

Steven D Penrod

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/4086669/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

78
papers

5,591
citations

101543
36
h-index

79698
73
g-index

78
all docs

78
docs citations

78
times ranked

1675
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Eyewitness identification procedures: Recommendations for lineups and photospreads.. Law and Human Behavior, 1998, 22, 603-647.	0.7	607
2	Meta-analysis of facial identification studies.. Psychological Bulletin, 1986, 100, 139-156.	6.1	459
3	Choosing, confidence, and accuracy: A meta-analysis of the confidence^accuracy relation in eyewitness identification studies.. Psychological Bulletin, 1995, 118, 315-327.	6.1	438
4	A Meta-Analytic Review of the Effects of High Stress on Eyewitness Memory.. Law and Human Behavior, 2004, 28, 687-706.	0.7	361
5	Eyewitness Evidence. Psychological Science in the Public Interest: A Journal of the American Psychological Society, 2006, 7, 45-75.	10.7	301
6	Social Influence Model: A formal integration of research on majority and minority influence processes.. Psychological Bulletin, 1984, 95, 189-225.	6.1	248
7	Juror sensitivity to eyewitness identification evidence.. Law and Human Behavior, 1990, 14, 185-191.	0.7	231
8	Juror decision making in eyewitness identification cases.. Law and Human Behavior, 1988, 12, 41-55.	0.7	230
9	The reliability of eyewitness identification: The role of system and estimator variables.. Law and Human Behavior, 1987, 11, 233-258.	0.7	185
10	Improving the reliability of eyewitness identification: Lineup construction and presentation.. Journal of Applied Psychology, 1988, 73, 281-290.	5.3	145
11	Reinstatement of context in a field experiment on eyewitness identification.. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 1985, 49, 58-69.	2.8	140
12	Witness confidence and witness accuracy: Assessing their forensic relation.. Psychology, Public Policy, and Law, 1995, 1, 817-845.	1.2	135
13	Mugshot Exposure Effects: Retroactive Interference, Mugshot Commitment, Source Confusion, and Unconscious Transference.. Law and Human Behavior, 2006, 30, 287-307.	0.7	99
14	Expert testimony and jury decision making: An empirical analysis. Behavioral Sciences and the Law, 1989, 7, 215-225.	0.8	81
15	Instructing jurors: A field experiment with written and preliminary instructions.. Law and Human Behavior, 1989, 13, 409-430.	0.7	80
16	The external validity of eyewitness identification research: Generalizing across subject populations.. Law and Human Behavior, 1989, 13, 385-395.	0.7	79
17	The biasing impact of pretrial publicity on juror judgments.. Law and Human Behavior, 1994, 18, 453-469.	0.7	74
18	Trial complexity: A field investigation of its meaning and its effects.. Law and Human Behavior, 1994, 18, 29-51.	0.7	74

