

# Ainsley J Newson

## List of Publications by Citations

**Source:** <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/4052642/ainsley-j-newson-publications-by-citations.pdf>

**Version:** 2024-04-28

This document has been generated based on the publications and citations recorded by exaly.com. For the latest version of this publication list, visit the link given above.

The third column is the impact factor (IF) of the journal, and the fourth column is the number of citations of the article.

108  
papers

1,759  
citations

21  
h-index

38  
g-index

126  
ext. papers

2,188  
ext. citations

3.4  
avg, IF

5.15  
L-index

#	Paper	IF	Citations
108	Early disruption of centromeric chromatin organization in centromere protein A (Cenpa) null mice. <i>Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America</i> , <b>2000</b> , 97, 1148-53	11.5	342
107	Will the introduction of non-invasive prenatal diagnostic testing erode informed choices? An experimental study of health care professionals. <i>Patient Education and Counseling</i> , <b>2010</b> , 78, 24-8	3.1	101
106	Cascade testing in familial hypercholesterolaemia: how should family members be contacted?. <i>European Journal of Human Genetics</i> , <b>2005</b> , 13, 401-8	5.3	79
105	White coat ceremonies: a second opinion. <i>Journal of Medical Ethics</i> , <b>2002</b> , 28, 60-60	2.5	78
104	Ethical aspects arising from non-invasive fetal diagnosis. <i>Seminars in Fetal and Neonatal Medicine</i> , <b>2008</b> , 13, 103-8	3.7	71
103	Should non-invasiveness change informed consent procedures for prenatal diagnosis?. <i>Health Care Analysis</i> , <b>2011</b> , 19, 122-32	2.3	58
102	Dynamics and ethics of comprehensive preimplantation genetic testing: a review of the challenges. <i>Human Reproduction Update</i> , <b>2013</b> , 19, 366-75	15.8	54
101	Known unknowns: building an ethics of uncertainty into genomic medicine. <i>BMC Medical Genomics</i> , <b>2016</b> , 9, 57	3.7	45
100	Australian Genomics: A Federated Model for Integrating Genomics into Healthcare. <i>American Journal of Human Genetics</i> , <b>2019</b> , 105, 7-14	11	39
99	For your interest? The ethical acceptability of using non-invasive prenatal testing to test purely for information. <i>Bioethics</i> , <b>2015</b> , 29, 19-25	2	39
98	Ethical considerations for choosing between possible models for using NIPD for aneuploidy detection. <i>Journal of Medical Ethics</i> , <b>2012</b> , 38, 614-8	2.5	34
97	A Pilot Randomized Controlled Trial of the Feasibility, Acceptability, and Impact of Giving Information on Personalized Genomic Risk of Melanoma to the Public. <i>Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers and Prevention</i> , <b>2017</b> , 26, 212-221	4	33
96	Artificial gametes: new paths to parenthood?. <i>Journal of Medical Ethics</i> , <b>2005</b> , 31, 184-6	2.5	33
95	Prenatal diagnosis and abortion for congenital abnormalities: is it ethical to provide one without the other?. <i>American Journal of Bioethics</i> , <b>2009</b> , 9, 48-56	1.1	27
94	Ethical and legal issues in mitochondrial transfer. <i>EMBO Molecular Medicine</i> , <b>2016</b> , 8, 589-91	12	26
93	Partially functional Cenpa-GFP fusion protein causes increased chromosome missegregation and apoptosis during mouse embryogenesis. <i>Chromosome Research</i> , <b>2003</b> , 11, 345-57	4.4	25
92	Public preferences for communicating personal genomic risk information: a focus group study. <i>Health Expectations</i> , <b>2016</b> , 19, 1203-1214	3.7	24

