.3	133
50	The Association of Surgical Margins and Local Recurrence in Women with Ductal Carcinoma In Situ Treated with Breast-Conserving Therapy: A Meta-Analysis. <i>Annals of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2016, 23, 3811-3821.	0.7	130
51	Accuracy of fine needle aspiration cytology (FNAC) of axillary lymph nodes as a triage test in breast cancer staging. <i>Breast Cancer Research and Treatment</i> , 2007, 103, 85-91.	1.1	129
52	The ethical, legal and social implications of using artificial intelligence systems in breast cancer care. <i>Breast</i> , 2020, 49, 25-32.	0.9	125
53	Application of breast tomosynthesis in screening: incremental effect on mammography acquisition and reading time. <i>British Journal of Radiology</i> , 2012, 85, e1174-e1178.	1.0	121
54	Borderline breast core needle histology: predictive values for malignancy in lesions of uncertain malignant potential (B3). <i>British Journal of Cancer</i> , 2007, 96, 1253-1257.	2.9	119

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
55	Breast cancer: Multidisciplinary care and clinical outcomes. <i>European Journal of Cancer</i> , 2006, 42, 2480-2491.	1.3	118
56	Model of outcomes of screening mammography: information to support informed choices. <i>BMJ: British Medical Journal</i> , 2005, 330, 936.	2.4	116
57	Population screening and intensity of screening are associated with reduced breast cancer mortality: evidence of efficacy of mammography screening in Australia. <i>Breast Cancer Research and Treatment</i> , 2008, 108, 409-416.	1.1	115
58	HER2 discordance between primary breast cancer and its paired metastasis: tumor biology or test artefact? Insights through meta-analysis. <i>Breast Cancer Research and Treatment</i> , 2011, 129, 659-674.	1.1	113
59	Artificial Intelligence (AI) for the early detection of breast cancer: a scoping review to assess AI's potential in breast screening practice. <i>Expert Review of Medical Devices</i> , 2019, 16, 351-362.	1.4	108
60	Accuracy and Underestimation of Malignancy of Breast Core Needle Biopsy: the Florence Experience of Over 4000 Consecutive Biopsies. <i>Breast Cancer Research and Treatment</i> , 2007, 101, 291-297.	1.1	107
61	A first evaluation of breast radiological density assessment by QUANTRA software as compared to visual classification. <i>Breast</i> , 2012, 21, 503-506.	0.9	106
62	Agreement between MRI and pathologic breast tumor size after neoadjuvant chemotherapy, and comparison with alternative tests: individual patient data meta-analysis. <i>BMC Cancer</i> , 2015, 15, 662.	1.1	106
63	Diagnostic performance of contrast-enhanced spectral mammography: Systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>Breast</i> , 2016, 28, 13-19.	0.9	105
64	Contribution of mammography to MRI screening in BRCA mutation carriers by BRCA status and age: individual patient data meta-analysis. <i>British Journal of Cancer</i> , 2016, 114, 631-637.	2.9	99
65	Digital Breast Tomosynthesis with Synthesized Two-Dimensional Images versus Full-Field Digital Mammography for Population Screening: Outcomes from the Verona Screening Program. <i>Radiology</i> , 2018, 287, 37-46.	3.6	95
66	Evaluation of the evidence on staging imaging for detection of asymptomatic distant metastases in newly diagnosed breast cancer. <i>Breast</i> , 2012, 21, 112-123.	0.9	94
67	Poor Hormone Receptor Expression in East African Breast Cancer: Evidence of a Biologically Different Disease?. <i>Annals of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2008, 15, 1983-1988.	0.7	91
68	Digital breast tomosynthesis for breast cancer screening and diagnosis in women with dense breasts – a systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>BMC Cancer</i> , 2018, 18, 380.	1.1	90
69	Meta-analysis of the effect of preoperative breast MRI on the surgical management of ductal carcinoma <i>in situ</i> . <i>British Journal of Surgery</i> , 2015, 102, 883-893.	0.1	84
70	Meta-analysis of agreement between MRI and pathologic breast tumour size after neoadjuvant chemotherapy. <i>British Journal of Cancer</i> , 2013, 109, 1528-1536.	2.9	83
71	Radiation dose with digital breast tomosynthesis compared to digital mammography: per-view analysis. <i>European Radiology</i> , 2018, 28, 573-581.	2.3	83
72	Characterisation of microcalcification clusters on 2D digital mammography (FFDM) and digital breast tomosynthesis (DBT): does DBT underestimate microcalcification clusters? Results of a multicentre study. <i>European Radiology</i> , 2015, 25, 9-14.	2.3	81

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
73	A prospective comparative trial of adjunct screening with tomosynthesis or ultrasound in women with mammography-negative dense breasts (ASTOUND-2). <i>European Journal of Cancer</i> , 2018, 104, 39-46.	1.3	80
74	Two-view digital breast tomosynthesis versus digital mammography in a population-based breast cancer screening programme (To-Be): a randomised, controlled trial. <i>Lancet Oncology</i> , The, 2019, 20, 795-805.	5.1	75
75	Breast screening using 2D-mammography or integrating digital breast tomosynthesis (3D-mammography) for single-reading or double-reading – Evidence to guide future screening strategies. <i>European Journal of Cancer</i> , 2014, 50, 1799-1807.	1.3	74
76	New technologies in screening for breast cancer: a systematic review of their accuracy. <i>British Journal of Cancer</i> , 2004, 90, 2118-2122.	2.9	73
77	Prospective study of breast tomosynthesis as a triage to assessment in screening. <i>Breast Cancer Research and Treatment</i> , 2012, 133, 267-271.	1.1	73
78	Long-term outcomes of ductal carcinoma in situ of the breast: a systematic review, meta-analysis and meta-regression analysis. <i>BMC Cancer</i> , 2015, 15, 890.	1.1	73
