Bernhard Holzner

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/4018558/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

78 papers

2,020 citations

304368
22
h-index

288905 40 g-index

83 all docs 83 docs citations

83 times ranked $\begin{array}{c} 2873 \\ \text{citing authors} \end{array}$

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Thresholds for clinical importance were established to improve interpretation of the EORTC QLQ-C30 in clinical practice and research. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2020, 118, 1-8.	2.4	184
2	The Computer-based Health Evaluation Software (CHES): a software for electronic patient-reported outcome monitoring. BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making, 2012, 12, 126.	1.5	138
3	Understanding the quality of life (QOL) issues in survivors of cancer: towards the development of an EORTC QOL cancer survivorship questionnaire. Health and Quality of Life Outcomes, 2018, 16, 114.	1.0	133
4	International Psychometric Validation of an EORTC Quality of Life Module Measuring Cancer Related Fatigue (EORTC QLQ-FA12). Journal of the National Cancer Institute, 2017, 109, .	3.0	115
5	Thresholds for clinical importance for four key domains of the EORTC QLQ-C30: physical functioning, emotional functioning, fatigue and pain. Health and Quality of Life Outcomes, 2016, 14, 87.	1.0	95
6	The Female Sexual Function Index (FSFI)â€"A Systematic Review of Measurement Properties. Journal of Sexual Medicine, 2019, 16, 640-660.	0.3	80
7	The use of EORTC measures in daily clinical practiceâ€"A synopsis of a newly developed manual. European Journal of Cancer, 2016, 68, 73-81.	1.3	75
8	Making a picture worth a thousand numbers: recommendations for graphically displaying patient-reported outcomes data. Quality of Life Research, 2019, 28, 345-356.	1.5	69
9	The EORTC CAT Coreâ€"The computer adaptive version of the EORTC QLQ-C30 questionnaire. European Journal of Cancer, 2018, 100, 8-16.	1.3	68
10	The International Index of Erectile Function (IIEF) $\hat{a}\in$ "A Systematic Review of Measurement Properties. Journal of Sexual Medicine, 2019, 16, 1078-1091.	0.3	58
11	Health-related quality of life issues, including symptoms, in patients with active COVID-19 or post COVID-19; a systematic literature review. Quality of Life Research, 2021, 30, 3367-3381.	1.5	53
12	Self-reported sexual health: Breast cancer survivors compared to women from the general population $\hat{a}\in$ an observational study. BMC Cancer, 2017, 17, 599.	1,1	43
13	A systematic review of the measurement properties of the Body Image Scale (BIS) in cancer patients. Supportive Care in Cancer, 2018, 26, 1715-1726.	1.0	41
14	Assessing quality of life on the day of chemotherapy administration underestimates patients' true symptom burden. BMC Cancer, 2014, 14, 758.	1.1	35
15	Systematic review reveals lack of quality in reporting health-related quality of life in patients with gastroenteropancreatic neuroendocrine tumours. Health and Quality of Life Outcomes, 2016, 14, 127.	1.0	35
16	Fatigue in Patients with Lung Cancer Is Related with Accelerated Tryptophan Breakdown. PLoS ONE, 2012, 7, e36956.	1.1	32
17	Development of an item bank for the EORTC Role Functioning Computer Adaptive Test (EORTC RF-CAT). Health and Quality of Life Outcomes, 2016, 14, 72.	1.0	29
18	Cross-cultural development of an EORTC questionnaire to assess health-related quality of life in patients with testicular cancer: the EORTC QLQ-TC26. Quality of Life Research, 2013, 22, 369-378.	1.5	28