91	Is informed choice in prenatal testing universally valued? A population-based survey in Europe and Asia. <i>BJOG: an International Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology</i> , <b>2009</b> , 116, 880-5	3.7	23
90	Gene structure and sequence analysis of mouse centromere proteins A and C. <i>Genomics</i> , <b>1998</b> , 47, 108-14.3	4.3	22
89	Gene selection for the Australian Reproductive Genetic Carrier Screening Project ("MackenzieQ Mission"). <i>European Journal of Human Genetics</i> , <b>2021</b> , 29, 79-87	5.3	22
88	Rapid Challenges: Ethics and Genomic Neonatal Intensive Care. <i>Pediatrics</i> , <b>2019</b> , 143, S14-S21	7.4	20
87	Is Mitochondrial Donation Germ-Line Gene Therapy? Classifications and Ethical Implications. <i>Bioethics</i> , <b>2017</b> , 31, 55-67	2	19
86	Genomic intensive care: should we perform genome testing in critically ill newborns?. <i>Archives of Disease in Childhood: Fetal and Neonatal Edition</i> , <b>2016</b> , 101, F94-8	4.7	18
85	Distress, uncertainty, and positive experiences associated with receiving information on personal genomic risk of melanoma. <i>European Journal of Human Genetics</i> , <b>2018</b> , 26, 1094-1100	5.3	17
84	Scanning the body, sequencing the genome: Dealing with unsolicited findings. <i>Bioethics</i> , <b>2017</b> , 31, 648-656		16
83	Should parental refusals of newborn screening be respected?. <i>Cambridge Quarterly of Healthcare Ethics</i> , <b>2006</b> , 15, 135-46	0.9	16
82	Behavioural genetics: why eugenic selection is preferable to enhancement. <i>Journal of Applied Philosophy</i> , <b>2006</b> , 23, 157-71	0.7	16
81	Reconceptualizing Autonomy for Bioethics. <i>Kennedy Institute of Ethics Journal</i> , <b>2018</b> , 28, 171-203	1.1	13
80	Should we undertake genetic research on intelligence?. <i>Bioethics</i> , <b>1999</b> , 13, 327-42	2	13
79	The melanoma genomics managing your risk study: A protocol for a randomized controlled trial evaluating the impact of personal genomic risk information on skin cancer prevention behaviors. <i>Contemporary Clinical Trials</i> , <b>2018</b> , 70, 106-116	2.3	13
78	Patient perspectives on molecular tumor profiling: "Why wouldn't you?". <i>BMC Cancer</i> , <b>2019</b> , 19, 753	4.8	12
77	Exploring the Potential Emotional and Behavioural Impact of Providing Personalised Genomic Risk Information to the Public: A Focus Group Study. <i>Public Health Genomics</i> , <b>2015</b> , 18, 309-17	1.9	12
76	Dynamic Consent: An Evaluation and Reporting Framework. <i>Journal of Empirical Research on Human Research Ethics</i> , <b>2020</b> , 15, 175-186	1.6	12
75	Does personalized melanoma genomic risk information trigger conversations about skin cancer prevention and skin examination with family, friends and health professionals?. <i>British Journal of Dermatology</i> , <b>2017</b> , 177, 779-790	4	11
74	Genetics and Insurance in Australia: Concerns around a Self-Regulated Industry. <i>Public Health Genomics</i> , <b>2017</b> , 20, 247-256	1.9	11

