
Daniel K Lew

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/4020896/publications.pdf Version: 2024-02-01



DANIEL K LEVA

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Valuing Recreation and Amenities at San Diego County Beaches. Coastal Management, 2005, 33, 71-86.	1.0	58
2	Fishing rights and small communities: Alaska halibut IFQ transfer patterns. Ocean and Coastal Management, 2010, 53, 518-523.	2.0	50
3	Multiscale Spatial Pattern in Nonuse Willingness to Pay: Applications to Threatened and Endangered Marine Species. Land Economics, 2015, 91, 739-761.	0.5	50
4	Valuing Enhancements to Endangered Species Protection under Alternative Baseline Futures: The Case of the Steller Sea Lion. Marine Resource Economics, 2010, 25, 133-154.	1.1	49
5	Efficiency Costs of Social Objectives in Tradable Permit Programs. Journal of the Association of Environmental and Resource Economists, 2015, 2, 339-366.	1.0	47
6	External Tests of Scope and Embedding in Stated Preference Choice Experiments: An Application to Endangered Species Valuation. Environmental and Resource Economics, 2011, 48, 1-23.	1.5	41
7	Public Willingness to Pay for Recovering and Downlisting Threatened and Endangered Marine Species. Conservation Biology, 2012, 26, 830-839.	2.4	41
8	Valuing improvements to threatened and endangered marine species: An application of stated preference choice experiments. Journal of Environmental Management, 2011, 92, 1793-1801.	3.8	36
9	Valuing a Beach Day with a Repeated Nested Logit Model of Participation, Site Choice, and Stochastic Time Value. Marine Resource Economics, 2008, 23, 233-252.	1.1	36
10	Are environmental attitudes influenced by survey context? An investigation of the context dependency of the New Ecological Paradigm (NEP) Scale. Social Science Research, 2013, 42, 1542-1554.	1.1	35
11	The importance of survey content: Testing for the context dependency of the New Ecological Paradigm Scale. Social Science Research, 2015, 51, 338-349.	1.1	35
12	Temporal stability of stated preferences for endangered species protection from choice experiments. Ecological Economics, 2017, 131, 87-97.	2.9	35
13	Willingness to pay for threatened and endangered marine species: a review of the literature and prospects for policy use. Frontiers in Marine Science, 2015, 2, .	1.2	32
14	Attribute Non-attendance as an Information Processing Strategy in Stated Preference Choice Experiments: Origins, Current Practices, and Future Directions. Marine Resource Economics, 2020, 35, 285-317.	1.1	32
15	Economic Values for Saltwater Sport Fishing in Alaska: A Stated Preference Analysis. North American Journal of Fisheries Management, 2012, 32, 745-759.	0.5	26
16	Stated preferences for size and bag limits of Alaska charter boat anglers. Marine Policy, 2015, 61, 66-76.	1.5	26
17	Conservation values in marine ecosystem-based management. Marine Policy, 2013, 38, 523-530.	1.5	24
18	Accounting for stochastic shadow values of time in discrete-choice recreation demand models. Journal of Environmental Economics and Management, 2005, 50, 341-361.	2.1	23

