## Steven D Penrod

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/4086669/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

78 papers 5,591 citations

36 h-index 79698 73 g-index

78 all docs 78 docs citations

78 times ranked 1675 citing authors

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Validity of mock-witness measures for assessing lineup fairness. Psychology, Crime and Law, 2022, 28, 215-245.	1.0	4
2	Improving juror sensitivity to specific eyewitness factors: judicial instructions fail the test. Psychiatry, Psychology and Law, 2020, 27, 366-385.	1.2	2
3	A Third Party's Judgment in Same-Race and Cross-Race Crimes. Race and Social Problems, 2019, 11, 253-267.	2.2	2
4	Perceptions of Access to Justice Among Unrepresented Tenants: An Examination of Procedural Justice and Deservingness in New York City Housing Court. Journal of Forensic Psychology Research and Practice, 2019, 19, 72-91.	0.5	3
5	New signal detection theory-based framework for eyewitness performance in lineups Law and Human Behavior, 2019, 43, 436-454.	0.7	11
6	Improving the effectiveness of the <i>Henderson</i> instruction safeguard against unreliable eyewitness identification. Psychology, Crime and Law, 2018, 24, 177-193.	1.0	12
7	Research-Based Instructions Induce Sensitivity to Confession Evidence. Psychiatry, Psychology and Law, 2018, 25, 257-272.	1.2	3
8	Not separate but equal? The impact of multiple-defendant trials on juror decision-making. Psychology, Crime and Law, 2018, 24, 14-37.	1.0	1
9	Comparing the effectiveness of Henderson instructions and expert testimony: Which safeguard improves jurors' evaluations of eyewitness evidence?. Journal of Experimental Criminology, 2017, 13, 29-52.	2.9	21
10	<i>Henderson</i> Instructions: Do They Enhance Evidence Evaluation?. Journal of Forensic Psychology Research and Practice, 2017, 17, 1-24.	0.5	20
11	Fair lineups are better than biased lineups and showups, but not because they increase underlying discriminability Law and Human Behavior, 2017, 41, 127-145.	0.7	39
12	Can Expert Testimony Sensitize Jurors to Coercive Interrogation Tactics?. Journal of Forensic Psychology Practice, 2016, 16, 393-409.	0.4	12
13	The influence of pretrial exposure to community outrage and victim hardship on guilt judgments. Psychology, Crime and Law, 2016, 22, 435-454.	1.0	1
14	Defendant Remorse and Publicity in Capital Trials. Criminal Justice and Behavior, 2015, 42, 1282-1302.	1.8	4
15	Examining legal authoritarianism in the impact of punishment severity on juror decisions. Psychology, Crime and Law, 2015, 21, 939-951.	1.0	7
16	Examining pretrial publicity in a shadow jury paradigm: Issues of slant, quantity, persistence and generalizability Law and Human Behavior, 2014, 38, 462-477.	0.7	16
17	Eye-closure improves memory for a witnessed event under naturalistic conditions. Psychology, Crime and Law, 2013, 19, 893-905.	1.0	30
18	Death Penalty Decisions: Instruction Comprehension, Attitudes, and Decision Mediators. Journal of Forensic Psychology Practice, 2013, 13, 204-244.	0.4	9