#	Article	IF	Citations
19	Quality of life across chemotherapy lines in patients with advanced colorectal cancer: a prospective single-center observational study. Supportive Care in Cancer, 2016, 24, 667-674.	1.0	28
20	Improvement of quality of life and psychological distress after inpatient cancer rehabilitation. Wiener Klinische Wochenschrift, 2017, 129, 692-701.	1.0	28
21	The cancer patient's perspective of COVIDâ€19â€induced distressâ€"A crossâ€sectional study and a longitudinal comparison of HRQOL assessed before and during the pandemic. Cancer Medicine, 2021, 10, 3928-3937.	1.3	28
22	Phenotyping of Acute and Persistent Coronavirus Disease 2019 Features in the Outpatient Setting: Exploratory Analysis of an International Cross-sectional Online Survey. Clinical Infectious Diseases, 2022, 75, e418-e431.	2.9	24
23	Cochlear implantation in adults with single-sided deafness: generic and disease-specific long-term quality of life. European Archives of Oto-Rhino-Laryngology, 2020, 277, 695-704.	0.8	23
24	Test-Retest Reliability of Discrete Choice Experiment for Valuations of QLU-C10D Health States. Value in Health, 2018, 21, 958-966.	0.1	22
25	German value sets for the EORTC QLU-C10D, a cancer-specific utility instrument based on the EORTC QLQ-C30. Quality of Life Research, 2019, 28, 3197-3211.	1.5	22
26	Late, Persistent, Substantial, Treatment-Related Symptoms After Radiation Therapy (LAPERS): A New Method for Longitudinal Analysis of Late Morbidity—Applied in the EMBRACE Study. International Journal of Radiation Oncology Biology Physics, 2020, 106, 300-309.	0.4	22
27	Health Behavior and Quality of Life Outcome in Breast Cancer Survivors: Prevalence Rates and Predictors. Clinical Breast Cancer, 2018, 18, 38-44.	1.1	22
28	Order of Presentation of Dimensions Does Not Systematically Bias Utility Weights from a Discrete Choice Experiment. Value in Health, 2016, 19, 1033-1038.	0.1	20
29	An emotional functioning item bank of 24 items for computerized adaptive testing (CAT) was established. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2016, 70, 90-100.	2.4	20
30	Normative data for the EORTC QLQ-C30 from the Austrian general population. Health and Quality of Life Outcomes, 2020, 18, 275.	1.0	20
31	Sensitivity to change of the EORTC quality of life module measuring cancerâ€related fatigue (EORTC) Tj ETQq1 1	0.784314 1.0	1 rgBT /Over
32	Focusing on Core Patient-Reported Outcomes in Cancer Clinical Trials—Letter. Clinical Cancer Research, 2016, 22, 5617-5617.	3.2	18
33	Quality of Life in Patients with Metastatic Gastroenteropancreatic Neuroendocrine Tumors Receiving Peptide Receptor Radionuclide Therapy: Information from a Monitoring Program in Clinical Routine. Journal of Nuclear Medicine, 2018, 59, 1566-1573.	2.8	18
34	Complementing clinical cancer registry data with patient reported outcomes: A feasibility study on routine electronic patientâ€reported outcome assessment for the Austrian Myelome Registry. European Journal of Cancer Care, 2019, 28, e13154.	0.7	17
35	Implementation of daily patientâ€reported outcome measurements to support children with cancer. Pediatric Blood and Cancer, 2021, 68, e29279.	0.8	17
36	Clinical decision-making and health-related quality of life during first-line and maintenance therapy in patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC): findings from a real-world setting. BMC Cancer, 2017, 17, 565.	1.1	16