73	Whole genome sequencing in children: ethics, choice and deliberation. <i>Journal of Medical Ethics</i> , <b>2017</b> , 43, 540-542	2.5	10
72	Key challenges in bringing CRISPR-mediated somatic cell therapy into the clinic. <i>Genome Medicine</i> , <b>2017</b> , 9, 85	14.4	10
71	The PiGeOn project: protocol of a longitudinal study examining psychosocial and ethical issues and outcomes in germline genomic sequencing for cancer. <i>BMC Cancer</i> , <b>2018</b> , 18, 454	4.8	10
70	Genomic Testing in The Paediatric Population: Ethical Considerations in Light of Recent Policy Statements. <i>Molecular Diagnosis and Therapy</i> , <b>2016</b> , 20, 407-14	4.5	10
69	The value of clinical ethics support in Australian health care. <i>Medical Journal of Australia</i> , <b>2015</b> , 202, 568-9	4	10
68	"What should happen before asymptomatic men decide whether or not to have a PSA test?" A report on three community juries. <i>Medical Journal of Australia</i> , <b>2015</b> , 203, 335	4	10
67	Communication of Genetic Information within Families: The Case for Familial Comity. <i>Journal of Bioethical Inquiry</i> , <b>2006</b> , 3, 161-166	1.9	10
66	Whither authenticity?. <i>American Journal of Bioethics</i> , <b>2005</b> , 5, 53-5; discussion W10-2	1.1	10
65	Australians' perspectives on support around use of personal genomic testing: Findings from the Genioz study. <i>European Journal of Medical Genetics</i> , <b>2019</b> , 62, 290-299	2.6	10
64	Why should ethics approval be required prior to publication of health promotion research?. <i>Health Promotion Journal of Australia</i> , <b>2015</b> , 26, 170-175	1.7	9
63	Australians' knowledge and perceptions of direct-to-consumer personal genome testing. <i>Internal Medicine Journal</i> , <b>2014</b> , 44, 27-31	1.6	9
62	Childhood genetic testing for familial cancer: should adoption make a difference?. <i>Familial Cancer</i> , <b>2010</b> , 9, 37-42	3	9
61	Australians' views and experience of personal genomic testing: survey findings from the Genioz study. <i>European Journal of Human Genetics</i> , <b>2019</b> , 27, 711-720	5.3	8
60	Genetic counselors' perceptions of uncertainty in pretest counseling for genomic sequencing: A qualitative study. <i>Journal of Genetic Counseling</i> , <b>2019</b> , 28, 292-303	2.5	8
59	Australians' views on personal genomic testing: focus group findings from the Genioz study. <i>European Journal of Human Genetics</i> , <b>2018</b> , 26, 1101-1112	5.3	8
58	Cancer patients' views and understanding of genome sequencing: a qualitative study. <i>Journal of Medical Genetics</i> , <b>2020</b> , 57, 671-676	5.8	7
57	The PiGeOn project: protocol for a longitudinal study examining psychosocial, behavioural and ethical issues and outcomes in cancer tumour genomic profiling. <i>BMC Cancer</i> , <b>2018</b> , 18, 389	4.8	7
56	Regulating Risk and the Boundaries of State Conduct: A Relational Perspective on Home Birth in Australia. <i>American Journal of Bioethics</i> , <b>2016</b> , 16, 19-21	1.1	7

55	GP attitudes to and expectations for providing personal genomic risk information to the public: a qualitative study. <i>BJGP Open</i> , <b>2019</b> , 3, bjgpopen18X101633	3.1	6
54	From Expectations to Experiences: Consumer Autonomy and Choice in Personal Genomic Testing. <i>AJOB Empirical Bioethics</i> , <b>2020</b> , 11, 63-76	3	6
53	Ethical issues in reproductive genetic carrier screening. <i>Medical Journal of Australia</i> , <b>2021</b> , 214, 165-167.	4.1	6
52	Human Genetics Society of Australasia Position Statement: Genetic Testing and Personal Insurance Products in Australia. <i>Twin Research and Human Genetics</i> , <b>2018</b> , 21, 533-537	2.2	6
51	The Emergence and Global Spread of Noninvasive Prenatal Testing. <i>Annual Review of Genomics and Human Genetics</i> , <b>2021</b> , 22, 309-338	9.7	6
50	Rethinking pediatric ethics consultations. <i>American Journal of Bioethics</i> , <b>2015</b> , 15, 26-8	1.1	5
49	Human Genetics Society of Australasia Position Statement: Predictive and Presymptomatic Genetic Testing in Adults and Children. <i>Twin Research and Human Genetics</i> , <b>2020</b> , 23, 184-189	2.2	5
48	Implementation considerations for offering personal genomic risk information to the public: a qualitative study. <i>BMC Public Health</i> , <b>2020</b> , 20, 1028	4.1	5
47	Current ethical issues in synthetic biology: where should we go from here?. <i>Accountability in Research</i> , <b>2011</b> , 18, 181-93	1.9	5
46	Informed choice in prenatal testing: a survey among obstetricians and gynaecologists in Europe and Asia. <i>Prenatal Diagnosis</i> , <b>2008</b> , 28, 1238-44	3.2	5
45	"Who is watching the watchdog?": ethical perspectives of sharing health-related data for precision medicine in Singapore. <i>BMC Medical Ethics</i> , <b>2020</b> , 21, 118	2.9	5
44	Public attitudes towards novel reproductive technologies: a citizens' survey on mitochondrial donation. <i>Human Reproduction</i> , <b>2019</b> , 34, 751-757	5.7	4
43	Disclosure to genetic relatives without consent - Australian genetic professionals' awareness of the health privacy law. <i>BMC Medical Ethics</i> , <b>2020</b> , 21, 13	2.9	4
42	The need for ethics as well as evidence in evidence-based medicine. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , <b>2016</b> , 77, 7-10	5.7	4
41	Population screening		4
40	Clinical Ethics Committee Case 9: Should we inform our patient about animal products in his medicine?. <i>Clinical Ethics</i> , <b>2010</b> , 5, 7-12	1	3
39	The nature and significance of behavioural genetic information. <i>Theoretical Medicine and Bioethics</i> , <b>2004</b> , 25, 89-111	0.9	3
38	Commentary: Consent and confidentiality in publishing--the view of the BMJ's ethics committee. <i>BMJ</i> , <b>2008</b> , 337, a1232	5.9	3