79	Artificial intelligence for breast cancer screening: Opportunity or hype?. <i>Breast</i> , 2017, 36, 31-33.	0.9	73
80	Magnetic Resonance Imaging Improves Breast Screening Sensitivity in BRCA Mutation Carriers Age ≥ 50 Years: Evidence From an Individual Patient Data Meta-Analysis. <i>Journal of Clinical Oncology</i> , 2015, 33, 349-356.	0.8	72
81	The evidence base for breast cancer screening. <i>Preventive Medicine</i> , 2011, 53, 100-102.	1.6	71
82	Accuracy of mammography, digital breast tomosynthesis, ultrasound and MR imaging in preoperative assessment of breast cancer. <i>Anticancer Research</i> , 2014, 34, 1219-25.	0.5	66
83	Fibroadenoma of the breast. <i>Medical Journal of Australia</i> , 2001, 174, 185-188.	0.8	63
84	Radiological surveillance of interval breast cancers in screening programmes. <i>Lancet Oncology</i> , The, 2006, 7, 259-265.	5.1	61
85	Mammographic surveillance in women with a personal history of breast cancer: How accurate? How effective?. <i>Breast</i> , 2010, 19, 439-445.	0.9	60
86	Imaging Surveillance After Primary Breast Cancer Treatment. <i>American Journal of Roentgenology</i> , 2017, 208, 676-686.	1.0	59
87	Overdiagnosis of breast cancer in population screening: does it make breast screening worthless?. <i>Cancer Biology and Medicine</i> , 2017, 14, 1-8.	1.4	58
88	Medical tests: women's reported and preferred decision-making roles and preferences for information on benefits, side-effects and false results. <i>Health Expectations</i> , 2002, 5, 330-340.	1.1	53
89	Effect of integrating 3D-mammography (digital breast tomosynthesis) with 2D-mammography on radiologists' true-positive and false-positive detection in a population breast screening trial. <i>European Journal of Cancer</i> , 2014, 50, 1232-1238.	1.3	50
90	Interval breast cancers in the screening with tomosynthesis or standard mammography (STORM) population-based trial. <i>Breast</i> , 2018, 38, 150-153.	0.9	50

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
91	Accuracy of needle biopsy of breast lesions visible on ultrasound: Audit of fine needle versus core needle biopsy in 3233 consecutive samplings with ascertained outcomes. <i>Breast</i> , 2012, 21, 449-454.	0.9	49
92	Risk Factors for Second Screen-Detected or Interval Breast Cancers in Women with a Personal History of Breast Cancer Participating in Mammography Screening. <i>Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers and Prevention</i> , 2013, 22, 946-961.	1.1	49
93	Effect of implementing digital breast tomosynthesis (DBT) instead of mammography on population screening outcomes including interval cancer rates: Results of the Trento DBT pilot evaluation. <i>Breast</i> , 2020, 50, 135-140.	0.9	49
94	Reader variability in reporting breast imaging according to BI-RADS® assessment categories (the Tj ETQq0 0 0 rgBT/Overlock 10 Tf 50	0.9	46
95	Comparative estimation of percentage breast tissue density for digital mammography, digital breast tomosynthesis, and magnetic resonance imaging. <i>Breast Cancer Research and Treatment</i> , 2013, 138, 311-317.	1.1	45
96	An exploratory radiomics analysis on digital breast tomosynthesis in women with mammographically negative dense breasts. <i>Breast</i> , 2018, 40, 92-96.	0.9	44
97	The comparative sensitivity of mammography and ultrasound in women with breast symptoms: an age-specific analysis. <i>Breast</i> , 2002, 11, 125-130.	0.9	43
98	Early detection of breast cancer: Overview of the evidence on computer-aided detection in mammography screening*. <i>Journal of Medical Imaging and Radiation Oncology</i> , 2009, 53, 171-176.	0.9	43
99	Incremental effect from integrating 3D-mammography (tomosynthesis) with 2D-mammography: Increased breast cancer detection evident for screening centres in a population-based trial. <i>Breast</i> , 2014, 23, 76-80.	0.9	43
100	Comparison of synthetic mammography, reconstructed from digital breast tomosynthesis, and digital mammography: evaluation of lesion conspicuity and BI-RADS assessment categories. <i>Breast Cancer Research and Treatment</i> , 2017, 166, 765-773.	1.1	43
101	Evaluating new screening tests for breast cancer. <i>BMJ: British Medical Journal</i> , 2006, 332, 678-679.	2.4	42
102	Imaging bone metastases in breast cancer: evidence on comparative test accuracy. <i>Annals of Oncology</i> , 2012, 23, 834-843.	0.6	41
103	Clinical utility of ultrasound-needle biopsy for preoperative staging of the axilla in invasive breast cancer. <i>Anticancer Research</i> , 2014, 34, 1087-97.	0.5	41
104	Positive predictive value of breast fine needle aspiration cytology (FNAC) in combination with clinical and imaging findings: a series of 2334 subjects with abnormal cytology. <i>Breast Cancer Research and Treatment</i> , 2006, 97, 319-321.	1.1	40
105	Minority report "false negative breast assessment in women recalled for suspicious screening mammography: imaging and pathological features, and associated delay in diagnosis. <i>Breast Cancer Research and Treatment</i> , 2007, 105, 37-43.	1.1	39
106	The prevention, detection, and management of breast cancer. <i>Medical Journal of Australia</i> , 2006, 184, 230-234.	0.8	39
107	Accuracy of a Preoperative Model for Predicting Invasive Breast Cancer in Women with Ductal Carcinoma-in-situ on Vacuum-Assisted Core Needle Biopsy. <i>Annals of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2011, 18, 1364-1371.	0.7	38
108	Digital breast tomosynthesis (3D-mammography) screening: data and implications for population screening. <i>Expert Review of Medical Devices</i> , 2015, 12, 377-379.	1.4	38

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
