

Mesfin Mergia Mekonnen

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/4106899/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

61
papers

12,479
citations

94269

37
h-index

128067

60
g-index

66
all docs

66
docs citations

66
times ranked

10744
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Four billion people facing severe water scarcity. <i>Science Advances</i> , 2016, 2, e1500323.	4.7	3,190
2	The water footprint of humanity. <i>Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America</i> , 2012, 109, 3232-3237.	3.3	1,586
3	The green, blue and grey water footprint of crops and derived crop products. <i>Hydrology and Earth System Sciences</i> , 2011, 15, 1577-1600.	1.9	1,481
4	A Global Assessment of the Water Footprint of Farm Animal Products. <i>Ecosystems</i> , 2012, 15, 401-415.	1.6	843
5	Global Monthly Water Scarcity: Blue Water Footprints versus Blue Water Availability. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2012, 7, e32688.	1.1	718
6	A global and high-resolution assessment of the green, blue and grey water footprint of wheat. <i>Hydrology and Earth System Sciences</i> , 2010, 14, 1259-1276.	1.9	295
7	Global Gray Water Footprint and Water Pollution Levels Related to Anthropogenic Nitrogen Loads to Fresh Water. <i>Environmental Science & Technology</i> , 2015, 49, 12860-12868.	4.6	294
8	Water footprint benchmarks for crop production: A first global assessment. <i>Ecological Indicators</i> , 2014, 46, 214-223.	2.6	271
9	Global Anthropogenic Phosphorus Loads to Freshwater and Associated Grey Water Footprints and Water Pollution Levels: A High-Resolution Global Study. <i>Water Resources Research</i> , 2018, 54, 345-358.	1.7	240
10	Physical water scarcity metrics for monitoring progress towards SDG target 6.4: An evaluation of indicator 6.4.2 "Level of water stress". <i>Science of the Total Environment</i> , 2018, 613-614, 218-232.	3.9	223
11	The water footprint of poultry, pork and beef: A comparative study in different countries and production systems. <i>Water Resources and Industry</i> , 2013, 1-2, 25-36.	1.9	221
12	The consumptive water footprint of electricity and heat: a global assessment. <i>Environmental Science: Water Research and Technology</i> , 2015, 1, 285-297.	1.2	192
13	The blue water footprint of electricity from hydropower. <i>Hydrology and Earth System Sciences</i> , 2012, 16, 179-187.	1.9	187
14	The water footprint of the EU for different diets. <i>Ecological Indicators</i> , 2013, 32, 1-8.	2.6	179
15	Limits to the world's green water resources for food, feed, fiber, timber, and bioenergy. <i>Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America</i> , 2019, 116, 4893-4898.	3.3	177
16	The effect of inter-annual variability of consumption, production, trade and climate on crop-related green and blue water footprints and inter-regional virtual water trade: A study for China (1978-2008). <i>Water Research</i> , 2016, 94, 73-85.	5.3	162
17	Increasing pressure on freshwater resources due to terrestrial feed ingredients for aquaculture production. <i>Science of the Total Environment</i> , 2015, 536, 847-857.	3.9	161
18	Country-specific dietary shifts to mitigate climate and water crises. <i>Global Environmental Change</i> , 2020, 62, 101926.	3.6	145

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Inter- and intra-annual variation of water footprint of crops and blue water scarcity in the Yellow River basin (1961–2009). <i>Advances in Water Resources</i> , 2016, 87, 29-41.	1.7	138
20	The external water footprint of the Netherlands: Geographically-explicit quantification and impact assessment. <i>Ecological Economics</i> , 2009, 69, 82-92.	2.9	129
21	Sensitivity and uncertainty in crop water footprint accounting: a case study for the Yellow River basin. <i>Hydrology and Earth System Sciences</i> , 2014, 18, 2219-2234.	1.9	120
22	The Water Footprint of Global Food Production. <i>Water (Switzerland)</i> , 2020, 12, 2696.	1.2	90
23	The water footprint of Tunisia from an economic perspective. <i>Ecological Indicators</i> , 2015, 52, 311-319.	2.6	89
24	Consumptive water footprint and virtual water trade scenarios for China – With a focus on crop production, consumption and trade. <i>Environment International</i> , 2016, 94, 211-223.	4.8	86
25	High-Resolution Water Footprints of Production of the United States. <i>Water Resources Research</i> , 2018, 54, 2288-2316.	1.7	84
26	Sustainability, Efficiency and Equitability of Water Consumption and Pollution in Latin America and the Caribbean. <i>Sustainability</i> , 2015, 7, 2086-2112.	1.6	76
27	Future electricity: The challenge of reducing both carbon and water footprint. <i>Science of the Total Environment</i> , 2016, 569-570, 1282-1288.	3.9	75
28	Water scarcity and fish imperilment driven by beef production. <i>Nature Sustainability</i> , 2020, 3, 319-328.	11.5	73
29	Mitigating the Water Footprint of Export Cut Flowers from the Lake Naivasha Basin, Kenya. <i>Water Resources Management</i> , 2012, 26, 3725-3742.	1.9	72
30	Imported water risk: the case of the UK. <i>Environmental Research Letters</i> , 2016, 11, 055002.	2.2	69
31	Sustainability of the blue water footprint of crops. <i>Advances in Water Resources</i> , 2020, 143, 103679.	1.7	66
32	Sustainability of national consumption from a water resources perspective: The case study for France. <i>Ecological Economics</i> , 2013, 88, 133-147.	2.9	64
33	Water, Energy, and Carbon Footprints of Bioethanol from the U.S. and Brazil. <i>Environmental Science & Technology</i> , 2018, 52, 14508-14518.	4.6	63
34	Blue water footprint linked to national consumption and international trade is unsustainable. <i>Nature Food</i> , 2020, 1, 792-800.	6.2	50
35	Benchmark levels for the consumptive water footprint of crop production for different environmental conditions: a case study for winter wheat in China. <i>Hydrology and Earth System Sciences</i> , 2016, 20, 4547-4559.	1.9	46
36	The scarcity-weighted water footprint provides unreliable water sustainability scoring. <i>Science of the Total Environment</i> , 2021, 756, 143992.	3.9	43