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Exploring the effects of attitudes toward the death penalty on capital sentencing verdicts.. Psychology, Public Policy, and Law, 2004, 10, 443-470.	1.2	73
20	Forgetting the once-seen face: Estimating the strength of an eyewitness's memory representation.. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Applied, 2008, 14, 139-150.	1.2	71
21	Performance feedback improves the resolution of confidence judgments. Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes, 1988, 42, 271-283.	2.5	68
22	Models of jury decision making: A critical review.. Psychological Bulletin, 1979, 86, 462-492.	6.1	65
23	Jurors' use of probabilistic evidence.. Law and Human Behavior, 1996, 20, 49-82.	0.7	64
24	The effects of Daubert on the admissibility of expert testimony in state and federal criminal cases.. Psychology, Public Policy, and Law, 2002, 8, 339-372.	1.2	62
25	Expert testimony in child sexual abuse cases: Effects of expert evidence type and cross-examination.. Law and Human Behavior, 1994, 18, 653-674.	0.7	61
26	Pretrial publicity: The media, the law, and common sense.. Psychology, Public Policy, and Law, 1997, 3, 428-460.	1.2	56
27	Increasing jurors' participation in trials: A field experiment with jury notetaking and question asking.. Law and Human Behavior, 1988, 12, 231-261.	0.7	55
28	Jury decision-making biases and methods to counter them. Legal and Criminological Psychology, 2010, 15, 133-154.	2.0	54
29	A computer simulation of jury decision making.. Psychological Review, 1980, 87, 133-159.	3.8	53
30	Nonadversarial Methods for Sensitizing Jurors to Eyewitness Evidence. Journal of Applied Social Psychology, 1990, 20, 1197-1207.	2.0	49
31	Jury Deliberations: Discussion Content and Influence Processes in Jury Decision Making ¹ . Journal of Applied Social Psychology, 1986, 16, 322-347.	2.0	48
32	Procedural preference as a function of conflict intensity.. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 1986, 51, 700-710.	2.8	44
33	Forensically relevant moderators of the relation between eyewitness identification accuracy and confidence.. Journal of Applied Psychology, 1989, 74, 650-652.	5.3	43
34	Moderators of the confidence-accuracy correlation in face recognition: The role of information processing and base-rates. Applied Cognitive Psychology, 1989, 3, 95-107.	1.6	42
35	Effects of exposure time and cognitive operations on facial identification accuracy: a meta-analysis of two variables associated with initial memory strength. Psychology, Crime and Law, 2012, 18, 473-490.	1.0	42
36	The findings and recommendations of the Attorney General's Commission on Pornography: Do the psychological "facts" fit the political fury?. American Psychologist, 1987, 42, 946-953.	4.2	42

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	Juror notetaking and question asking during trials: A national field experiment.. Law and Human Behavior, 1994, 18, 121-150.	0.7	41
38	Eyewitness identification evidence: Evaluation commonsense evaluations.. Psychology, Public Policy, and Law, 1997, 3, 338-361.	1.2	39
39	Fair lineups are better than biased lineups and showups, but not because they increase underlying discriminability.. Law and Human Behavior, 2017, 41, 127-145.	0.7	39
40	Expert psychological testimony on eyewitness reliability before and after Daubert: The state of the law and the science. Behavioral Sciences and the Law, 1995, 13, 229-259.	0.8	33
41	Identification of computer-generated facial composites.. Journal of Applied Psychology, 1997, 82, 235-246.	5.3	33
42	Women's Reactions to Sexually Aggressive Mass Media Depictions. Violence Against Women, 1997, 3, 149-181.	1.7	32
43	Studying pretrial publicity effects: New methods for improving ecological validity and testing external validity.. Law and Human Behavior, 2002, 26, 19-41.	0.7	31
44	Eye-closure improves memory for a witnessed event under naturalistic conditions. Psychology, Crime and Law, 2013, 19, 893-905.	1.0	30
45	Social inference processes in juror judgments of multiple-offense trials.. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 1984, 47, 749-765.	2.8	28
46	The role of societal benefits and fairness concerns among decision makers and decision recipients.. Law and Human Behavior, 2007, 31, 573-610.	0.7	28
47	Assessing pretrial publicity effects: Integrating content analytic results.. Law and Human Behavior, 2000, 24, 317-336.	0.7	26
48	Attributing Responsibility to Female Victims After Exposure to Sexually Violent Films ¹ . Journal of Applied Social Psychology, 1997, 27, 2149-2171.	2.0	23
49	Decision making in joined criminal trials: The influence of charge similarity, evidence similarity, and limiting instructions.. Law and Human Behavior, 1985, 9, 319-337.	0.7	22
50	Comparing the effectiveness of Henderson instructions and expert testimony: Which safeguard improves jurors' evaluations of eyewitness evidence?. Journal of Experimental Criminology, 2017, 13, 29-52.	2.9	21
51	Henderson Instructions: Do They Enhance Evidence Evaluation?. Journal of Forensic Psychology Research and Practice, 2017, 17, 1-24.	0.5	20
52	The Role of Resource and Relational Concerns for Procedural Justice. Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 2002, 28, 1468-1482.	3.0	19
53	Tweaking commonsense: Assessing aids to jury decision making.. Psychology, Public Policy, and Law, 1997, 3, 259-284.	1.2	18
54	Examining pretrial publicity in a shadow jury paradigm: Issues of slant, quantity, persistence and generalizability.. Law and Human Behavior, 2014, 38, 462-477.	0.7	16