109	Comparison of breast cancers detected in the Verona screening program following transition to digital breast tomosynthesis screening with cancers detected at digital mammography screening. <i>Breast Cancer Research and Treatment</i> , 2018, 170, 391-397.	1.1	38
110	The influence of clinical information on the accuracy of diagnostic mammography. <i>Breast Cancer Research and Treatment</i> , 2004, 85, 223-228.	1.1	37
111	Evidence relevant to the investigation of breast symptoms: the triple test. <i>Breast</i> , 2002, 11, 215-220.	0.9	36
112	Population estimates of survival in women with screen-detected and symptomatic breast cancer taking account of lead time and length bias. <i>Breast Cancer Research and Treatment</i> , 2009, 116, 179-185.	1.1	36
113	Digital breast tomosynthesis as an adjunct to digital mammography for detecting and characterising invasive lobular cancers: a multi-reader study. <i>Clinical Radiology</i> , 2016, 71, 889-895.	0.5	36
114	The impact of legislation mandating breast density notification – Review of the evidence. <i>Breast</i> , 2018, 42, 102-112.	0.9	36
115	The unusual mammographic appearance of breasts augmented by autologous fat injection. <i>Breast</i> , 2000, 9, 220-222.	0.9	35
116	Interval breast cancers in screening: The effect of mammography review method on classification. <i>Breast</i> , 2007, 16, 646-652.	0.9	35
117	The effect of information about overdetection of breast cancer on women's decision-making about mammography screening: study protocol for a randomised controlled trial. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2014, 4, e004990.	0.8	35
118	Review of complex breast cysts: implications for cancer detection and clinical practice. <i>ANZ Journal of Surgery</i> , 2005, 75, 1080-1085.	0.3	34
119	Incidence of metastatic breast cancer in an Australian population-based cohort of women with non-metastatic breast cancer at diagnosis. <i>Medical Journal of Australia</i> , 2012, 196, 688-692.	0.8	34
120	Axillary management in breast cancer: What's new for 2012?. <i>Breast</i> , 2012, 21, 411-415.	0.9	34
121	Which screening strategy should be offered to women with BRCA1 or BRCA2 mutations? A simulation of comparative cost-effectiveness. <i>British Journal of Cancer</i> , 2013, 108, 1579-1586.	2.9	34
122	Digital Breast Tomosynthesis. <i>JAMA Oncology</i> , 2016, 2, 725.	3.4	33
123	Breast cancer Ki-67 expression prediction by digital breast tomosynthesis radiomics features. <i>European Radiology Experimental</i> , 2019, 3, 36.	1.7	33
124	Benefits, harms and costs of screening mammography in women 70 years and over: a systematic review. <i>Medical Journal of Australia</i> , 2002, 176, 266-271.	0.8	32
125	The evolving role of new imaging methods in breast screening. <i>Preventive Medicine</i> , 2011, 53, 123-126.	1.6	32
126	Temporal trends show improved breast cancer survival in Australia but widening urban-rural differences. <i>Breast</i> , 2015, 24, 524-527.	0.9	32

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
127	Rapid review: Estimates of incremental breast cancer detection from tomosynthesis (3D-mammography) screening in women with dense breasts. <i>Breast</i> , 2016, 30, 141-145.	0.9	32
128	Accuracy of screening women at familial risk of breast cancer without a known gene mutation: Individual patient data meta-analysis. <i>European Journal of Cancer</i> , 2017, 85, 31-38.	1.3	32
129	Meta-analysis of prospective studies evaluating breast cancer detection and interval cancer rates for digital breast tomosynthesis versus mammography population screening. <i>European Journal of Cancer</i> , 2021, 148, 14-23.	1.3	32
130	Staging the axilla in women with breast cancer: the utility of preoperative ultrasound-guided needle biopsy. <i>Cancer Biology and Medicine</i> , 2014, 11, 69-77.	1.4	32
131	Using patient management as a surrogate for patient health outcomes in diagnostic test evaluation. <i>BMC Medical Research Methodology</i> , 2012, 12, 12.	1.4	31
132	Overdetection in breast cancer screening: development and preliminary evaluation of a decision aid. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2014, 4, e006016-e006016.	0.8	31
133	Accuracy of ultrasound for predicting pathologic response during neoadjuvant therapy for breast cancer. <i>International Journal of Cancer</i> , 2015, 136, 2730-2737.	2.3	31
134	Five-Year Risk for Interval-Invasive Second Breast Cancer. <i>Journal of the National Cancer Institute</i> , 2015, 107, .	3.0	31
135	Breast cancer detection using single-reading of breast tomosynthesis (3D-mammography) compared to double-reading of 2D-mammography: Evidence from a population-based trial. <i>Cancer Epidemiology</i> , 2017, 47, 94-99.	0.8	30
136	To share or not to share? Expected pros and cons of data sharing in radiological research. <i>European Radiology</i> , 2018, 28, 2328-2335.	2.3	30
137	Magnetic resonance imaging before breast cancer surgery: results of an observational multicenter international prospective analysis (MIPA). <i>European Radiology</i> , 2022, 32, 1611-1623.	2.3	30
138	Breast cancer screening: emerging role of new imaging techniques as adjuncts to mammography. <i>Medical Journal of Australia</i> , 2009, 190, 493-498.	0.8	29
139	The Society of Surgical Oncology's American Society for Radiation Oncology Consensus Guideline on Margins for Breast-Conserving Surgery With Whole-Breast Irradiation in Stages I and II Invasive Breast Cancer: Perspectives for Pathologists. <i>Archives of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine</i> , 2015, 139, 575-577.	1.2	29
