## Ainsley J Newson

## List of Publications by Year in Descending Order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/4052642/ainsley-j-newson-publications-by-year.pdf

Version: 2024-04-28

This document has been generated based on the publications and citations recorded by exaly.com. For the latest version of this publication list, visit the link given above.

The third column is the impact factor (IF) of the journal, and the fourth column is the number of citations of the article.

108 38 1,759 21 g-index h-index citations papers 126 2,188 5.15 3.4 avg, IF L-index ext. citations ext. papers

#	Paper	IF	Citations
108	Intertwined Interests in Expanded Prenatal Genetic Testing: The State@ Role in Facilitating Equitable Access <i>American Journal of Bioethics</i> , <b>2022</b> , 22, 45-47	1.1	2
107	Human Genetics Society of Australasia Position Statement: Use of Human Genetic and Genomic Information in Healthcare Settings <i>Twin Research and Human Genetics</i> , <b>2022</b> , 1-8	2.2	
106	Correspondence on "Screening for autosomal recessive and X-linked conditions during pregnancy and preconception: a practice resource of the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics (ACMG)" by Gregg et lal <i>Genetics in Medicine</i> , <b>2022</b> ,	8.1	1
105	Ethical aspects of the changing landscape for spinal muscular atrophy management in Australia <i>Australian Journal of General Practice</i> , <b>2022</b> , 51, 131-135	1.5	0
104	The perils of a broad approach to public interest in health data research: a response to Ballantyne and Schaefer. <i>Journal of Medical Ethics</i> , <b>2021</b> , 47, 580-582	2.5	2
103	The promise of public health ethics for precision medicine: the case of newborn preventive genomic sequencing. <i>Human Genetics</i> , <b>2021</b> , 1	6.3	1
102	"I wish that there was more info": characterizing the uncertainty experienced by carriers of pathogenic ATM and/or CHEK2 variants. <i>Familial Cancer</i> , <b>2021</b> , 1	3	2
101	Acceptability of risk-stratified population screening across cancer types: Qualitative interviews with the Australian public. <i>Health Expectations</i> , <b>2021</b> , 24, 1326-1336	3.7	3
100	Ethics of Reproductive Genetic Carrier Screening: From the Clinic to the Population. <i>Public Health Ethics</i> , <b>2021</b> , 14, 202-217	1.8	3
99	Knowledge, views and expectations for cancer polygenic risk testing in clinical practice: A cross-sectional survey of health professionals. <i>Clinical Genetics</i> , <b>2021</b> , 100, 430-439	4	1
98	Reproductive carrier screening: responding to the eugenics critique. Journal of Medical Ethics, 2021,	2.5	1
97	Gene selection for the Australian Reproductive Genetic Carrier Screening Project ("Mackenzie@ Mission"). <i>European Journal of Human Genetics</i> , <b>2021</b> , 29, 79-87	5.3	22
96	Ethical issues in reproductive genetic carrier screening. <i>Medical Journal of Australia</i> , <b>2021</b> , 214, 165-167	'.eॄ1	6
95	Family communication about genomic sequencing: A qualitative study with cancer patients and relatives. <i>Patient Education and Counseling</i> , <b>2021</b> , 104, 944-952	3.1	2
94	The expectations and realities of nutrigenomic testing in australia: A qualitative study. <i>Health Expectations</i> , <b>2021</b> , 24, 670-686	3.7	2
93	The Emergence and Global Spread of Noninvasive Prenatal Testing. <i>Annual Review of Genomics and Human Genetics</i> , <b>2021</b> , 22, 309-338	9.7	6
92	Impact of personal genomic risk information on melanoma prevention behaviors and psychological outcomes: a randomized controlled trial. <i>Genetics in Medicine</i> , <b>2021</b> , 23, 2394-2403	8.1	2

