## Rachel M Baker

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/4048089/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

279798 276875 1,769 51 23 41 citations h-index g-index papers 52 52 52 1856 docs citations times ranked citing authors all docs

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	The potential of social enterprise to enhance health and well-being: A model and systematic review. Social Science and Medicine, 2014, 123, 182-193.	3.8	147
2	Weighting and valuing quality-adjusted life-years using stated preference methods: preliminary results from the Social Value of a QALY Project. Health Technology Assessment, 2010, 14, 1-162.	2.8	117
3	Public views on principles for health care priority setting: Findings of a European cross-country study using Q methodology. Social Science and Medicine, 2015, 126, 128-137.	3.8	108
4	Q methodology in health economics. Journal of Health Services Research and Policy, 2006, 11, 38-45.	1.7	94
5	Deriving distributional weights for QALYs through discrete choice experiments. Journal of Health Economics, 2011, 30, 466-478.	2.7	91
6	Guiding the design and selection of interventions to influence the implementation of evidence-based practice: an experimental simulation of a complex intervention trial. Social Science and Medicine, 2005, 60, 2135-2147.	3.8	89
7	Estimating a WTP-based value of a QALY: The  chained' approach. Social Science and Medicine, 2013, 92, 92-104.	3.8	71
8	The social value of a QALY: raising the bar or barring the raise?. BMC Health Services Research, 2011, 11, 8.	2.2	68
9	Economic rationality and health and lifestyle choices for people with diabetes. Social Science and Medicine, 2006, 63, 2341-2353.	3.8	67
10	Comparing WTP Values of Different Types of QALY Gain Elicited from the General Public. Health Economics (United Kingdom), 2015, 24, 280-293.	1.7	64
11	Social enterprise: New pathways to health and well-being?. Journal of Public Health Policy, 2013, 34, 55-68.	2.0	62
12	Filling a void? The role of social enterprise in addressing social isolation and loneliness in rural communities. Journal of Rural Studies, 2019, 70, 225-236.	4.7	58
13	Universal health coverage, priority setting, and the human right to health. Lancet, The, 2017, 390, 712-714.	13.7	56
14	Valuing QALYs at the end of life. Social Science and Medicine, 2014, 113, 5-14.	3.8	55
15	Issues arising from the use of qualitative methods in health economics. Journal of Health Services Research and Policy, 2004, 9, 171-176.	1.7	47
16	Conceptualising the public health role of actors operating outside of formal health systems: The case of social enterprise. Social Science and Medicine, 2017, 172, 144-152.	3.8	42
17	Responses to standard gambles: are preferencesâ€~well constructed'?. Health Economics (United) Tj ETQq1 1	. 0.784314 1.7	4 rggBT /Overl
18	Qâ€ING FOR HEALTHâ€"A NEW APPROACH TO ELICITING THE PUBLIC'S VIEWS ON HEALTH CARE RESOURCE ALLOCATION. Health Economics (United Kingdom), 2014, 23, 283-297.	1.7	37