140	A randomized controlled trial of digital breast tomosynthesis versus digital mammography in population-based screening in Bergen: interim analysis of performance indicators from the To-Be trial. <i>European Radiology</i> , 2019, 29, 1175-1186.	2.3	29
141	Likelihood ratios for clinical examination, mammography, ultrasound and fine needle biopsy in women with breast problems. <i>Breast</i> , 1998, 7, 85-89.	0.9	28
142	Estimation of percentage breast tissue density: comparison between digital mammography (2D full) Tj ETQq0 0 0 rgBT /Overlock 10 Tf 5 categories. <i>British Journal of Radiology</i> , 2013, 86, 20130255.	1.0	28
143	Margins in breast conservation: A clinician's perspective and what the literature tells us. <i>Journal of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2014, 110, 2-7.	0.8	28
144	Breast Density Assessment Using a 3T MRI System: Comparison among Different Sequences. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2014, 9, e99027.	1.1	28

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
145	Overview of long term care of breast cancer survivors. <i>Maturitas</i> , 2011, 69, 106-112.	1.0	25
146	A novel caseâ€control design to estimate the extent of overâ€diagnosis of breast cancer due to organised populationâ€based mammography screening. <i>International Journal of Cancer</i> , 2015, 136, 1411-1421.	2.3	25
147	Accuracy and reading time for six strategies using digital breast tomosynthesis in women with mammographically negative dense breasts. <i>European Radiology</i> , 2017, 27, 5179-5184.	2.3	25
148	Screening women with a personal history of breast cancer: overview of the evidence on breast imaging surveillance. <i>Ultrasonography</i> , 2018, 37, 277-287.	1.0	25
149	Accuracy of combined breast imaging in young women. <i>Breast</i> , 2002, 11, 36-40.	0.9	24
150	The Impact of Breast Density Information or Notification on Womenâ€™s Cognitive, Psychological, and Behavioral Outcomes: A Systematic Review. <i>Journal of the National Cancer Institute</i> , 2021, 113, 1299-1328.	3.0	24
151	Outcomes after breast-conserving surgery or mastectomy in patients with triple-negative breast cancer: meta-analysis. <i>British Journal of Surgery</i> , 2021, 108, 760-768.	0.1	24
152	Trastuzumab for metastatic breast cancer: Real world outcomes from an Australian whole-of-population cohort (2001â€2016). <i>Breast</i> , 2018, 38, 7-13.	0.9	23
153	Pilot trial of digital breast tomosynthesis (3D mammography) for populationâ€based screening in BreastScreen Victoria. <i>Medical Journal of Australia</i> , 2019, 211, 357-362.	0.8	23
154	Effectiveness of digital breast tomosynthesis (3D-mammography) in population breast cancer screening: a protocol for a collaborative individual participant data (IPD) meta-analysis. <i>Translational Cancer Research</i> , 2017, 6, 869-877.	0.4	23
155	Changes in Reoperation After Publication of Consensus Guidelines on Margins for Breast-Conserving Surgery. <i>JAMA Surgery</i> , 2020, 155, e203025.	2.2	22
156	Consumer information materials for diagnostic breast tests: women's views on information and their understanding of test results. <i>Health Expectations</i> , 2003, 6, 298-311.	1.1	21
157	Is Conventional Urinary Cytology Still Reliable for Diagnosis of Primary Bladder Carcinoma? Accuracy Based on Data Linkage of a Consecutive Clinical Series and Cancer Registry. <i>Acta Cytologica</i> , 2011, 55, 193-196.	0.7	21
158	Metastatic breast cancer in young women: a populationâ€based cohort study to describe risk and prognosis. <i>Internal Medicine Journal</i> , 2014, 44, 764-770.	0.5	21
159	Digital breast tomosynthesis (3D-mammography) screening: A pictorial review of screen-detected cancers and false recalls attributed to tomosynthesis in prospective screening trials. <i>Breast</i> , 2016, 26, 119-134.	0.9	21
160	Preoperative MRI evaluation of lesionâ€nipple distance in breast cancer patients: thresholds for predicting occult nippleâ€areola complex involvement. <i>Clinical Radiology</i> , 2018, 73, 735-743.	0.5	21
161	Should women aged 70â€74 be invited to participate in screening mammography? A report on two Australian community juries. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2018, 8, e021174.	0.8	21
162	Digital breast tomosynthesis (3D mammography) for breast cancer screening and for assessment of screen-recalled findings: review of the evidence. <i>Expert Review of Anticancer Therapy</i> , 2018, 18, 785-791.	1.1	21

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
163	The impact of mandatory mammographic breast density notification on supplemental screening practice in the United States: a systematic review. <i>Breast Cancer Research and Treatment</i> , 2021, 187, 11-30.	1.1	21
164	Interval and Subsequent Round Breast Cancer in a Randomized Controlled Trial Comparing Digital Breast Tomosynthesis and Digital Mammography Screening. <i>Radiology</i> , 2021, 300, 66-76.	3.6	21
165	Digital Breast Tomosynthesis (DBT) to Characterize MRI-Detected Additional Lesions Unidentified at Targeted Ultrasound in Newly Diagnosed Breast Cancer Patients. <i>European Radiology</i> , 2015, 25, 2673-2681.	2.3	20
166	Long-term survival in trastuzumab-treated patients with HER2-positive metastatic breast cancer: real-world outcomes and treatment patterns in a whole-of-population Australian cohort (2001â€“2016). <i>Breast Cancer Research and Treatment</i> , 2018, 171, 151-159.	1.1	20
167	Impact of Full-Field Digital Mammography Versus Film-Screen Mammography in Population Screening: A Meta-Analysis. <i>Journal of the National Cancer Institute</i> , 2021, 113, 16-26.	3.0	20
168	Florence?Sydney Breast Biopsy Study: sensitivity of ultrasound-guided versus freehand fine needle biopsy of palpable breast cancer. <i>Breast Cancer Research and Treatment</i> , 2005, 89, 55-59.	1.1	19