## (2019-2021)

91	To offer or request? Disclosing variants of uncertain significance in prenatal testing. <i>Bioethics</i> , <b>2021</b> , 35, 900-909	2	2	
90	Ethical considerations in gene selection for reproductive carrier screening. <i>Human Genetics</i> , <b>2021</b> , 1	6.3	2	
89	Human Genetics Society of Australasia Position Statement: Predictive and Presymptomatic Genetic Testing in Adults and Children. <i>Twin Research and Human Genetics</i> , <b>2020</b> , 23, 184-189	2.2	5	
88	Implementation considerations for offering personal genomic risk information to the public: a qualitative study. <i>BMC Public Health</i> , <b>2020</b> , 20, 1028	4.1	5	
87	Cancer patients Qiews and understanding of genome sequencing: a qualitative study. <i>Journal of Medical Genetics</i> , <b>2020</b> , 57, 671-676	5.8	7	
86	Disclosure to genetic relatives without consent - Australian genetic professionals@awareness of the health privacy law. <i>BMC Medical Ethics</i> , <b>2020</b> , 21, 13	2.9	4	
85	There is a lot of good in knowing, but there is also a lot of downsQpublic views on ethical considerations in population genomic screening. <i>Journal of Medical Ethics</i> , <b>2020</b> ,	2.5	1	
84	Obligations and preferences in knowing and not knowing: the importance of context. <i>Journal of Medical Ethics</i> , <b>2020</b> , 46, 306-307	2.5	2	
83	Who should access germline genome sequencing? A mixed methods study of patient views. <i>Clinical Genetics</i> , <b>2020</b> , 97, 329-337	4	1	
82	From Expectations to Experiences: Consumer Autonomy and Choice in Personal Genomic Testing. <i>AJOB Empirical Bioethics</i> , <b>2020</b> , 11, 63-76	3	6	
81	Dynamic Consent: An Evaluation and Reporting Framework. <i>Journal of Empirical Research on Human Research Ethics</i> , <b>2020</b> , 15, 175-186	1.6	12	
80	Advanced cancer patient preferences for receiving molecular profiling results. <i>Psycho-Oncology</i> , <b>2020</b> , 29, 1533-1539	3.9	1	
79	"Who is watching the watchdog?": ethical perspectives of sharing health-related data for precision medicine in Singapore. <i>BMC Medical Ethics</i> , <b>2020</b> , 21, 118	2.9	5	
78	Technical Categories and Ethical Justifications: Why Cwik@ Approach is the Wrong Way Around for Categorizing Germ-Line Gene Editing. <i>American Journal of Bioethics</i> , <b>2020</b> , 20, 27-29	1.1	1	
77	Australians Quiews and experience of personal genomic testing: survey findings from the Genioz study. European Journal of Human Genetics, <b>2019</b> , 27, 711-720	5.3	8	
76	Public attitudes towards novel reproductive technologies: a citizensQury on mitochondrial donation. <i>Human Reproduction</i> , <b>2019</b> , 34, 751-757	5.7	4	
75	Genetic counselors perceptions of uncertainty in pretest counseling for genomic sequencing: A qualitative study. <i>Journal of Genetic Counseling</i> , <b>2019</b> , 28, 292-303	2.5	8	
74	Patient perspectives on molecular tumor profiling: "Why wouldn@you?". BMC Cancer, <b>2019</b> , 19, 753	4.8	12	