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	A crossâ€cultural convergent parallel mixed methods study of what makes a cancerâ€related symptom or functional health problem clinically important. Psycho-Oncology, 2018, 27, 548-555.	1.0	16
38	Clinical Outcome Assessment in Cancer Rehabilitation and the Central Role of Patient-Reported Outcomes. Cancers, 2022, 14, 84.	1.7	16
39	International phase IV validation study of an EORTC quality of life questionnaire for testicular cancer patients: the EORTC QLQ-TC26. BMC Cancer, 2018, 18, 1104.	1.1	15
40	Does Obesity Interfere With Anastrozole Treatment? Positive Association Between Body Mass Index and Anastrozole Plasma Levels. Clinical Breast Cancer, 2014, 14, 291-296.	1.1	13
41	Cost–utility analyses of drug therapies in breast cancer: a systematic review. Breast Cancer Research and Treatment, 2016, 159, 407-424.	1.1	13
42	Measuring health-related quality of life in colorectal cancer patients: systematic review of measurement properties of the EORTC QLQ-CR29. Supportive Care in Cancer, 2019, 27, 2395-2412.	1.0	13
43	Thresholds for clinical importance were defined for the European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer Computer Adaptive Testing Core—an adaptive measure of core quality of life domains in oncology clinical practice and research. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2020, 117, 117-125.	2.4	12
44	How to implement routine electronic patientâ€reported outcome monitoring in oncology rehabilitation. International Journal of Clinical Practice, 2021, 75, e13694.	0.8	12
45	Evaluation of Noncompletion Bias and Long-Term Adherence in a 10-Year Patient-Reported Outcome Monitoring Program in Clinical Routine. Value in Health, 2017, 20, 610-617.	0.1	11
46	A systematic review of the measurement properties of the European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer In-patient Satisfaction with Care Questionnaire, the EORTC IN-PATSAT32. Supportive Care in Cancer, 2018, 26, 2551-2560.	1.0	11
47	French Value-Set of the QLU-C10D, a Cancer-Specific Utility Measure Derived from the QLQ-C30. Applied Health Economics and Health Policy, 2021, 19, 191-202.	1.0	11
48	Estimation of an EORTC QLU-C10 Value Set for Spain Using a Discrete Choice Experiment. Pharmacoeconomics, 2021, 39, 1085-1098.	1.7	11
49	Dutch utility weights for the EORTC cancer-specific utility instrument: the Dutch EORTC QLU-C10D. Quality of Life Research, 2021, 30, 2009-2019.	1.5	11
50	Health-related quality of life in patients with COVID-19; international development of a patient-reported outcome measure. Journal of Patient-Reported Outcomes, 2022, 6, 26.	0.9	11
51	Following patient pathways to psychoâ€oncological treatment: Identification of treatment needs by clinical staff and electronic screening. Psycho-Oncology, 2018, 27, 1312-1319.	1.0	10
52	Evaluation of health-related quality of life via the Computer-Based Health Evaluation System (CHES) for Japanese metastatic breast cancer patients: a single-center pilot study. Breast Cancer, 2019, 26, 255-259.	1.3	10
53	Evaluation by electronic patient-reported outcomes of cancer survivors' needs and the efficacy of inpatient cancer rehabilitation in different tumor entities. Supportive Care in Cancer, 2021, 29, 5853-5864.	1.0	10
54	Machine learning approaches to predict rehabilitation success based on clinical and patient-reported outcome measures. Informatics in Medicine Unlocked, 2021, 24, 100598.	1.9	10

