## MarÃ-a José Salar

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/3998327/publications.pdf Version: 2024-02-01



ΜΑΡÃΑ ΙΟςà Ο SALAR

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Effect of iron oxide content and microstructural porosity on the performance of ceramic membranes as microbial fuel cell separators. Electrochimica Acta, 2021, 367, 137385.	2.6	20
2	Impact of Inoculum Type on the Microbial Community and Power Performance of Urine-Fed Microbial Fuel Cells. Microorganisms, 2020, 8, 1921.	1.6	18
3	Discovering Low Toxicity Ionic Liquids for Saccharomyces cerevisiae by Using the Agar Well Diffusion Test. Processes, 2020, 8, 1163.	1.3	17
4	Long-term bio-power of ceramic microbial fuel cells in individual and stacked configurations. Bioelectrochemistry, 2020, 133, 107459.	2.4	41
5	Mixed transition metal-manganese oxides as catalysts in MFCs for bioenergy generation from industrial wastewater. Biochemical Engineering Journal, 2019, 151, 107310.	1.8	17
6	Evaluation of Ionic Liquids as In Situ Extraction Agents during the Alcoholic Fermentation of Carob Pod Extracts. Fermentation, 2019, 5, 90.	1.4	7
7	Electrocodeposition method to synthesize lowâ€cost cathodes based on inert carriers for bioenergy production and wastewater treatment in microbial fuel cells. Environmental Progress and Sustainable Energy, 2019, 38, 13083.	1.3	1
8	Synthesis of low cost organometallic-type catalysts for their application in microbial fuel cell technology. Environmental Technology (United Kingdom), 2019, 40, 2425-2435.	1.2	5
9	Preparation of new ferroelectric Li <sub>0.95</sub> Ta <sub>0.57</sub> Nb <sub>0.38</sub> Cu <sub>0.15</sub> O <sub>3</sub> materials as photocatalysts in microbial fuel cells. Canadian Journal of Chemical Engineering, 2018, 96, 1656-1662.	0.9	4
10	Treatment of Mineral Oil Refinery Wastewater in Microbial Fuel Cells Using Ionic Liquid Based Separators. Applied Sciences (Switzerland), 2018, 8, 438.	1.3	15
11	Algerian Carob Tree Products: A Comprehensive Valorization Analysis and Future Prospects. Sustainability, 2018, 10, 90.	1.6	14
12	Ferroelectric LiTaO <sub>3</sub> as novel photoâ€electrocatalyst in microbial fuel cells. Environmental Progress and Sustainable Energy, 2017, 36, 1568-1574.	1.3	17
13	On the use of ferroelectric material LiNbO3 as novel photocatalyst in wastewater-fed microbial fuel cells. Particuology, 2017, 34, 147-155.	2.0	41
14	Keys for Bioethanol Production Processes by Fermentation and Ionic Liquid Extraction. ACS Sustainable Chemistry and Engineering, 2017, 5, 6986-6993.	3.2	16
15	Influence of ionic liquid composition on the stability of polyvinyl chlorideâ€based ionic liquid inclusion membranes in aqueous solution. AICHE Journal, 2017, 63, 770-780.	1.8	14
16	lonic liquid technology to recover volatile organic compounds (VOCs). Journal of Hazardous Materials, 2017, 321, 484-499.	6.5	121
17	Study of the effects of ionic liquid-modified cathodes and ceramic separators on MFC performance. Chemical Engineering Journal, 2016, 291, 317-324.	6.6	27
18	A critical review on microalgae as an alternative source for bioenergy production: A promising low cost substrate for microbial fuel cells. Fuel Processing Technology, 2016, 154, 104-116.	3.7	159

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Influence of the preparation method of MnO2-based cathodes on the performance of single-chamber MFCs using wastewater. Separation and Purification Technology, 2016, 171, 174-181.	3.9	34
20	Analysis of optimal conditions for biodiesel production from Jatropha oil in supercritical methanol: Quantification of thermal decomposition degree and analysis of FAMEs. Journal of Supercritical Fluids, 2016, 112, 1-6.	1.6	44
21	Developments in microbial fuel cell modeling. Chemical Engineering Journal, 2015, 271, 50-60.	6.6	138
22	Development and characterization of a new embedded ionic liquid based membrane-cathode assembly for its application in single chamber microbial fuel cells. Energy, 2015, 93, 1748-1757.	4.5	22
23	Two-stage mesophilic anaerobic–thermophilic digestion for sludge sanitation to obtain advanced treated sludge. Chemical Engineering Journal, 2013, 230, 59-63.	6.6	19