## Lifeng Lin

## List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/4101608/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

			318942	2	206121
71		3,174	23		51
papers		citations	h-index		g-index
78		78	78		3476
all doc	3	docs citations	times ranked		citing authors

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Controversy and Debate: Questionable utility of the relative risk in clinical research: Paper 1: A call for change to practice. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2022, 142, 271-279.	2.4	73
2	Synthesis of evidence from zeroâ€events studies: A comparison of oneâ€stage framework methods. Research Synthesis Methods, 2022, 13, 176-189.	4.2	13
3	The Odds Ratio is "portable―across baseline risk but not the Relative Risk: Time to do away with the log link in binomial regression. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2022, 142, 288-293.	2.4	19
4	Empirical Comparisons of 12 Meta-analysis Methods for Synthesizing Proportions of Binary Outcomes. Journal of General Internal Medicine, 2022, 37, 308-317.	1.3	15
5	Rapid evidence synthesis approach for limits on the search date: How rapid could it be?. Research Synthesis Methods, 2022, 13, 68-76.	4.2	10
6	A penalization approach to randomâ€effects metaâ€analysis. Statistics in Medicine, 2022, 41, 500-516.	0.8	2
7	Comment on a review of methods to assess publication and other reporting biases in metaâ€analysis. Research Synthesis Methods, 2022, 13, 390-391.	4.2	O
8	Empirical comparisons of heterogeneity magnitudes of the risk difference, relative risk, and odds ratio. Systematic Reviews, 2022, 11, 26.	2.5	7
9	Cerclage placement in twin pregnancies with short or dilated cervix does not prevent preterm birth: a fragility index assessment. American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology, 2022, 227, 338-339.	0.7	2
10	Accounting for publication bias using a bivariate trim and fill metaâ€analysis procedure. Statistics in Medicine, 2022, 41, 3466-3478.	0.8	8
11	Empirical comparisons of meta-analysis methods for diagnostic studies: a meta-epidemiological study. BMJ Open, 2022, 12, e055336.	0.8	2
12	Validity of data extraction in evidence synthesis practice of adverse events: reproducibility study. BMJ, The, 2022, 377, e069155.	3.0	16
13	Assessing and visualizing fragility of clinical results with binary outcomes in R using the fragility package. PLoS ONE, 2022, 17, e0268754.	1.1	11
14	Synthesizing evidence from the earliest studies to support decisionâ€making: To what extent could the evidence be reliable?. Research Synthesis Methods, 2022, 13, 632-644.	4.2	1
15	Factors that impact fragility index and their visualizations. Journal of Evaluation in Clinical Practice, 2021, 27, 356-364.	0.9	13
16	A variance shrinkage method improves arm-based Bayesian network meta-analysis. Statistical Methods in Medical Research, 2021, 30, 151-165.	0.7	4
17	Evaluation of various estimators for standardized mean difference in metaâ€analysis. Statistics in Medicine, 2021, 40, 403-426.	0.8	76
18	Evidence inconsistency degrees of freedom in Bayesian network meta-analysis. Journal of Biopharmaceutical Statistics, 2021, 31, 317-330.	0.4	4