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Willingness to pay for a QALY: past, present and future. Expert Review of Pharmacoeconomics and Outcomes Research, 2008, 8, 575-582.	1.4	35
20	Explaining variation in GP referral rates for x-rays for back pain. Implementation Science, 2006, 1, 15.	6.9	34
21	The New Myth. Pharmacoeconomics, 2008, 26, 1-4.	3.3	34
22	Extending life for people with a terminal illness: a moral right and an expensive death? Exploring societal perspectives. BMC Medical Ethics, 2015, 16, 14.	2.4	31
23	Searchers vs surveyors in estimating the monetary value of a QALY: resolving a nasty dilemma for NICE. Health Economics, Policy and Law, 2011, 6, 435-447.	1.8	30
24	Valuing lives equally: Defensible premise or unwarranted compromise?. Journal of Risk and Uncertainty, 2008, 36, 125-138.	1.5	23
25	Priority to End of Life Treatments? Views of the Public in the Netherlands. Value in Health, 2017, 20, 107-117.	0.3	22
26	A Person Trade-Off Study to Estimate Age-Related Weights for Health Gains in Economic Evaluation. Pharmacoeconomics, 2013, 31, 893-907.	3.3	20
27	From representing views to representativeness of views: Illustrating a new (Q2S) approach in the context of health care priority setting in nine European countries. Social Science and Medicine, 2016, 166, 205-213.	3.8	19
28	Social business, health and well-being. Social Business, 2011, 1, 17-35.	0.3	17
29	Public values and plurality in health priority setting: What to do when people disagree and why we should care about reasons as well as choices. Social Science and Medicine, 2021, 277, 113892.	3.8	17
30	Are life-extending treatments for terminal illnesses a special case? Exploring choices and societal viewpoints. Social Science and Medicine, 2018, 198, 61-69.	3.8	16
31	Who knows best? A Q methodology study to explore perspectives of professional stakeholders and community participants on health in low-income communities. BMC Health Services Research, 2019, 19, 35.	2.2	16
32	How do respondents explain WTP responses? A review of the qualitative evidence. Journal of Socio-Economics, 2008, 37, 1427-1442.	1.0	15
33	Autonomy, special offers and routines: a <scp>Q</scp> methodological study of industryâ€driven marketing influences on young people's drinking behaviour. Addiction, 2014, 109, 1833-1844.	3.3	15
34	Understanding public preferences for prioritizing health care interventions in England: Does the type of health gain matter?. Journal of Health Services Research and Policy, 2011, 16, 81-89.	1.7	14
35	â€~Hidden Habitus': A Qualitative Study of Socio-Ecological Influences on Drinking Practices and Social Identity in Mid-Adolescence. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 2017, 14, 611.	2.6	14
36	Is "end of life―a special case? Connecting Q with survey methods to measure societal support for views on the value of lifeâ€extending treatments. Health Economics (United Kingdom), 2018, 27, 819-831.	1.7	12

#	Article	IF	Citations
37	Working with and for social enterprises: the role of the volunteer ethnographer. Social Enterprise Journal, 2017, 13, 180-193.	1.8	10
38	Two false positives do not make a right: Setting the bar of social enterprise research even higher through avoiding the straw man fallacy. Social Science and Medicine, 2018, 217, 42-44.	3.8	8
39	Microcredit for enterprise in the UK as an â€~alternative' economic space. Geoforum, 2019, 100, 80-88.	2.5	8
40	Walking a Tightrope: Using Financial Diaries to Investigate Day-to-Day Financial Decisions and the Social Safety Net of the Financially Excluded. Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science, 2020, 689, 46-64.	1.6	8
41	l've just taken you to see the man with the CD on his head': the experience and management of recurrent sore throat in children. Journal of Child Health Care, 2010, 14, 95-110.	1.4	7
42	Exploring the relative value of end of life QALYs: Are the comparators important?. Social Science and Medicine, 2020, 245, 112660.	3.8	7
43	Microcredit as a public health initiative? Exploring mechanisms and pathways to health and wellbeing. Social Science and Medicine, 2021, 270, 113633.	3.8	7
44	What needs to be done in contingent valuation: have Smith and Sach missed the boat?. Health Economics, Policy and Law, 2010, 5, 113-121.	1.8	5
45	Marketing Authorization Procedures for Advanced Cancer Drugs: Exploring the Views of Patients, Oncologists, Healthcare Decision Makers, and Citizens in France. Medical Decision Making, 2017, 37, 555-566.	2.4	5
46	Did people "buy―what was "sold� A qualitative evaluation of a contingent valuation survey information set for gains in life expectancy. Journal of Environmental Management, 2014, 133, 94-103.	7.8	4
47	Valuing lives equally in a benefit-coast analysis of safety projects: A method to reconcile theory and practice. Safety Science, 2009, 47, 813-816.	4.9	2
48	Health and Brexit. Health Economics (United Kingdom), 2017, 26, 959-961.	1.7	1
49	Self reliant groups from India to Scotland: lessons from south to north. Community Development Journal, 2020, 55, 277-294.	1.1	1
50	Innovating on Methods to Understand the Relationship Between Finances and Wellbeing. Social Indicators Research Series, 2019, , 129-144.	0.3	1
51	Eliciting Societal Views on the Value of Life-Extending Treatments Using Q Methodology. , 2016, , 141-152.		O