## Johannes G Jaspersen

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/4119352/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

1307594 1199594 14 204 12 7 citations g-index h-index papers 14 14 14 115 docs citations times ranked citing authors all docs

#	Article	IF	Citations
1	HYPOTHETICAL SURVEYS AND EXPERIMENTAL STUDIES OF INSURANCE DEMAND: A REVIEW. Journal of Risk and Insurance, 2016, 83, 217-255.	1.6	47
2	Probability weighting and insurance demand in a unified framework. GENEVA Risk and Insurance Review, 2023, 48, 63-109.	0.8	33
3	Linking subjective and incentivized risk attitudes: The importance of losses. Journal of Risk and Uncertainty, 2020, 60, 187-206.	1.5	31
4	The Influence of Affect on Heuristic Thinking in Insurance Demand. Journal of Risk and Insurance, 2017, 84, 239-266.	1.6	23
5	Probability Elicitation Under Severe Time Pressure: A Rankâ€Based Method. Risk Analysis, 2015, 35, 1317-1335.	2.7	20
6	On the learning patterns and adaptive behavior of terrorist organizations. European Journal of Operational Research, 2020, 282, 221-234.	5.7	14
7	Predicting insurance demand from risk attitudes. Journal of Risk and Insurance, 2022, 89, 63-96.	1.6	12
8	Experiential Learning, Competitive Selection, and Downside Risk: A New Perspective on Managerial Risk Taking. Organization Science, 2017, 28, 915-930.	4.5	9
9	The effect of information disclosure on demand for highâ€load insurance. Journal of Risk and Insurance, 2021, 88, 161-193.	1.6	5
10	Estimating extreme cancellation rates in life insurance. Journal of Risk and Insurance, 0, , .	1.6	5
11	On the change of risk aversion in wealth: a field experiment in a closed economic system. Experimental Economics, 2023, 26, 1-26.	2.1	3
12	Convex combinations in judgment aggregation. European Journal of Operational Research, 2022, 299, 780-794.	5.7	1
13	When full insurance may not be optimal: The case of restricted substitution. Health Economics (United Kingdom), 2022, , .	1.7	1
14	An incentive compatible scoring rule for ordinal judgments of expected utility maximizers. Economics Letters, 2013, 120, 245-248.	1.9	0