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Prior Choices of Between-Study Heterogeneity in Contemporary Bayesian Network Meta-analyses: an Empirical Study. Journal of General Internal Medicine, 2021, 36, 1049-1057.	1.3	9
20	Systematic identification of risk factors and drug repurposing options for Alzheimer's disease. Alzheimer's and Dementia: Translational Research and Clinical Interventions, 2021, 7, e12148.	1.8	6
21	Empirical assessment of prediction intervals in Cochrane metaâ€analyses. European Journal of Clinical Investigation, 2021, 51, e13524.	1.7	5
22	The influence of insertion torque values on the failure and complication rates of dental implants: A systematic review and metaâ€analysis. Clinical Implant Dentistry and Related Research, 2021, 23, 341-360.	1.6	8
23	Bayesian Methods for Meta-Analyses of Binary Outcomes: Implementations, Examples, and Impact of Priors. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 2021, 18, 3492.	1.2	5
24	Many meta-analyses of rare events in the Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews were underpowered. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2021, 131, 113-122.	2.4	21
25	Double-zero-event studies matter: A re-evaluation of physical distancing, face masks, and eye protection for preventing person-to-person transmission of COVID-19 and its policy impact. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2021, 133, 158-160.	2.4	4
26	Methodological assessment of systematic reviews and metaâ€analyses on <scp>COVID</scp> â€19: A metaâ€epidemiological study. Journal of Evaluation in Clinical Practice, 2021, 27, 1123-1133.	0.9	14
27	Utilization of the evidence from studies with no events in meta-analyses of adverse events: an empirical investigation. BMC Medicine, 2021, 19, 141.	2.3	17
28	Bayesian metaâ€analysis using <scp>SAS PROC BGLIMM</scp> . Research Synthesis Methods, 2021, 12, 692-700.	4.2	6
29	Good Statistical Practices for Contemporary Meta-Analysis: Examples Based on a Systematic Review on COVID-19 in Pregnancy. BioMedInformatics, 2021, 1, 64-76.	1.0	1
30	A proposed framework to guide evidence synthesis practice for meta-analysis with zero-events studies. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2021, 135, 70-78.	2.4	49
31	Predictive P-score for treatment ranking in Bayesian network meta-analysis. BMC Medical Research Methodology, 2021, 21, 213.	1.4	15
32	Methodological quality for systematic reviews of adverse events with surgical interventions: a cross-sectional survey. BMC Medical Research Methodology, 2021, 21, 223.	1.4	3
33	Bridging randomized controlled trials and single-arm trials using commensurate priors in arm-based network meta-analysis. Annals of Applied Statistics, 2021, 15, .	0.5	4
34	Comparison of four heterogeneity measures for metaâ€analysis. Journal of Evaluation in Clinical Practice, 2020, 26, 376-384.	0.9	57
35	The magnitude of small-study effects in the <i>Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews</i> : an empirical study of nearly 30 000 meta-analyses. BMJ Evidence-Based Medicine, 2020, 25, 27-32.	1.7	33
36	P value–driven methods were underpowered to detect publication bias: analysis of Cochrane review meta-analyses. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2020, 118, 86-92.	2.4	74

#	Article	lF	Citations
37	Arcsineâ€based transformations for metaâ€analysis of proportions: Pros, cons, and alternatives. Health Science Reports, 2020, 3, e178.	0.6	155
38	Effects of treatment classifications in network meta-analysis. Research Methods in Medicine & Health Sciences, 2020, 1, 12-24.	0.7	2
39	Meta-analysis of Proportions Using Generalized Linear Mixed Models. Epidemiology, 2020, 31, 713-717.	1.2	138
40	Protocols for meta-analysis of intervention safety seldom specified methods to deal with rare events. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2020, 128, 109-117.	2.4	8
41	A Bayesian approach to assessing smallâ€study effects in metaâ€nnalysis of a binary outcome with controlled false positive rate. Research Synthesis Methods, 2020, 11, 535-552.	4.2	10
42	Laplace approximation, penalized quasi-likelihood, and adaptive Gauss–Hermite quadrature for generalized linear mixed models: towards meta-analysis of binary outcome with sparse data. BMC Medical Research Methodology, 2020, 20, 152.	1.4	30
43	The impact of covariance priors on armâ€based Bayesian network metaâ€analyses with binary outcomes. Statistics in Medicine, 2020, 39, 2883-2900.	0.8	12
44	Fragility index of network meta-analysis with application to smoking cessation data. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2020, 127, 29-39.	2.4	9
45	Exclusion of studies with no events in both arms in meta-analysis impacted the conclusions. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2020, 123, 91-99.	2.4	48
46	Hybrid test for publication bias in meta-analysis. Statistical Methods in Medical Research, 2020, 29, 2881-2899.	0.7	30
47	On evidence cycles in network meta-analysis. Statistics and Its Interface, 2020, 13, 425-436.	0.2	6
48	A Bayesian Approach for Determining the Relationship Between Various Elongate Mineral Particles (EMPs) Definitions. Annals of Work Exposures and Health, 2020, 64, 993-1006.	0.6	3
49	Use of Prediction Intervals in Network Meta-analysis. JAMA Network Open, 2019, 2, e199735.	2.8	20
50	Graphical augmentations to sampleâ€sizeâ€based funnel plot in metaâ€analysis. Research Synthesis Methods, 2019, 10, 376-388.	4.2	22
51	When continuous outcomes are measured using different scales: guide for meta-analysis and interpretation. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2019, 364, k4817.	2.4	115
52	Real-world Performance of Meta-analysis Methods for Double-Zero-Event Studies with Dichotomous Outcomes Using the Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. Journal of General Internal Medicine, 2019, 34, 960-968.	1.3	29
53	The trim-and-fill method for publication bias: practical guidelines and recommendations based on a large database of meta-analyses. Medicine (United States), 2019, 98, e15987.	0.4	404
54	Borrowing of strength from indirect evidence in 40 network meta-analyses. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2019, 106, 41-49.	2.4	15