73	Australian Genomics: A Federated Model for Integrating Genomics into Healthcare. <i>American Journal of Human Genetics</i> , <b>2019</b> , 105, 7-14	11	39
72	GP attitudes to and expectations for providing personal genomic risk information to the public: a qualitative study. <i>BJGP Open</i> , <b>2019</b> , 3, bjgpopen18X101633	3.1	6
71	Rapid Challenges: Ethics and Genomic Neonatal Intensive Care. <i>Pediatrics</i> , <b>2019</b> , 143, S14-S21	7.4	20
70	Australians@erspectives on support around use of personal genomic testing: Findings from the Genioz study. <i>European Journal of Medical Genetics</i> , <b>2019</b> , 62, 290-299	2.6	10
69	The PiGeOn project: protocol for a longitudinal study examining psychosocial, behavioural and ethical issues and outcomes in cancer tumour genomic profiling. <i>BMC Cancer</i> , <b>2018</b> , 18, 389	4.8	7
68	The PiGeOn project: protocol of a longitudinal study examining psychosocial and ethical issues and outcomes in germline genomic sequencing for cancer. <i>BMC Cancer</i> , <b>2018</b> , 18, 454	4.8	10
67	Distress, uncertainty, and positive experiences associated with receiving information on personal genomic risk of melanoma. <i>European Journal of Human Genetics</i> , <b>2018</b> , 26, 1094-1100	5.3	17
66	Australians Qviews on personal genomic testing: focus group findings from the Genioz study. <i>European Journal of Human Genetics</i> , <b>2018</b> , 26, 1101-1112	5.3	8
65	Reconceptualizing Autonomy for Bioethics. <i>Kennedy Institute of Ethics Journal</i> , <b>2018</b> , 28, 171-203	1.1	13
64	Human Genetics Society of Australasia Position Statement: Genetic Testing and Personal Insurance Products in Australia. <i>Twin Research and Human Genetics</i> , <b>2018</b> , 21, 533-537	2.2	6
63	The melanoma genomics managing your risk study: A protocol for a randomized controlled trial evaluating the impact of personal genomic risk information on skin cancer prevention behaviors. <i>Contemporary Clinical Trials</i> , <b>2018</b> , 70, 106-116	2.3	13
62	Whole genome sequencing in children: ethics, choice and deliberation. <i>Journal of Medical Ethics</i> , <b>2017</b> , 43, 540-542	2.5	10
61	Does personalized melanoma genomic risk information trigger conversations about skin cancer prevention and skin examination with family, friends and health professionals?. <i>British Journal of Dermatology</i> , <b>2017</b> , 177, 779-790	4	11
60	Is Mitochondrial Donation Germ-Line Gene Therapy? Classifications and Ethical Implications. <i>Bioethics</i> , <b>2017</b> , 31, 55-67	2	19
59	Scanning the body, sequencing the genome: Dealing with unsolicited findings. <i>Bioethics</i> , <b>2017</b> , 31, 648-	6 <b>5</b> 6	16
58	Key challenges in bringing CRISPR-mediated somatic cell therapy into the clinic. <i>Genome Medicine</i> , <b>2017</b> , 9, 85	14.4	10
57	Genetics and Insurance in Australia: Concerns around a Self-Regulated Industry. <i>Public Health Genomics</i> , <b>2017</b> , 20, 247-256	1.9	11
56	A Pilot Randomized Controlled Trial of the Feasibility, Acceptability, and Impact of Giving Information on Personalized Genomic Risk of Melanoma to the Public. <i>Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers and Prevention</i> , <b>2017</b> , 26, 212-221	4	33