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
55	Attorney communication and impression making in the courtroom: Views from off the bench.. Law and Human Behavior, 1986, 10, 281-302.	0.7	14
56	Can Expert Testimony Sensitize Jurors to Coercive Interrogation Tactics?. Journal of Forensic Psychology Practice, 2016, 16, 393-409.	0.4	12
57	Improving the effectiveness of the Henderson instruction safeguard against unreliable eyewitness identification. Psychology, Crime and Law, 2018, 24, 177-193.	1.0	12
58	New signal detection theory-based framework for eyewitness performance in lineups.. Law and Human Behavior, 2019, 43, 436-454.	0.7	11
59	Eyewitness Expert Testimony and Jury Decisionmaking. Law and Contemporary Problems, 1989, 52, 43.	0.5	10
60	The Attorney General's Commission on Pornography: The Gaps Between "Findings" and Facts. American Bar Foundation Research Journal, 1987, 12, 713-736.	0.0	9
61	Death Penalty Decisions: Instruction Comprehension, Attitudes, and Decision Mediators. Journal of Forensic Psychology Practice, 2013, 13, 204-244.	0.4	9
62	Issues Bearing on the Legal Regulation of Violent and Sexually Violent Media. Journal of Social Issues, 1986, 42, 171-193.	3.3	7
63	Amicus brief: Kumho Tire v. Carmichael.. Law and Human Behavior, 2000, 24, 387-400.	0.7	7
64	Examining legal authoritarianism in the impact of punishment severity on juror decisions. Psychology, Crime and Law, 2015, 21, 939-951.	1.0	7
65	Predicting the Outcomes of Disputes: Consequences for Disputant Reactions to Procedures and Outcomes1. Journal of Applied Social Psychology, 1994, 24, 260-283.	2.0	4
66	Defendant Remorse and Publicity in Capital Trials. Criminal Justice and Behavior, 2015, 42, 1282-1302.	1.8	4
67	Validity of mock-witness measures for assessing lineup fairness. Psychology, Crime and Law, 2022, 28, 215-245.	1.0	4
68	Legal decision making among Korean and American legal professionals and lay people. International Journal of Law, Crime and Justice, 2010, 38, 175-197.	0.8	3
69	Research-Based Instructions Induce Sensitivity to Confession Evidence. Psychiatry, Psychology and Law, 2018, 25, 257-272.	1.2	3
70	Perceptions of Access to Justice Among Unrepresented Tenants: An Examination of Procedural Justice and Deservingness in New York City Housing Court. Journal of Forensic Psychology Research and Practice, 2019, 19, 72-91.	0.5	3
71	Expert Psychological Testimony in the United States: A New Playing Field? 1This paper is based in part on material presented at greater length in , and .. European Journal of Psychological Assessment, 1995, 11, 65-72.	3.0	3
72	A Test of Two Implicit Assumptions of Algebraic Modeling: Salience and Familiarity Effects in Affective Evaluations of National Leaders. Journal of Social Psychology, 1986, 126, 291-303.	1.5	2

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
73	Juror Decision-Making in the Twenty-First Century: Confronting Science and Technology in Court. , 2005, , 301-327.		2
74	A Third Party's Judgment in Same-Race and Cross-Race Crimes. Race and Social Problems, 2019, 11, 253-267.	2.2	2
75	Improving juror sensitivity to specific eyewitness factors: judicial instructions fail the test. Psychiatry, Psychology and Law, 2020, 27, 366-385.	1.2	2
76	The influence of pretrial exposure to community outrage and victim hardship on guilt judgments. Psychology, Crime and Law, 2016, 22, 435-454.	1.0	1
77	Not separate but equal? The impact of multiple-defendant trials on juror decision-making. Psychology, Crime and Law, 2018, 24, 14-37.	1.0	1
78	Psychology in Court: The Child Witness. PsycCritiques, 1988, 33, 655-657.	0.0	1