#	Article	IF	Citations
19	Effects of exposure time and cognitive operations on facial identification accuracy: a meta-analysis of two variables associated with initial memory strength. Psychology, Crime and Law, 2012, 18, 473-490.	1.0	42
20	Jury decisionâ€making biases and methods to counter them. Legal and Criminological Psychology, 2010, 15, 133-154.	2.0	54
21	Legal decision making among Korean and American legal professionals and lay people. International Journal of Law, Crime and Justice, 2010, 38, 175-197.	0.8	3
22	Forgetting the once-seen face: Estimating the strength of an eyewitness's memory representation Journal of Experimental Psychology: Applied, 2008, 14, 139-150.	1.2	71
23	The role of societal benefits and fairness concerns among decision makers and decision recipients Law and Human Behavior, 2007, 31, 573-610.	0.7	28
24	Mugshot Exposure Effects: Retroactive Interference, Mugshot Commitment, Source Confusion, and Unconscious Transference Law and Human Behavior, 2006, 30, 287-307.	0.7	99
25	Eyewitness Evidence. Psychological Science in the Public Interest: A Journal of the American Psychological Society, 2006, 7, 45-75.	10.7	301
26	Juror Decision-Making in the Twenty-First Century: Confronting Science and Technology in Court., 2005,, 301-327.		2
27	A Meta-Analytic Review of the Effects of High Stress on Eyewitness Memory Law and Human Behavior, 2004, 28, 687-706.	0.7	361
28	Exploring the effects of attitudes toward the death penalty on capital sentencing verdicts Psychology, Public Policy, and Law, 2004, 10, 443-470.	1.2	73
29	The Role of Resource and Relational Concerns for Procedural Justice. Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 2002, 28, 1468-1482.	3.0	19
30	The effects of Daubert on the admissibility of expert testimony in state and federal criminal cases Psychology, Public Policy, and Law, 2002, 8, 339-372.	1.2	62
31	Studying pretrial publicity effects: New methods for improving ecological validity and testing external validity Law and Human Behavior, 2002, 26, 19-41.	0.7	31
32	Assessing pretrial publicity effects: Integrating content analytic results Law and Human Behavior, 2000, 24, 317-336.	0.7	26
33	Amicus brief: Kumho Tire v. Carmichael Law and Human Behavior, 2000, 24, 387-400.	0.7	7
34	Eyewitness identification procedures: Recommendations for lineups and photospreads Law and Human Behavior, 1998, 22, 603-647.	0.7	607
35	Identification of computer-generated facial composites Journal of Applied Psychology, 1997, 82, 235-246.	5.3	33
36	Tweaking commonsense: Assessing aids to jury decision making Psychology, Public Policy, and Law, 1997, 3, 259-284.	1.2	18

#	Article	IF	Citations
37	Eyewitness identification evidence: Evaluation commonsense evaluations Psychology, Public Policy, and Law, 1997, 3, 338-361.	1.2	39
38	Pretrial publicity: The media, the law, and common sense Psychology, Public Policy, and Law, 1997, 3, 428-460.	1.2	56
39	Women's Reactions to Sexually Aggressive Mass Media Depictions. Violence Against Women, 1997, 3, 149-181.	1.7	32
40	Attributing Responsibility to Female Victims After Exposure to Sexually Violent Films1. Journal of Applied Social Psychology, 1997, 27, 2149-2171.	2.0	23
41	Jurors' use of probabilistic evidence Law and Human Behavior, 1996, 20, 49-82.	0.7	64
42	Witness confidence and witness accuracy: Assessing their forensic relation Psychology, Public Policy, and Law, 1995, 1, 817-845.	1.2	135
43	Choosing, confidence, and accuracy: A meta-analysis of the confidence accuracy relation in eyewitness identification studies Psychological Bulletin, 1995, 118, 315-327.	6.1	438
44	Expert psychological testimony on eyewitness reliability before and afterDaubert: The state of the law and the science. Behavioral Sciences and the Law, 1995, 13, 229-259.	0.8	33
45	Expert Psychological Testimony in the United States: A New Playing Field? 1This paper is based in part on material presented at greater length in , and European Journal of Psychological Assessment, 1995, $11$ , $65-72$ .	3.0	3
46	Juror notetaking and question asking during trials: A national field experiment Law and Human Behavior, 1994, 18, 121-150.	0.7	41
47	The biasing impact of pretrial publicity on juror judgments Law and Human Behavior, 1994, 18, 453-469.	0.7	74
48	Trial complexity: A field investigation of its meaning and its effects Law and Human Behavior, 1994, 18, 29-51.	0.7	74
49	Expert testimony in child sexual abuse cases: Effects of expert evidence type and cross-examination Law and Human Behavior, 1994, 18, 653-674.	0.7	61
50	Predicting the Outcomes of Disputes: Consequences for Disputant Reactions to Procedures and Outcomes1. Journal of Applied Social Psychology, 1994, 24, 260-283.	2.0	4
51	Nonadversarial Methods for Sensitizing Jurors to Eyewitness Evidence. Journal of Applied Social Psychology, 1990, 20, 1197-1207.	2.0	49
52	Juror sensitivity to eyewitness identification evidence Law and Human Behavior, 1990, 14, 185-191.	0.7	231
53	Moderators of the confidence-accuracy correlation in face recognition: The role of information processing and base-rates. Applied Cognitive Psychology, 1989, 3, 95-107.	1.6	42
54	Expert testimony and jury decision making: An empirical analysis. Behavioral Sciences and the Law, 1989, 7, 215-225.	0.8	81