## (2013-2016)

55	Genomic Testing in The Paediatric Population: Ethical Considerations in Light of Recent Policy Statements. <i>Molecular Diagnosis and Therapy</i> , <b>2016</b> , 20, 407-14	4.5	10	
54	The need for ethics as well as evidence in evidence-based medicine. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , <b>2016</b> , 77, 7-10	5.7	4	
53	Regulating Risk and the Boundaries of State Conduct: A Relational Perspective on Home Birth in Australia. <i>American Journal of Bioethics</i> , <b>2016</b> , 16, 19-21	1.1	7	
52	Genomic intensive care: should we perform genome testing in critically ill newborns?. <i>Archives of Disease in Childhood: Fetal and Neonatal Edition</i> , <b>2016</b> , 101, F94-8	4.7	18	
51	A pilot randomised controlled trial examining the feasibility, acceptability and impact of giving information on personalised genomic risk of melanoma to the public, for motivating preventive behaviours <i>Journal of Clinical Oncology</i> , <b>2016</b> , 34, 1556-1556	2.2		
50	Compensated transnational surrogacy in Australia: time for a comprehensive review. <i>Medical Journal of Australia</i> , <b>2016</b> , 204, 33-5	4	2	
49	Known unknowns: building an ethics of uncertainty into genomic medicine. <i>BMC Medical Genomics</i> , <b>2016</b> , 9, 57	3.7	45	
48	Ethical and legal issues in mitochondrial transfer. <i>EMBO Molecular Medicine</i> , <b>2016</b> , 8, 589-91	12	26	
47	Do We Need Ethical Theory to Achieve Quality Critical Engagement in Clinical Ethics?. <i>American Journal of Bioethics</i> , <b>2016</b> , 16, 43-5	1.1	1	
46	Public preferences for communicating personal genomic risk information: a focus group study. Health Expectations, <b>2016</b> , 19, 1203-1214	3.7	24	
45	Rethinking pediatric ethics consultations. American Journal of Bioethics, 2015, 15, 26-8	1.1	5	
44	Exploring the Potential Emotional and Behavioural Impact of Providing Personalised Genomic Risk Information to the Public: A Focus Group Study. <i>Public Health Genomics</i> , <b>2015</b> , 18, 309-17	1.9	12	
43	Why should ethics approval be required prior to publication of health promotion research?. <i>Health Promotion Journal of Australia</i> , <b>2015</b> , 26, 170-175	1.7	9	
42	For your interest? The ethical acceptability of using non-invasive prenatal testing to test <b>Q</b> urely for informationQ <i>Bioethics</i> , <b>2015</b> , 29, 19-25	2	39	
41	The value of clinical ethics support in Australian health care. <i>Medical Journal of Australia</i> , <b>2015</b> , 202, 56	8-29	10	
40	"What should happen before asymptomatic men decide whether or not to have a PSA test?" A report on three community juries. <i>Medical Journal of Australia</i> , <b>2015</b> , 203, 335	4	10	
39	AustraliansQknowledge and perceptions of direct-to-consumer personal genome testing. <i>Internal Medicine Journal</i> , <b>2014</b> , 44, 27-31	1.6	9	
38	Dynamics and ethics of comprehensive preimplantation genetic testing: a review of the challenges. <i>Human Reproduction Update</i> , <b>2013</b> , 19, 366-75	15.8	54	

37	Ethical considerations for choosing between possible models for using NIPD for aneuploidy detection. <i>Journal of Medical Ethics</i> , <b>2012</b> , 38, 614-8	2.5	34
36	Clinical Ethics Committee Case 17: a paramedic sustains a bite while attending a callout and the assailant refuses testing for HIV or hepatitis C: what should we do?. <i>Clinical Ethics</i> , <b>2012</b> , 7, 1-6	1	
35	Should non-invasiveness change informed consent procedures for prenatal diagnosis?. <i>Health Care Analysis</i> , <b>2011</b> , 19, 122-32	2.3	58
34	Current ethical issues in synthetic biology: where should we go from here?. <i>Accountability in Research</i> , <b>2011</b> , 18, 181-93	1.9	5
33	Clinical Ethics Committee Case 16: A request from an accident and emergency department Ishould we give our patient a blood transfusion?. <i>Clinical Ethics</i> , <b>2011</b> , 6, 154-158	1	
32	Clinical Ethics Committee Case 10: For the record: Should our patient@relatives be able to record her treatment?. <i>Clinical Ethics</i> , <b>2010</b> , 5, 57-62	1	
31	Clinical Ethics Committee Case 9: Should we inform our patient about animal products in his medicine?. <i>Clinical Ethics</i> , <b>2010</b> , 5, 7-12	1	3
30	Childhood genetic testing for familial cancer: should adoption make a difference?. <i>Familial Cancer</i> , <b>2010</b> , 9, 37-42	3	9
29	Will the introduction of non-invasive prenatal diagnostic testing erode informed choices? An experimental study of health care professionals. <i>Patient Education and Counseling</i> , <b>2010</b> , 78, 24-8	3.1	101
28	Prenatal diagnosis and abortion for congenital abnormalities: is it ethical to provide one without the other?. <i>American Journal of Bioethics</i> , <b>2009</b> , 9, 48-56	1.1	27
27	Clinical Ethics Committee case 6: Our patient wishes to take an unlisted drug even though we@e not sure of his diagnosis. <i>Clinical Ethics</i> , <b>2009</b> , 4, 59-63	1	
26	Clinical ethics committee case 7: our young patient is in heart failure but has multiple co-morbidities. How can we best care for him and his family?. <i>Clinical Ethics</i> , <b>2009</b> , 4, 111-115	1	
25	Personal genomics as an interactive web broadcast. American Journal of Bioethics, 2009, 9, 27-9	1.1	1
24	Clinical Ethics Committee Case 8: Should we carry out a predictive genetic test in our young patient?. <i>Clinical Ethics</i> , <b>2009</b> , 4, 169-172	1	
23	Response to open peer commentaries on "Prenatal diagnosis and abortion for congenital abnormalities: is it ethical to provide one without the other?". <i>American Journal of Bioethics</i> , <b>2009</b> , 9, W6-7	1.1	
22	Clinical Ethics Committee case 5: Should we discharge our vulnerable patient to a family who seem unable to look after her?. <i>Clinical Ethics</i> , <b>2009</b> , 4, 6-11	1	
21	Is informed choice in prenatal testing universally valued? A population-based survey in Europe and Asia. <i>BJOG: an International Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology</i> , <b>2009</b> , 116, 880-5	3.7	23
20	Ethical aspects arising from non-invasive fetal diagnosis. <i>Seminars in Fetal and Neonatal Medicine</i> , <b>2008</b> , 13, 103-8	3.7	71