169	Evidence on Synthesized Two-dimensional Mammography Versus Digital Mammography When Using Tomosynthesis (Three-dimensional Mammography) for Population Breast Cancer Screening. <i>Clinical Breast Cancer</i> , 2018, 18, 255-260.e1.	1.1	19
170	Independent External Validation of Artificial Intelligence Algorithms for Automated Interpretation of Screening Mammography: A Systematic Review. <i>Journal of the American College of Radiology</i> , 2022, 19, 259-273.	0.9	19
171	Pre-operative breast MRI in women with recently diagnosed breast cancer â€“ Where to next?. <i>Breast</i> , 2010, 19, 1-2.	0.9	18
172	A population-based study of breast cancer prevalence in Australia: predicting the future health care needs of women living with breast cancer. <i>BMC Cancer</i> , 2014, 14, 936.	1.1	18
173	Solving the preoperative breast MRI conundrum: design and protocol of the MIPA study. <i>European Radiology</i> , 2020, 30, 5427-5436.	2.3	18
174	The Influence of Knowledge of Mammography Findings on the Accuracy of Breast Ultrasound in Symptomatic Women. <i>Breast Journal</i> , 2005, 11, 167-172.	0.4	17
175	Quality of breast imaging reports falls short of recommended standards. <i>Breast</i> , 2007, 16, 271-279.	0.9	17
176	Does computer-aided detection (CAD) contribute to the performance of digital mammography in a self-referred population?. <i>Breast Cancer Research and Treatment</i> , 2008, 111, 373-376.	1.1	17
177	Accuracy of screening mammography in women with a history of lobular carcinoma in situ or atypical hyperplasia of the breast. <i>Breast Cancer Research and Treatment</i> , 2014, 145, 765-773.	1.1	17
178	Trends in stage-specific breast cancer incidence in New South Wales, Australia: insights into the effects of 25Ayears of screening mammography. <i>Breast Cancer Research and Treatment</i> , 2017, 166, 843-854.	1.1	17
179	Impact of extending screening mammography to older women Information to support informed choices. <i>International Journal of Cancer</i> , 2017, 141, 1540-1550.	2.3	17
180	Cumulative Risk Distribution for Interval Invasive Second Breast Cancers After Negative Surveillance Mammography. <i>Journal of Clinical Oncology</i> , 2018, 36, 2070-2077.	0.8	17

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
181	Metastatic breast cancer incidence, site and survival in Australia, 2001–2016: a population-based health record linkage study protocol. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2019, 9, e026414.	0.8	17
182	Interval breast cancer rates for digital breast tomosynthesis versus digital mammography population screening: An individual participant data meta-analysis. <i>EClinicalMedicine</i> , 2021, 34, 100804.	3.2	17
183	Technical aspects of breast MRI—do they affect outcomes?. <i>European Radiology</i> , 2009, 19, 1629-1638.	2.3	16
184	Discussing the benefits and harms of screening mammography. <i>Maturitas</i> , 2016, 92, 150-153.	1.0	16
185	Pathways to breast cancer screening artificial intelligence algorithm validation. <i>Breast</i> , 2020, 52, 146-149.	0.9	16
186	Improving breast cancer screening in Australia: a public health perspective. <i>Public Health Research and Practice</i> , 2019, 29, .	0.7	16
187	Computer-Aided Screening Mammography. <i>New England Journal of Medicine</i> , 2007, 357, 83-85.	13.9	15
188	Breast cancer screening: the questions answered. <i>Nature Reviews Clinical Oncology</i> , 2012, 9, 599-605.	12.5	15
189	Use and outcomes of targeted therapies in early and metastatic HER2-positive breast cancer in Australia: protocol detailing observations in a whole of population cohort. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2017, 7, e014439.	0.8	15
190	Informing Women About Overdetection in Breast Cancer Screening: Two-Year Outcomes From a Randomized Trial. <i>Journal of the National Cancer Institute</i> , 2021, 113, 1523-1530.	3.0	15
191	Hormone replacement therapy use dramatically increases breast oestrogen receptor expression in obese postmenopausal women. <i>Breast Cancer Research</i> , 2001, 3, 342-5.	2.2	14
192	Reliability of sparing papanicolaou test conventional reading in cases reported as No Further Review at AutoPap-assisted cytological screening. <i>Cancer</i> , 2007, 111, 93-98.	2.0	14
193	Preoperative breast cancer staging with multi-modality imaging and surgical outcomes. <i>European Journal of Radiology</i> , 2020, 122, 108766.	1.2	14
194	Breast density notification: evidence on whether benefit outweighs harm is required to inform future screening practice. <i>BMJ Evidence-Based Medicine</i> , 2021, 26, 309-311.	1.7	14
195	The contribution of work-up or additional views to the accuracy of diagnostic mammography. <i>Breast</i> , 2003, 12, 270-275.	0.9	13
196	EUSOMA criteria for performing pre-operative MRI staging in candidates for breast conserving surgery: Hype or helpful?. <i>Breast</i> , 2012, 21, 406-408.	0.9	13
197	Survival outcomes for Australian women receiving trastuzumab for HER2-positive metastatic breast cancer following (neo)adjuvant trastuzumab: a national population-based observational study (2006–2014). <i>British Journal of Cancer</i> , 2018, 118, 441-447.	2.9	13
198	Agreement between digital breast tomosynthesis and pathologic tumour size for staging breast cancer, and comparison with standard mammography. <i>Breast</i> , 2019, 43, 59-66.	0.9	13

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
199	Lesions of uncertain malignant potential of the breast (B3) on vacuum-assisted biopsy for microcalcifications: Predictors of malignancy. <i>European Journal of Radiology</i> , 2020, 130, 109194.	1.2	13