37	Acceptability of risk-stratified population screening across cancer types: Qualitative interviews with the Australian public. <i>Health Expectations</i> , <b>2021</b> , 24, 1326-1336	3.7	3
36	Ethics of Reproductive Genetic Carrier Screening: From the Clinic to the Population. <i>Public Health Ethics</i> , <b>2021</b> , 14, 202-217	1.8	3
35	Clinical genetics and the problem with unqualified confidentiality. <i>American Journal of Bioethics</i> , <b>2006</b> , 6, 41-3; discussion W32-4	1.1	2
34	Intertwined Interests in Expanded Prenatal Genetic Testing: The State's Role in Facilitating Equitable Access.. <i>American Journal of Bioethics</i> , <b>2022</b> , 22, 45-47	1.1	2
33	The perils of a broad approach to public interest in health data research: a response to Ballantyne and Schaefer. <i>Journal of Medical Ethics</i> , <b>2021</b> , 47, 580-582	2.5	2
32	Obligations and preferences in knowing and not knowing: the importance of context. <i>Journal of Medical Ethics</i> , <b>2020</b> , 46, 306-307	2.5	2
31	"I wish that there was more info": characterizing the uncertainty experienced by carriers of pathogenic ATM and/or CHEK2 variants. <i>Familial Cancer</i> , <b>2021</b> , 1	3	2
30	Compensated transnational surrogacy in Australia: time for a comprehensive review. <i>Medical Journal of Australia</i> , <b>2016</b> , 204, 33-5	4	2
29	Family communication about genomic sequencing: A qualitative study with cancer patients and relatives. <i>Patient Education and Counseling</i> , <b>2021</b> , 104, 944-952	3.1	2
28	The expectations and realities of nutrigenomic testing in australia: A qualitative study. <i>Health Expectations</i> , <b>2021</b> , 24, 670-686	3.7	2
27	Impact of personal genomic risk information on melanoma prevention behaviors and psychological outcomes: a randomized controlled trial. <i>Genetics in Medicine</i> , <b>2021</b> , 23, 2394-2403	8.1	2
26	To offer or request? Disclosing variants of uncertain significance in prenatal testing. <i>Bioethics</i> , <b>2021</b> , 35, 900-909	2	2
25	Ethical considerations in gene selection for reproductive carrier screening. <i>Human Genetics</i> , <b>2021</b> , 1	6.3	2
24	Personal genomics as an interactive web broadcast. <i>American Journal of Bioethics</i> , <b>2009</b> , 9, 27-9	1.1	1
23	Correspondence on "Screening for autosomal recessive and X-linked conditions during pregnancy and preconception: a practice resource of the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics (ACMG)" by Gregg et al.. <i>Genetics in Medicine</i> , <b>2022</b> ,	8.1	1
22	There is a lot of good in knowing, but there is also a lot of downs: public views on ethical considerations in population genomic screening. <i>Journal of Medical Ethics</i> , <b>2020</b> ,	2.5	1
21	Who should access germline genome sequencing? A mixed methods study of patient views. <i>Clinical Genetics</i> , <b>2020</b> , 97, 329-337	4	1
20	Advanced cancer patient preferences for receiving molecular profiling results. <i>Psycho-Oncology</i> , <b>2020</b> , 29, 1533-1539	3.9	1