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	Water productivity in meat and milk production in the US from 1960 to 2016. <i>Environment International</i> , 2019, 132, 105084.	4.8	41
38	Treenuts and groundnuts in the EAT-Lancet reference diet: Concerns regarding sustainable water use. <i>Global Food Security</i> , 2020, 24, 100357.	4.0	40
39	Mitigating the Risk of Extreme Water Scarcity and Dependency: The Case of Jordan. <i>Water (Switzerland)</i> , 2015, 7, 5705-5730.	1.2	38
40	Water conservation through trade: the case of Kenya. <i>Water International</i> , 2014, 39, 451-468.	0.4	37
41	Energy, carbon and water footprints on agricultural machinery. <i>Biosystems Engineering</i> , 2020, 198, 304-322.	1.9	35
42	Anthropogenic Nitrogen and Phosphorus Emissions and Related Grey Water Footprints Caused by EU-27's Crop Production and Consumption. <i>Water (Switzerland)</i> , 2016, 8, 30.	1.2	31
43	The effect of diet changes and food loss reduction in reducing the water footprint of an average American. <i>Water International</i> , 2018, 43, 860-870.	0.4	31
44	Reply to Ridoutt and Huang: From water footprint assessment to policy. <i>Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America</i> , 2012, 109, .	3.3	28
45	Water productivity benchmarks: The case of maize and soybean in Nebraska. <i>Agricultural Water Management</i> , 2020, 234, 106122.	2.4	24
46	Meat and milk production scenarios and the associated land footprint in Kenya. <i>Agricultural Systems</i> , 2016, 145, 64-75.	3.2	22
47	Influence of internal variability on population exposure to hydroclimatic changes. <i>Environmental Research Letters</i> , 2017, 12, 044007.	2.2	22
48	Water footprint of feed required by farmed fish in China based on a Monte Carlo-supported von Bertalanffy growth model: A policy implication. <i>Journal of Cleaner Production</i> , 2017, 153, 41-50.	4.6	22
49	Adaptation opportunities for smallholder dairy farmers facing resource scarcity: Integrated livestock, water and land management. <i>Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment</i> , 2019, 284, 106592.	2.5	16
50	Trends of extreme air temperature and precipitation and their impact on corn and soybean yields in Nebraska, USA. <i>Theoretical and Applied Climatology</i> , 2022, 147, 1379-1399.	1.3	15
51	Inputs for staple crop production in China drive burden shifting of water and carbon footprints transgressing part of provincial planetary boundaries. <i>Water Research</i> , 2022, 221, 118803.	5.3	14
52	Temporal and spatial variations of irrigation water use for commercial corn fields in Central Nebraska. <i>Agricultural Water Management</i> , 2020, 228, 105924.	2.4	11
53	An application of GRACE mission datasets for streamflow and baseflow estimation in the Conterminous United States basins. <i>Journal of Hydrology</i> , 2021, 601, 126622.	2.3	9
54	Volume versus value of crop-related water footprints and virtual water flows: A case study for the Yellow River Basin. <i>Journal of Hydrology</i> , 2022, 608, 127674.	2.3	9

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
55	The Water Footprint of Primary Cowâ€Calf Production: A Revised Bottom-Up Approach Applied on Different Breeds of Beef Cattle. <i>Water</i> (Switzerland), 2020, 12, 2325.	1.2	8
56	Grid-Based Model for Estimating Evapotranspiration Rates of Heterogeneous Land Surface. <i>Journal of Irrigation and Drainage Engineering - ASCE</i> , 2020, 146, .	0.6	6
57	Effects of Irrigation Management on Yield and Water Productivity of Barley <i>Hordeum vulgare</i> in the Upper Blue Nile Basin: Case Study in Northern Gondar. <i>Water Conservation Science and Engineering</i> , 2019, 4, 113-121.	0.9	4
58	Burning Water, Overview of the Contribution of Arjen Hoekstra to the Water Energy Nexus. <i>Water</i> (Switzerland), 2020, 12, 2844.	1.2	4
59	Use of Multiple Environment Variety Trials Data to Simulate Maize Yields in the Ogallala Aquifer Region: A Two Model Approach. <i>Journal of the American Water Resources Association</i> , 2021, 57, 281-295.	1.0	4
60	Anthropogenic Nitrogen Loads to Freshwater: A High-Resolution Global Study. , 2020, , 303-317.		3
61	Reply to â€œLetter to the editor of Pfister et alâ€ regarding â€œThe scarcity-weighted water footprint provides unreliable water sustainability scoringâ€ Science of the Total Environment, 2022, 825, 154750.	3.9	0