200	Digital Mammography and Breast Tomosynthesis Performance in Women with a Personal History of Breast Cancer, 2007–2016. <i>Radiology</i> , 2021, 300, 290-300.	3.6	13
201	De novo and recurrent metastatic breast cancer – A systematic review of population-level changes in survival since 1995. <i>EClinicalMedicine</i> , 2022, 44, 101282.	3.2	13
202	New methods give better estimates of changes in diagnostic accuracy when prior information is provided. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2006, 59, 299-307.	2.4	12
203	Digital breast tomosynthesis: the future of mammography screening or much ado about nothing?. <i>Expert Review of Medical Devices</i> , 2013, 10, 583-585.	1.4	12
204	Digital breast tomosynthesis in one or two views as a replacement or adjunct technique to full-field digital mammography. <i>Radiation Protection Dosimetry</i> , 2015, 165, 314-320.	0.4	12
205	Effect of integrating digital breast tomosynthesis (3D-mammography) with acquired or synthetic 2D-mammography on radiologists' true-positive and false-positive detection in a population screening trial: A descriptive study. <i>European Journal of Radiology</i> , 2018, 106, 26-31.	1.2	12
206	Should women at high risk of breast cancer have screening magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)?. <i>Breast</i> , 2007, 16, 2-4.	0.9	11
207	Mammographic sensitivity as a function of tumor size: A novel estimation based on population-based screening data. <i>Breast</i> , 2021, 55, 69-74.	0.9	11
208	Screening outcomes by risk factor and age: evidence from BreastScreen WA for discussions of risk-stratified population screening. <i>Medical Journal of Australia</i> , 2021, 215, 359-365.	0.8	11
209	Artificial intelligence (AI) to enhance breast cancer screening: protocol for population-based cohort study of cancer detection. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2022, 12, e054005.	0.8	11
210	Evaluation of radiographers' mammography screen-reading accuracy in Australia. <i>Journal of Medical Radiation Sciences</i> , 2015, 62, 15-22.	0.8	10
211	Digital Breast Tomosynthesis Might Not Be the Optimal Modality for Detecting Microcalcification. <i>Radiology</i> , 2015, 275, 618-619.	3.6	10
212	Carcinoma in situ of the breast in New South Wales, Australia: Current status and trends over the last 40 year. <i>Breast</i> , 2018, 37, 170-178.	0.9	10
213	Systematic review of agreement between tomosynthesis and pathologic tumor size for newly diagnosed breast cancer and comparison with other imaging tests. <i>Expert Review of Medical Devices</i> , 2018, 15, 489-496.	1.4	10
214	An individual participant data meta-analysis of breast cancer detection and recall rates for digital breast tomosynthesis versus digital mammography population screening. <i>Clinical Breast Cancer</i> , 2022, 22, e647-e654.	1.1	10
215	Radiologists' interpretive efficiency and variability in true- and false-positive detection when screen-reading with tomosynthesis (3D-mammography) relative to standard mammography in population screening. <i>Breast</i> , 2015, 24, 687-693.	0.9	9
216	Views of healthcare professionals about the role of active monitoring in the management of ductal carcinoma in situ (DCIS): Qualitative interview study. <i>Breast</i> , 2020, 54, 99-105.	0.9	9

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
217	The cost-effectiveness of digital breast tomosynthesis in a population breast cancer screening program. <i>European Radiology</i> , 2020, 30, 5437-5445.	2.3	9
218	Overdiagnosis of invasive breast cancer in population-based breast cancer screening: A short- and long-term perspective. <i>European Journal of Cancer</i> , 2022, 173, 1-9.	1.3	9
219	Breast imaging and needle biopsy in women with clinically evident breast cancer: Does combined imaging change overall diagnostic sensitivity?. <i>Breast</i> , 2007, 16, 382-386.	0.9	8
220	PSA Use and Incidence of Prostate Biopsy in the Tuscany Region: Is Opportunistic Screening Discounting Biopsy in Subjects with PSA Elevation?. <i>Tumori</i> , 2008, 94, 518-522.	0.6	8
221	Should women with a BRCA1/2 mutation aged 60 and older be offered intensified breast cancer screening? â€” A cost-effectiveness analysis. <i>Breast</i> , 2019, 45, 82-88.	0.9	8
222	Intraoperative breast specimen assessment in breast conserving surgery: comparison between standard mammography imaging and a remote radiological system. <i>British Journal of Radiology</i> , 2020, 93, 20190785.	1.0	8
223	Breast Density Notification: A Systematic Review of the Impact on Primary Care Practitioners. <i>Journal of Women's Health</i> , 2021, 30, 1457-1468.	1.5	8
224	General practitionersâ€™ (GPs) understanding and views on breast density in Australia: a qualitative interview study. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2021, 11, e047513.	0.8	8
225	The role of breast MRI in clinical practice. <i>Australian Family Physician</i> , 2009, 38, 513-9.	0.5	8
226	Incorporating new technologies into clinical practice without evidence of effectiveness in prospective studies: Computer-aided detection (CAD) in breast screening reinforces the need for better initial evaluation. <i>Breast</i> , 2007, 16, 219-221.	0.9	7
227	The impact of different criteria for selecting information to be provided to women undergoing diagnostic breast tests. <i>Patient Education and Counseling</i> , 2008, 71, 86-94.	1.0	7
228	Second breast cancers in a Tuscan case series: characteristics, prognosis, and predictors of survival. <i>British Journal of Cancer</i> , 2008, 99, 539-544.	2.9	7
229	Evaluation of lesions of uncertain malignant potential (B3) at core needle biopsy using magnetic resonance imaging: A new approach warrants prospective studies. <i>Breast</i> , 2008, 17, 117-119.	0.9	7