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	Critical appraisal of health-state utility values used in breast cancer-related cost–utility analyses. Breast Cancer Research and Treatment, 2017, 164, 527-536.	1.1	9
56	Do patients consider computer-adaptive measures more appropriate than static questionnaires?. Journal of Patient-Reported Outcomes, 2019, 3, 7.	0.9	9
57	Evaluating the Thresholds for Clinical Importance of the EORTC QLQ-C15-PAL in Patients Receiving Palliative Treatment. Journal of Palliative Medicine, 2021, 24, 397-404.	0.6	9
58	Bridging the gap in outpatient care: Can a daily patientâ€reported outcome measure help?. Cancer Reports, 2022, 5, e1421.	0.6	8
59	Using the Computer-based Health Evaluation System (CHES) to Support Self-management of Symptoms and Functional Health: Evaluation of Hematological Patient Use of a Web-Based Patient Portal. Journal of Medical Internet Research, 2021, 23, e26022.	2.1	8
60	Do Personality Factors Assessed Before Cochlear Implantation Predict Hearing-Related Quality Of Life After Cochlear Implantation in Postlingually Deafened Adults?. Ear and Hearing, 2019, 40, 418-425.	1.0	6
61	Sector Irradiation vs. Whole Brain Irradiation After Resection of Singular Brain Metastasis—A Prospective Randomized Monocentric Trial. Frontiers in Oncology, 2020, 10, 591884.	1.3	6
62	Immune Activation and Anemia Are Associated with Decreased Quality of Life in Patients with Solid Tumors. Journal of Clinical Medicine, 2020, 9, 3248.	1.0	5
63	Functional health and symptoms in Spain before and during the COVID-19 pandemic. BMC Public Health, 2021, 21, 837.	1.2	5
64	Implementation of an electronic routine outcome monitoring at an inpatient unit for psychosomatic medicine. Journal of Psychosomatic Research, 2018, 105, 64-71.	1.2	4
65	Machine learning in oncology—Perspectives in patient-reported outcome research. Onkologe, 2021, 27, 150-155.	0.7	4
66	The GIMEMA-ALLIANCE Digital Health Platform for Patients With Hematologic Malignancies in the COVID-19 Pandemic and Postpandemic Era: Protocol for a Multicenter, Prospective, Observational Study. JMIR Research Protocols, 2021, 10, e25271.	0.5	4
67	Evaluation of inpatient cancer rehabilitation by routine electronic patient-reported outcome measures (ePROM): Improvement of quality of life (QoL) and psychological distress Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2019, 37, e18294-e18294.	0.8	4
68	Randomized Trial of a Supportive Psychotherapy for Parents of Adolescents and Young Adults With Hematologic Malignancies. Journal of the National Comprehensive Cancer Network: JNCCN, 2022, , 1-15.	2.3	4
69	Development of an item list to assess the forgotten joint concept in shoulder patients. BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders, 2015, 16, 67.	0.8	3
70	Influence of a Structured Exercise Training on Patients Reported Quality of Life in Colorectal Cancer Patients After Adjuvant Chemotherapy: A Pilot Study. Integrative Cancer Therapies, 2020, 19, 153473542093845.	0.8	3
71	Patient-reported outcomes and psycho-oncological screening in hematology: aÂpractical example of routine electronic monitoring. Memo - Magazine of European Medical Oncology, 2020, 13, 285-293.	0.3	3
72	Evaluating the use of the EORTC patient-reported outcome measures for improving inter-rater reliability of CTCAE ratings in a mixed population of cancer patients: study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. Trials, 2020, 21, 849.	0.7	2

#	Article	lF	CITATIONS
73	Estimating seroprevalence of SARS-CoV-2 antibodies using three self-reported symptoms: development of a prediction model based on data from Ischgl, Austria. Epidemiology and Infection, 2021, 149, e52.	1.0	2
74	The Attitude towards Polypills Questionnaire (APPQ): a phase l–III development and validation study in patients with cerebrovascular disease. European Journal of Neurology, 2021, 28, 4039-4050.	1.7	2
75	Investigating the response scale of the EORTC QLQ-C30 in German cancer patients and a population survey. Health and Quality of Life Outcomes, 2021, 19, 235.	1.0	2
76	The EORTC QLU-C10D discrete choice experiment for cancer patients: a first step towards patient utility weights. Journal of Patient-Reported Outcomes, 2022, 6, 42.	0.9	2
77	Rehabilitation for children and adolescents after cancer: importance and implementation in Austria. Memo - Magazine of European Medical Oncology, 2021, 14, 278-283.	0.3	1
78	Usability evaluation of the Computer-Based Health Evaluation System (CHES) eDiary for patients with faecal incontinence: a pilot study. BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making, 2022, 22, 81.	1.5	1