#	Article	IF	Citations
55	Empirical Comparison of Publication Bias Tests in Meta-Analysis. Journal of General Internal Medicine, 2018, 33, 1260-1267.	1.3	184
56	The effect of publication bias magnitude and direction on the certainty in evidence. BMJ Evidence-Based Medicine, 2018, 23, 84-86.	1.7	130
57	Bayesian multivariate metaâ€analysis of multiple factors. Research Synthesis Methods, 2018, 9, 261-272.	4.2	11
58	Cross channel effects of search engine advertising on brick & mortar retail sales: Meta analysis of large scale field experiments on Google.com. Quantitative Marketing and Economics, 2018, 16, 1-42.	0.7	25
59	Rejoinder to "Quantifying Publication Bias in Meta-analysis― Biometrics, 2018, 74, 801-802.	0.8	8
60	Quantifying Publication Bias in Meta-Analysis. Biometrics, 2018, 74, 785-794.	0.8	691
61	Bias caused by sampling error in meta-analysis with small sample sizes. PLoS ONE, 2018, 13, e0204056.	1.1	159
62	Re: Incidence and Risk Factors for Prediabetes and Diabetes Mellitus Among HIV-infected Adults on Antiretroviral Therapy: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. Epidemiology, 2018, 29, e58-e58.	1.2	2
63	Performance of Between-study Heterogeneity Measures in the Cochrane Library. Epidemiology, 2018, 29, 821-824.	1.2	29
64	Comparison between PDâ€1/PDâ€1 inhibitors (nivolumab, pembrolizumab, and atezolizumab) in pretreated NSCLC patients: Evidence from a Bayesian network model. International Journal of Cancer, 2018, 143, 3038-3040.	2.3	11
65	Quantifying and presenting overall evidence in network metaâ€analysis. Statistics in Medicine, 2018, 37, 4114-4125.	0.8	6
66	Alternative Measures of Between-Study Heterogeneity in Meta-Analysis: Reducing the Impact of Outlying Studies. Biometrics, 2017, 73, 156-166.	0.8	74
67	Performing Arm-Based Network Meta-Analysis in <i>R</i> with the <b>pcnetmeta</b> Package. Journal of Statistical Software, 2017, 80, .	1.8	95
68	Sensitivity to Excluding Treatments in Network Meta-analysis. Epidemiology, 2016, 27, 562-569.	1.2	26
69	An adaptive two-sample test for high-dimensional means. Biometrika, 2016, 103, 609-624.	1.3	55
70	Estimating Partial Standardized Mean Differences from Regression Models. Journal of Experimental Education, $0, 1-18$ .	1.6	1
71	Evidence synthesis practice: why we cannot ignore studies with no events?. Journal of General Internal Medicine, 0, , .	1.3	0