19	Informed choice in prenatal testing: a survey among obstetricians and gynaecologists in Europe and Asia. <i>Prenatal Diagnosis</i> , <b>2008</b> , 28, 1238-44	3.2	5
18	Commentary: Consent and confidentiality in publishingthe view of the BMJ@ ethics committee. <i>BMJ, The</i> , <b>2008</b> , 337, a1232	5.9	3
17	Communication of Genetic Information within Families: The Case for Familial Comity. <i>Journal of Bioethical Inquiry</i> , <b>2006</b> , 3, 161-166	1.9	10
16	Clinical genetics and the problem with unqualified confidentiality. <i>American Journal of Bioethics</i> , <b>2006</b> , 6, 41-3; discussion W32-4	1.1	2
15	Should parental refusals of newborn screening be respected?. <i>Cambridge Quarterly of Healthcare Ethics</i> , <b>2006</b> , 15, 135-46	0.9	16
14	Behavioural genetics: why eugenic selection is preferable to enhancement. <i>Journal of Applied Philosophy</i> , <b>2006</b> , 23, 157-71	0.7	16
13	Whither authenticity?. American Journal of Bioethics, 2005, 5, 53-5; discussion W10-2	1.1	10
12	Cascade testing in familial hypercholesterolaemia: how should family members be contacted?. <i>European Journal of Human Genetics</i> , <b>2005</b> , 13, 401-8	5.3	79
11	Artificial gametes: new paths to parenthood?. Journal of Medical Ethics, 2005, 31, 184-6	2.5	33
10	The nature and significance of behavioural genetic information. <i>Theoretical Medicine and Bioethics</i> , <b>2004</b> , 25, 89-111	0.9	3
9	Consent to the publication of patient information: incompetent patients may pose a problem. <i>BMJ, The,</i> <b>2004</b> , 329, 916	5.9	0
8	Partially functional Cenpa-GFP fusion protein causes increased chromosome missegregation and apoptosis during mouse embryogenesis. <i>Chromosome Research</i> , <b>2003</b> , 11, 345-57	4.4	25
7	White coat ceremonies: a second opinion. <i>Journal of Medical Ethics</i> , <b>2002</b> , 28, 60-60	2.5	78
6	Early disruption of centromeric chromatin organization in centromere protein A (Cenpa) null mice. <i>Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America</i> , <b>2000</b> , 97, 1148-53	11.5	342
5	Should we undertake genetic research on intelligence?. <i>Bioethics</i> , <b>1999</b> , 13, 327-42	2	13
4	Gene structure and sequence analysis of mouse centromere proteins A and C. <i>Genomics</i> , <b>1998</b> , 47, 108-	14.3	22
3	Chromosomal localization of mouse Cenpa gene. <i>Cytogenetic and Genome Research</i> , <b>1997</b> , 79, 298-301	1.9	
2	Population screening118-142		4

1 Personhood and Moral Status277-283