19	Technical Categories and Ethical Justifications: Why CwikQ Approach is the Wrong Way Around for Categorizing Germ-Line Gene Editing. <i>American Journal of Bioethics</i> , <b>2020</b> , 20, 27-29	1.1	1
18	The promise of public health ethics for precision medicine: the case of newborn preventive genomic sequencing. <i>Human Genetics</i> , <b>2021</b> , 1	6.3	1
17	Knowledge, views and expectations for cancer polygenic risk testing in clinical practice: A cross-sectional survey of health professionals. <i>Clinical Genetics</i> , <b>2021</b> , 100, 430-439	4	1
16	Reproductive carrier screening: responding to the eugenics critique. <i>Journal of Medical Ethics</i> , <b>2021</b> ,	2.5	1
15	Do We Need Ethical Theory to Achieve Quality Critical Engagement in Clinical Ethics?. <i>American Journal of Bioethics</i> , <b>2016</b> , 16, 43-5	1.1	1
14	Consent to the publication of patient information: incompetent patients may pose a problem. <i>BMJ, The</i> , <b>2004</b> , 329, 916	5.9	0
13	Ethical aspects of the changing landscape for spinal muscular atrophy management in Australia.. <i>Australian Journal of General Practice</i> , <b>2022</b> , 51, 131-135	1.5	0
12	Clinical Ethics Committee Case 10: For the record: Should our patientQ relatives be able to record her treatment?. <i>Clinical Ethics</i> , <b>2010</b> , 5, 57-62	1	
11	Clinical Ethics Committee case 6: Our patient wishes to take an unlisted drug even though weQe not sure of his diagnosis. <i>Clinical Ethics</i> , <b>2009</b> , 4, 59-63	1	
10	Clinical ethics committee case 7: our young patient is in heart failure but has multiple co-morbidities. How can we best care for him and his family?. <i>Clinical Ethics</i> , <b>2009</b> , 4, 111-115	1	
9	Clinical Ethics Committee Case 8: Should we carry out a predictive genetic test in our young patient?. <i>Clinical Ethics</i> , <b>2009</b> , 4, 169-172	1	
8	Response to open peer commentaries on "Prenatal diagnosis and abortion for congenital abnormalities: is it ethical to provide one without the other?". <i>American Journal of Bioethics</i> , <b>2009</b> , 9, W6-7	1.1	
7	Clinical Ethics Committee case 5: Should we discharge our vulnerable patient to a family who seem unable to look after her?. <i>Clinical Ethics</i> , <b>2009</b> , 4, 6-11	1	
6	Clinical Ethics Committee Case 16: A request from an accident and emergency department Q should we give our patient a blood transfusion?. <i>Clinical Ethics</i> , <b>2011</b> , 6, 154-158	1	
5	Clinical Ethics Committee Case 17: a paramedic sustains a bite while attending a callout and the assailant refuses testing for HIV or hepatitis C: what should we do?. <i>Clinical Ethics</i> , <b>2012</b> , 7, 1-6	1	
4	Chromosomal localization of mouse Cenpa gene. <i>Cytogenetic and Genome Research</i> , <b>1997</b> , 79, 298-301	1.9	
3	Personhood and Moral Status277-283		
2	Human Genetics Society of Australasia Position Statement: Use of Human Genetic and Genomic Information in Healthcare Settings.. <i>Twin Research and Human Genetics</i> , <b>2022</b> , 1-8	2.2	

- 1 A pilot randomised controlled trial examining the feasibility, acceptability and impact of giving information on personalised genomic risk of melanoma to the public, for motivating preventive behaviours.. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, **2016**, 34, 1556-1556

2.2