230	Design-related bias in estimates of accuracy when comparing imaging tests: examples from breast imaging research. <i>European Radiology</i> , 2010, 20, 2061-2066.	2.3	7
231	The Impact of Breast Density on Breast Cancer Risk and Breast Screening. <i>Current Breast Cancer Reports</i> , 2012, 4, 161-168.	0.5	7
232	The Effect of Supplemental Imaging on Interval Cancer Rates in Mammography Screening: Systematic Review. <i>Clinical Breast Cancer</i> , 2022, 22, 212-222.	1.1	7
233	How information about overdiagnosis changes breast cancer screening decisions: a mediation analysis within a randomised controlled trial. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2017, 7, e016246.	0.8	7
234	AI as a new paradigm for risk-based screening for breast cancer. <i>Nature Medicine</i> , 2022, 28, 29-30.	15.2	7

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
235	Image-detected "probably benign"™ breast lesions: A significant reason for referral from primary care. <i>Breast</i> , 2006, 15, 683-686.	0.9	6
236	An appraisal of pre-operative MRI in breast cancer: More effective staging of the breast or much ado about nothing?. <i>Maturitas</i> , 2010, 67, 291-293.	1.0	6
237	Proportional incidence and radiological review of large (T2+) breast cancers as surrogate indicators of screening programme performance. <i>European Radiology</i> , 2012, 22, 1250-1254.	2.3	6
238	Differences in breast density assessment using mammography, tomosynthesis and MRI and their implications for practice. <i>British Journal of Radiology</i> , 2013, 86, 20130528.	1.0	6
239	STORM, a new dimension for mammography screening. <i>Medical Journal of Australia</i> , 2013, 199, 308-309.	0.8	6
240	Artificial intelligence (AI) in breast cancer care - Leveraging multidisciplinary skills to improve care. <i>Breast</i> , 2021, 56, 110-113.	0.9	6
241	"œlt"™s about our bodies" we have the right to know this stuff" A qualitative focus group study on Australian women"™s perspectives on breast density. <i>Patient Education and Counseling</i> , 2022, 105, 632-640.	1.0	6
242	Trastuzumab emtansine for HER2-positive metastatic breast cancer: Outcomes from a whole-of-population Australian cohort. <i>Breast</i> , 2021, 58, 106-112.	0.9	6
243	Differential detection by breast density for digital breast tomosynthesis versus digital mammography population screening: a systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>British Journal of Cancer</i> , 2022, 127, 116-125.	2.9	6
244	BreastScreen"™-based mammography screening in women with a personal history of breast cancer, Western Australian study. <i>Medical Journal of Australia</i> , 2011, 195, 460-464.	0.8	5
245	Intraoperative digital breast tomosynthesis using a dedicated device is more accurate than standard intraoperative mammography for identifying positive margins. <i>Clinical Radiology</i> , 2019, 74, 974.e1-974.e6.	0.5	5
246	Newly diagnosed early breast cancer - an update on pre-operative assessment and staging. <i>Australian Family Physician</i> , 2012, 41, 871-4.	0.5	5
247	Australian Women"™s Intentions and Psychological Outcomes Related to Breast Density Notification and Information. <i>JAMA Network Open</i> , 2022, 5, e2216784.	2.8	5
248	Would the real breast cancer please stand up? A global perspective of breast cancer. <i>Breast</i> , 2008, 17, 217-219.	0.9	4
249	Management of lesions of uncertain malignant potential on breast core needle histology: Vacuum-assisted excision as an alternative to surgical excision. <i>Breast</i> , 2008, 17, 543-544.	0.9	4
250	Early detection of breast cancer the second time around: mammography in women with a personal history of breast cancer. <i>Medical Journal of Australia</i> , 2011, 194, 439-440.	0.8	4
251	Bigger margins are not better in breast conserving surgery. <i>BMJ, The</i> , 2012, 345, e5855-e5855.	3.0	4
252	Thermography in breast cancer diagnosis, screening and risk assessment: systematic review. <i>Breast Cancer Management</i> , 2013, 2, 163-172.	0.2	4

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
253	Margins in Breast-Conserving Surgery for Early Breast Cancer: How Much is Good Enough?. <i>Current Breast Cancer Reports</i> , 2016, 8, 127-134.	0.5	4
254	Breast cancers detected in only one of two arms of a tomosynthesis (3D-mammography) population screening trial (STORM-2). <i>Breast</i> , 2017, 32, 98-101.	0.9	4
255	Overdiagnosis due to screening mammography for women aged 40 years and over. <i>The Cochrane Library</i> , 0, , .	1.5	4
256	Adherence to prescribing restrictions for HER2-positive metastatic breast cancer in Australia: A national population-based observational study (2001-2016). <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2018, 13, e0198152.	1.1	4
257	Impact of the age expansion of breast screening on screening uptake and screening outcomes among older women in BreastScreen western. <i>Breast</i> , 2021, 56, 96-102.	0.9	4
258	Artificial intelligence (AI) in breast cancer care - leveraging multidisciplinary skills to improve care. <i>Artificial Intelligence in Medicine</i> , 2022, 123, 102215.	3.8	4
259	Life after breast cancer. <i>Australian Family Physician</i> , 2006, 35, 219-24.	0.5	4
260	In Reply to Dixon and Thomas. <i>International Journal of Radiation Oncology Biology Physics</i> , 2014, 89, 1139-1141.	0.4	3
261	Reply to Letter. <i>Annals of Surgery</i> , 2015, 262, e22-e23.	2.1	3
262	Evaluation of time-efficient reconstruction methods in digital breast tomosynthesis. <i>Radiation Protection Dosimetry</i> , 2015, 165, 331-336.	0.4	3
263	Evolution of Mammography Screening. , 2016, , 323-346.		3
