

Felicity K Boardman

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/3960651/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

38
papers

2,590
citations

516710

16
h-index

315739

38
g-index

38
all docs

38
docs citations

38
times ranked

3241
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	What is a "serious"™ genetic condition? The perceptions of people living with genetic conditions. <i>European Journal of Human Genetics</i> , 2022, 30, 160-169.	2.8	22
2	Exploring trust in (bio)medical and experiential knowledge of birth: The perspectives of pregnant women, new mothers and maternity care providers. <i>Midwifery</i> , 2022, 107, 103272.	2.3	3
3	"We're kind of like genetic nomads": Parents' experiences of biographical disruption and uncertainty following in/conclusive results from newborn cystic fibrosis screening. <i>Social Science and Medicine</i> , 2022, 301, 114972.	3.8	7
4	Early Surveillance for Autoimmune diabetes: protocol for a qualitative study of general population and stakeholder perspectives on screening for type 1 diabetes in the UK (ELSA 1). <i>BMJ Open Diabetes Research and Care</i> , 2022, 10, e002750.	2.8	6
5	Expanding the notion of "benefit" comparing public, parent, and professional attitudes towards whole genome sequencing in newborns. <i>New Genetics and Society</i> , 2022, 41, 96-115.	1.2	4
6	Attitudes toward population screening among people living with fragile X syndrome in the UK: "I wouldn't wish him away, I'd just wish his fragile X syndrome away". <i>Journal of Genetic Counseling</i> , 2021, 30, 85-97.	1.6	11
7	Whose life is worth preserving? Disabled people and the expressivist objection to neonatology. <i>Acta Paediatrica, International Journal of Paediatrics</i> , 2021, 110, 391-393.	1.5	3
8	Children's perspectives and experiences of health, diet, physical activity and weight in an urban, multiethnic UK population: A qualitative study. <i>Child: Care, Health and Development</i> , 2021, 47, 597-607.	1.7	4
9	Absorbing it all: A meta-ethnography of parents'™ unfolding experiences of newborn screening. <i>Social Science and Medicine</i> , 2021, 287, 114367.	3.8	8
10	Enabling women to access preferred methods of contraception: a rapid review and behavioural analysis. <i>BMC Public Health</i> , 2021, 21, 2176.	2.9	1
11	Human genome editing and the identity politics of genetic disability. <i>Journal of Community Genetics</i> , 2020, 11, 125-127.	1.2	15
12	Which types of conditions should be included in reproductive genetic carrier screening? Views of parents of children with a genetic condition. <i>European Journal of Medical Genetics</i> , 2020, 63, 104075.	1.3	14
13	Letter to the editor. Gene editing and disabled people: a response to Íigo de Miguel Beriain. <i>Journal of Community Genetics</i> , 2020, 11, 245-247.	1.2	2
14	Social and cultural influences on genetic screening programme acceptability: A mixed-methods study of the views of adults, carriers, and family members living with thalassemia in the UK. <i>Journal of Genetic Counseling</i> , 2020, 29, 1026-1040.	1.6	18
15	"I didn't take it too seriously because I'd just never heard of it"™ Experiential knowledge and genetic screening for thalassaemia in the UK. <i>Journal of Genetic Counseling</i> , 2019, 28, 141-154.	1.6	9
16	Improving the content validity of the mixed methods appraisal tool: a modified e-Delphi study. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2019, 111, 49-59.e1.	5.0	441
17	Preventing lives affected by hemophilia: A mixed methods study of the views of adults with hemophilia and their families toward genetic screening. <i>Molecular Genetics & Genomic Medicine</i> , 2019, 7, e618.	1.2	21
18	Newborn screening for haemophilia: The views of families and adults living with haemophilia in the UK. <i>Haemophilia</i> , 2019, 25, 276-282.	2.1	7

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	The role of experiential knowledge within attitudes towards genetic carrier screening: A comparison of people with and without experience of spinal muscular atrophy. <i>Health Expectations</i> , 2018, 21, 201-211.	2.6	17
20	Newborn genetic screening for spinal muscular atrophy in the <scp>UK</scp>: The views of the general population. <i>Molecular Genetics & Genomic Medicine</i> , 2018, 6, 99-108.	1.2	20
21	Impairment Experiences, Identity and Attitudes Towards Genetic Screening: the Views of People with Spinal Muscular Atrophy. <i>Journal of Genetic Counseling</i> , 2018, 27, 69-84.	1.6	16
22	Responsibility, identity, and genomic sequencing: A comparison of published recommendations and patient perspectives on accepting or declining incidental findings. <i>Molecular Genetics & Genomic Medicine</i> , 2018, 6, 1079-1096.	1.2	18
23	The Mixed Methods Appraisal Tool (MMAT) version 2018 for information professionals and researchers. <i>Education for Information</i> , 2018, 34, 285-291.	0.5	1,312
24	VP26 A Critical Appraisal Tool For Systematic Mixed Studies Reviews. <i>International Journal of Technology Assessment in Health Care</i> , 2018, 34, 166-166.	0.5	5
25	How do genetically disabled adults view selective reproduction? Impairment, identity, and genetic screening. <i>Molecular Genetics & Genomic Medicine</i> , 2018, 6, 941-956.	1.2	29
26	Newborn screening for spinal muscular atrophy: The views of affected families and adults. <i>American Journal of Medical Genetics, Part A</i> , 2017, 173, 1546-1561.	1.2	17
27	Experience as knowledge: Disability, distillation and (reprogenetic) decision-making. <i>Social Science and Medicine</i> , 2017, 191, 186-193.	3.8	20
28	Population screening for spinal muscular atrophy: A mixed methods study of the views of affected families. <i>American Journal of Medical Genetics, Part A</i> , 2017, 173, 421-434.	1.2	34
29	The effect of strategies of personal resilience on depression recovery in an Australian cohort: A mixed methods study. <i>Health (United Kingdom)</i> , 2015, 19, 86-106.	1.5	17
30	Evaluating recovery following hip fracture: a qualitative interview study of what is important to patients. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2015, 5, e005406-e005406.	1.9	69
31	The Warwick Patient Experiences Framework: patient-based evidence in clinical guidelines. <i>International Journal for Quality in Health Care</i> , 2014, 26, 151-157.	1.8	66
32	The expressivist objection to prenatal testing: The experiences of families living with genetic disease. <i>Social Science and Medicine</i> , 2014, 107, 18-25.	3.8	46
33	Knowledge is power? The role of experiential knowledge in genetically "risky" reproductive decisions. <i>Sociology of Health and Illness</i> , 2014, 36, 137-150.	2.1	32
34	Experiential knowledge of disability, impairment and illness: The reproductive decisions of families genetically at risk. <i>Health (United Kingdom)</i> , 2014, 18, 476-492.	1.5	10
35	Social networks "The future for health care delivery. <i>Social Science and Medicine</i> , 2012, 75, 2233-2241.	3.8	157
36	Accessing the field: Disability and the research process. <i>Social Science and Medicine</i> , 2011, 72, 23-30.	3.8	33

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	Resilience as a response to the stigma of depression: A mixed methods analysis. <i>Journal of Affective Disorders</i> , 2011, 135, 267-276.	4.1	39
38	Becoming pregnant: exploring the perspectives of women living with diabetes. <i>British Journal of General Practice</i> , 2008, 58, 184-190.	1.4	37