DANIEL K LEW

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Is a fish in hand worth two in the sea? Evidence from a stated preference study. Fisheries Research, 2014, 157, 124-135.	0.9	22
20	A comparison of regional and national values for recovering threatened and endangered marine species in the United States. Journal of Environmental Management, 2016, 179, 38-46.	3.8	19
21	Defining the economic scope for ecosystem-based fishery management. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 2019, 116, 4188-4193.	3.3	19
22	Benefit–Cost Analysis of StormwaterQuality Improvements. Environmental Management, 2000, 26, 615-628.	1.2	16
23	The non-market benefits of early and partial gains in managing threatened salmon. PLoS ONE, 2019, 14, e0220260.	1.1	15
24	The Opportunity Cost of Travel Time as a Noisy Wage Fraction. American Journal of Agricultural Economics, 2014, 96, 420-437.	2.4	14
25	A Repeated Mixed Logit Approach to Valuing a Local Sport Fishery: The Case of Southeast Alaska Salmon. Land Economics, 2011, 87, 712-729.	0.5	13
26	The Economic Impact of Saltwater Sportfishing Harvest Restrictions in Alaska: An Empirical Analysis of Nonresident Anglers. North American Journal of Fisheries Management, 2010, 30, 538-551.	0.5	12
27	Factors Influencing Willingness to Donate to Marine Endangered Species Recovery in the Galapagos National Park, Ecuador. Frontiers in Marine Science, 2016, 3, .	1.2	12
28	Discounting future payments in stated preference choice experiments. Resources and Energy Economics, 2018, 54, 150-164.	1.1	12
29	Environmental attitudes in the aftermath of the Gulf Oil Spill. Ocean and Coastal Management, 2016, 119, 128-134.	2.0	11
30	Estimating recreation benefits through joint estimation of revealed and stated preference discrete choice data. Empirical Economics, 2020, 58, 2009-2029.	1.5	11
31	Identifying the potential for cross-fishery spillovers: a network analysis of Alaskan permitting patterns. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences, 2019, 76, 56-68.	0.7	10
32	Place of Residence and Cost Attribute Non-Attendance in a Stated Preference Choice Experiment Involving a Marine Endangered Species. Marine Resource Economics, 2019, 34, 225-245.	1.1	9
33	How Do Harvest Rates Affect Angler Trip Patterns?. Marine Resource Economics, 2013, 28, 155-173.	1.1	8
34	On the Statistical Significance of Regional Economic Impacts from Recreational Fishing Harvest Limits in Southern Alaska. Marine Resource Economics, 2014, 29, 241-257.	1.1	7
35	Public preferences for endangered species recovery: an examination of geospatial scale and non-market values. Frontiers in Marine Science, 2015, 2, .	1.2	7
36	Weighting and Imputation for Missing Data in a Cost and Earnings Fishery Survey. Marine Resource Economics, 2015, 30, 219-230.	1.1	7

DANIEL K LEW

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Hedonic Price Functions and Market Structure: An Analysis of Supply-Motivated Submarkets for Salmon in California. Marine Resource Economics, 2022, 37, 135-154.	1.1	7
38	Tradable permit programs: What are the lessons for the new Alaska halibut catch sharing plan?. Marine Policy, 2015, 52, 125-137.	1.5	6
39	Attribute Non-attendance in Choice Experiments of Marine Ecosystem Goods and Services: Special Issue Introduction. Marine Resource Economics, 2020, 35, 195-200.	1.1	6
40	Accounting for variation in exogenous shocks in economic impact modeling. Annals of Regional Science, 2013, 51, 711-730.	1.0	5
41	Measuring economic contributions of the marine recreational charter fishing sector using a resampling approach. ICES Journal of Marine Science, 2020, 77, 2285-2294.	1.2	5
42	Intention to pay for the protection of threatened and endangered marine species: Implications for conservation program design. Ocean and Coastal Management, 2017, 138, 170-180.	2.0	4
43	A Multiregional Approach for Estimating the Economic Impact of Harvest Restrictions on Saltwater Sportfishing. North American Journal of Fisheries Management, 2017, 37, 1112-1129.	0.5	4
44	ldentifying community risk factors for quota share loss. Ocean and Coastal Management, 2019, 178, 104851.	2.0	4
45	Editorial: The Economics of Protected Marine Species: Concepts in Research and Management. Frontiers in Marine Science, 2016, 3, .	1.2	3
46	The Evolution of Non-Market Valuation of U.S. Coastal and Marine Resources. Journal of Ocean and Coastal Economics, 2014, 2014, .	0.1	3
47	Measuring the utility of ancillary travel: revealed preferences in recreation site demand and trips taken. Transportation Research, Part A: Policy and Practice, 2005, 39, 237-255.	2.0	2
48	Recreational Leasing of Alaska Commercial Halibut Quota: The First Two Years of the Guided Angler Fish Provision. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	1
49	Recreational Leasing of Alaska Commercial Halibut Quota: The Early Years of the GAF Program in Alaska. Coastal Management, 2019, 47, 207-226.	1.0	1
50	Stated Preferences of Alaska Resident Saltwater Anglers for Contemporary Regulatory Policies. Marine Fisheries Review, 2018, 79, 12-25.	1.2	1
51	Estimating the value of threatened species abundance dynamics. Journal of Environmental Economics and Management, 2022, 113, 102639.	2.1	1