Kent D Bodily

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/4030657/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

840776 752698 25 395 11 20 citations h-index g-index papers 26 26 26 252 docs citations times ranked citing authors all docs

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Issues in the Comparative Cognition of Abstract-Concept Learning. Comparative Cognition and Behavior Reviews, 2006, 2, 79-92.	2.0	76
2	Matching-to-sample abstract-concept learning by pigeons Journal of Experimental Psychology, 2008, 34, 178-184.	1.7	47
3	Neither by global nor local cues alone: evidence for a unified orientation process. Animal Cognition, 2011, 14, 665-674.	1.8	32
4	Orientation in trapezoid-shaped enclosures: Implications for theoretical accounts of geometry learning Journal of Experimental Psychology, 2011, 37, 246-253.	1.7	32
5	Evidence against integration of spatial maps in humans. Animal Cognition, 2006, 9, 207-217.	1.8	24
6	Learning strategies in matching to sample: If-then and configural learning by pigeons. Behavioural Processes, 2008, 77, 223-230.	1.1	23
7	Evidence against integration of spatial maps in humans: generality across real and virtual environments. Animal Cognition, 2009, 12, 237-247.	1.8	22
8	Enclosure size and the use of local and global geometric cues for reorientation. Psychonomic Bulletin and Review, 2012, 19, 270-276.	2.8	21
9	Abstract-concept learning carryover effects from the initial training set in pigeons (Columba livia) Journal of Comparative Psychology (Washington, D C: 1983), 2009, 123, 79-89.	0.5	18
10	Is surface-based orientation influenced by a proportional relationship of shape parameters?. Psychonomic Bulletin and Review, 2011, 18, 848-854.	2.8	16
11	Does constraining field of view prevent extraction of geometric cues for humans during virtual-environment reorientation?. Journal of Experimental Psychology, 2013, 39, 390-396.	1.7	14
12	Encoding of variability of landmark-based spatial information. Psychological Research, 2010, 74, 560-567.	1.7	10
13	Of global space or perceived place? Comment on Kelly <i>et al</i> Biology Letters, 2011, 7, 647-648.	2.3	10
14	Dissociation of Past and Present Experience in Problem Solving Using a Virtual Environment. Cyberpsychology, Behavior and Social Networking, 2009, 12, 15-19.	2.2	9
15	No evidence that consistent auditory cues facilitate learning of spatial relations among locations. Behavioural Processes, 2012, 90, 198-203.	1.1	5
16	On Discriminating between Geometric Strategies of Surface-Based Orientation. Frontiers in Psychology, 2012, 3, 112.	2.1	5
17	The roles of beaconing and dead reckoning in human virtual navigation. Learning and Motivation, 2012, 43, 14-23.	1.2	5
18	Evidence consistent with the multiple-bearings hypothesis from human virtual landmark-based navigation. Frontiers in Psychology, 2015, 6, 488.	2.1	5

#	Article	IF	CITATION
19	Detecting the perception of illusory spatial boundaries: Evidence from distance judgments. Cognition, 2016, 146, 371-376.	2.2	4
20	Solving for two unknowns: An extension of vector-based models of landmark-based navigation Journal of Experimental Psychology, 2011, 37, 368-374.	1.7	3
21	Overtraining and the use of feature and geometric cues for reorientation. Psychological Research, 2013, 77, 176-182.	1.7	3
22	Environmental scaling influences the use of local but not global geometric cues during spatial reorientation Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning Memory and Cognition, 2018, 44, 1159-1166.	0.9	3
23	Beacons and surface features differentially influence human reliance on global and local geometric cues when reorienting in a virtual environment. Behavioural Processes, 2013, 93, 71-81.	1.1	2
24	Human Choice Predicted by Obtained Reinforcers, Not by Reinforcement Predictors. Frontiers in Psychology, 2020, $11,1631$.	2.1	2
25	Testing principal- versus medial-axis accounts of global spatial reorientation Journal of Experimental Psychology Animal Learning and Cognition, 2018, 44, 209-215.	0.5	2