264	Digital breast tomosynthesis (3D-mammography) for screening women with dense breasts. <i>Expert Review of Medical Devices</i> , 2016, 13, 515-517.	1.4	3
265	The importance of enabling informed decision making for women considering breast cancer screening. <i>Journal of Medical Screening</i> , 2016, 23, 55-55.	1.1	3
266	Overview of tomosynthesis (3D mammography) for breast cancer screening. <i>Breast Cancer Management</i> , 2017, 6, 9-16.	0.2	3
267	Breast imaging in general practice. <i>Australian Family Physician</i> , 2005, 34, 467-73.	0.5	3
268	Network Meta-Analysis of Margin Threshold for Women With Ductal Carcinoma In Situ. <i>Breast Diseases</i> , 2012, 23, 346-348.	0.0	2
269	Preoperative staging of the axilla in women with invasive breast cancer. <i>Breast Cancer Management</i> , 2012, 1, 65-72.	0.2	2
270	The management of women with ductal carcinoma in situ of the breast in Australia and New Zealand between 2007 and 2016. <i>ANZ Journal of Surgery</i> , 2021, 91, 1784-1791.	0.3	2

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
271	Evidence from a BreastScreen cohort does not support a longer inter-screen interval in women who have no conventional risk factors for breast cancer. <i>Breast</i> , 2022, 62, 16-21.	0.9	2
272	Breast cancer–new and emerging technologies for diagnosis and management. <i>Australian Family Physician</i> , 2005, 34, 657-61.	0.5	2
273	Should tomosynthesis replace mammography for breast cancer screening?. <i>Lancet Oncology</i> , The, 2022, 23, 554-555.	5.1	2
274	Assessment of screenâ€ recalled abnormalities for digital breast tomosynthesis versus digital mammography screening in the <scp>BreastScreen</scp> Maroonah trial. <i>Journal of Medical Imaging and Radiation Oncology</i> , 2023, 67, 242-251.	0.9	2
275	Biases in estimates of overdiagnosis due to mammography screening â€ Authors' reply. <i>Lancet Oncology</i> , The, 2008, 9, 201-202.	5.1	1
276	Imaging Surveillance of Women With a Personal History of Breast Cancer. , 2016, , 299-322.		1
277	Improving Breast Density Communication: Does the Provision of Complex Health Information Online Work?. <i>Journal of Women's Health</i> , 2021, 30, 1527-1528.	1.5	1
278	Perceptions and misperceptions of overdiagnosis of breast cancer. <i>Translational Cancer Research</i> , 2018, 7, 1347-1353.	0.4	1
279	Can population breast screening programs be leveraged to reduce the burden of breast cancer?. <i>Breast</i> , 2021, 60, 245-246.	0.9	1
280	Womenâ€™s conceptual knowledge about breast cancer screening and overdiagnosis in Norway: a cross-sectional study. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2021, 11, e052121.	0.8	1
281	From Beirut to Sydney: backyards, breast cancer, and basic opportunity. <i>Medical Journal of Australia</i> , 2003, 179, 595-597.	0.8	0
282	Report on the Fourth Scientific Meeting of the Australasian Society for Breast Disease (ASBD). <i>Breast</i> , 2004, 13, 168.	0.9	0
283	Lost in narration: Do we need to improve the quality of breast imaging reporting in Australia?. <i>Journal of Medical Imaging and Radiation Oncology</i> , 2008, 52, 2-3.	0.9	0
284	Ultrasound and Mammography for Breast Cancer Screening. <i>JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association</i> , 2008, 300, 1514.	3.8	0
285	Is Alice still in the Wonderland of breast cancer screening?. <i>Maturitas</i> , 2011, 70, 313-314.	1.0	0
286	Long-term Outcomes of Ductal Carcinoma In Situ of the Breast: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. <i>International Journal of Radiation Oncology Biology Physics</i> , 2011, 81, S32.	0.4	0
287	Overview of imaging tests for assessment of metastases in breast cancer. <i>Breast</i> , 2011, 20, S15.	0.9	0
288	Impact of Preoperative Ultrasonography and Fine-Needle Aspiration of Axillary Lymph Nodes on Surgical Management of Primary Breast Cancer. <i>Breast Diseases</i> , 2012, 23, 57-59.	0.0	0

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
289	A Eulogy for Dr Stefano Ciatto. <i>Breast</i> , 2012, 21, 229.	0.9	0
290	Does preoperative MRI improve clinical outcomes in breast cancer?. <i>Breast Cancer Management</i> , 2013, 2, 115-122.	0.2	0
291	Is the Role of Ultrasound Underestimated?. <i>American Journal of Roentgenology</i> , 2019, 212, W119-W119.	1.0	0
292	Towards evidence-based follow-up intervals for breast cancer survivors: Estimates of the preclinical detectable phase of contralateral second breast cancer. <i>Breast</i> , 2019, 45, 70-74.	0.9	0
293	1018Long-term risk of distant metastasis and post-metastasis survival for breast cancer: health record linkage study. <i>International Journal of Epidemiology</i> , 2021, 50, .	0.9	0
294	Accuracy of ultrasound during neoadjuvant therapy for breast cancer to predict pathologic response.. <i>Journal of Clinical Oncology</i> , 2014, 32, 1089-1089.	0.8	0
295	Radiological clinical trials: Proposal of a problem-finding questionnaire to improve study success. <i>World Journal of Methodology</i> , 2016, 6, 214.	1.1	0
296	The association of surgical margins and local recurrence in women with ductal carcinoma in situ treated with breast-conserving therapy: A meta-analysis.. <i>Journal of Clinical Oncology</i> , 2016, 34, 1020-1020.	0.8	0
297	Information About Overdetection Improves Knowledge Without Affecting Participation in Breast Cancer Screening: Two-Year Outcomes of a Randomised Controlled Trial. <i>SSRN Electronic Journal</i> , 0, , .	0.4	0
298	Systematic Reviews, Meta-Analyses, and Cost-Effective Analyses on Breast MRI Screening of High-Risk Women. , 2020, , 167-187.		0
299	A systematic assessment of online international breast density information. <i>Breast</i> , 2022, 65, 23-31.	0.9	0