#	Article	IF	Citations
55	The external validity of eyewitness identification research: Generalizing across subject populations Law and Human Behavior, 1989, 13, 385-395.	0.7	79
56	Instructing jurors: A field experiment with written and preliminary instructions Law and Human Behavior, 1989, 13, 409-430.	0.7	80
57	Eyewitness Expert Testimony and Jury Decisionmaking. Law and Contemporary Problems, 1989, 52, 43.	0.5	10
58	Forensically relevant moderators of the relation between eyewitness identification accuracy and confidence Journal of Applied Psychology, 1989, 74, 650-652.	5.3	43
59	Increasing jurors' participation in trials: A field experiment with jury notetaking and question asking Law and Human Behavior, 1988, 12, 231-261.	0.7	55
60	Juror decision making in eyewitness identification cases Law and Human Behavior, 1988, 12, 41-55.	0.7	230
61	Performance feedback improves the resolution of confidence judgments. Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes, 1988, 42, 271-283.	2.5	68
62	Improving the reliability of eyewitness identification: Lineup construction and presentation Journal of Applied Psychology, 1988, 73, 281-290.	5.3	145
63	Psychology in Court: The Child Witness. PsycCritiques, 1988, 33, 655-657.	0.0	1
64	The Attorney General's Commission on Pornography: The Gaps Between "Findings―and Facts. American Bar Foundation Research Journal, 1987, 12, 713-736.	0.0	9
65	The reliability of eyewitness identification: The role of system and estimator variables Law and Human Behavior, 1987, 11, 233-258.	0.7	185
66	The findings and recommendations of the Attorney General's Commission on Pornography: Do the psychological "facts" fit the political fury?. American Psychologist, 1987, 42, 946-953.	4.2	42
67	Procedural preference as a function of conflict intensity Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 1986, 51, 700-710.	2.8	44
68	Meta-analysis of facial identification studies Psychological Bulletin, 1986, 100, 139-156.	6.1	459
69	Attorney communication and impression making in the courtroom: Views from off the bench Law and Human Behavior, 1986, 10, 281-302.	0.7	14
70	Jury Deliberations: Discussion Content and Influence Processes in Jury Decision Making 1. Journal of Applied Social Psychology, 1986, 16, 322-347.	2.0	48
71	Issues Bearing on the Legal Regulation of Violent and Sexually Violent Media. Journal of Social Issues, 1986, 42, 171-193.	3.3	7
72	A Test of Two Implicit Assumptions of Algebraic Modeling: Salience and Familiarity Effects in Affective Evaluations of National Leaders. Journal of Social Psychology, 1986, 126, 291-303.	1.5	2

#	Article	IF	CITATION
73	Reinstatement of context in a field experiment on eyewitness identification Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 1985, 49, 58-69.	2.8	140
74	Decision making in joined criminal trials: The influence of charge similarity, evidence similarity, and limiting instructions Law and Human Behavior, 1985, 9, 319-337.	0.7	22
75	Social inference processes in juror judgments of multiple-offense trials Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 1984, 47, 749-765.	2.8	28
76	Social Influence Model: A formal integration of research on majority and minority influence processes Psychological Bulletin, 1984, 95, 189-225.	6.1	248
77	A computer simulation of jury decision making Psychological Review, 1980, 87, 133-159.	3.8	53
78	Models of jury decision making: A critical review Psychological Bulletin, 1979, 86, 462-492.	6.1	65