## Felicity K Boardman

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/3960651/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

38 papers

2,590 citations

16 h-index 315739 38 g-index

38 all docs 38 docs citations

38 times ranked 3241 citing authors

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	The Mixed Methods Appraisal Tool (MMAT) version 2018 for information professionals and researchers. Education for Information, 2018, 34, 285-291.	0.5	1,312
2	Improving the content validity of the mixed methods appraisal tool: aÂmodified e-Delphi study. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2019, 111, 49-59.e1.	5.0	441
3	Social networks – The future for health care delivery. Social Science and Medicine, 2012, 75, 2233-2241.	3.8	157
4	Evaluating recovery following hip fracture: a qualitative interview study of what is important to patients. BMJ Open, 2015, 5, e005406-e005406.	1.9	69
5	The Warwick Patient Experiences Framework: patient-based evidence in clinical guidelines. International Journal for Quality in Health Care, 2014, 26, 151-157.	1.8	66
6	The expressivist objection to prenatal testing: The experiences of families living with genetic disease. Social Science and Medicine, 2014, 107, 18-25.	3.8	46
7	Resilience as a response to the stigma of depression: A mixed methods analysis. Journal of Affective Disorders, 2011, 135, 267-276.	4.1	39
8	Becoming pregnant: exploring the perspectives of women living with diabetes. British Journal of General Practice, 2008, 58, 184-190.	1.4	37
9	Population screening for spinal muscular atrophy: A mixed methods study of the views of affected families. American Journal of Medical Genetics, Part A, 2017, 173, 421-434.	1.2	34
10	Accessing the field: Disability and the research process. Social Science and Medicine, 2011, 72, 23-30.	3.8	33
11	Knowledge is power? The role of experiential knowledge in genetically â€~risky' reproductive decisions. Sociology of Health and Illness, 2014, 36, 137-150.	2.1	32
12	How do genetically disabled adults view selective reproduction? Impairment, identity, and genetic screening. Molecular Genetics & Enomic Medicine, 2018, 6, 941-956.	1.2	29
13	What is a â€~serious' genetic condition? The perceptions of people living with genetic conditions. European Journal of Human Genetics, 2022, 30, 160-169.	2.8	22
14	Preventing lives affected by hemophilia: A mixed methods study of the views of adults with hemophilia and their families toward genetic screening. Molecular Genetics & Enomic Medicine, 2019, 7, e618.	1.2	21
15	Experience as knowledge: Disability, distillation and (reprogenetic) decision-making. Social Science and Medicine, 2017, 191, 186-193.	3.8	20
16	Newborn genetic screening for spinal muscular atrophy in the <scp>UK</scp> : The views of the general population. Molecular Genetics & Enough Committee (appendix of the general population).	1.2	20
17	Responsibility, identity, and genomic sequencing: A comparison of published recommendations and patient perspectives on accepting or declining incidental findings. Molecular Genetics & mp; Genomic Medicine, 2018, 6, 1079-1096.	1.2	18
18	Social and cultural influences on genetic screening programme acceptability: A mixedâ€methods study of the views of adults, carriers, and family members living with thalassemia in the UK. Journal of Genetic Counseling, 2020, 29, 1026-1040.	1.6	18

#	Article	IF	Citations
19	The effect of strategies of personal resilience on depression recovery in an Australian cohort: A mixed methods study. Health (United Kingdom), 2015, 19, 86-106.	1.5	17
20	Newborn screening for spinal muscular atrophy: The views of affected families and adults. American Journal of Medical Genetics, Part A, 2017, 173, 1546-1561.	1.2	17
21	The role of experiential knowledge within attitudes towards genetic carrier screening: A comparison of people with and without experience of spinal muscular atrophy. Health Expectations, 2018, 21, 201-211.	2.6	17
22	Impairment Experiences, Identity and Attitudes Towards Genetic Screening: the Views of People with Spinal Muscular Atrophy. Journal of Genetic Counseling, 2018, 27, 69-84.	1.6	16
23	Human genome editing and the identity politics of genetic disability. Journal of Community Genetics, 2020, 11, 125-127.	1,2	15
24	Which types of conditions should be included in reproductive genetic carrier screening? Views of parents of children with a genetic condition. European Journal of Medical Genetics, 2020, 63, 104075.	1.3	14
25	Attitudes toward population screening among people living with fragile X syndrome in the UK: â€~I wouldn't wish him away, l'd just wish his fragile X syndrome away'. Journal of Genetic Counseling, 2021, 30, 85-97.	1.6	11
26	Experiential knowledge of disability, impairment and illness: The reproductive decisions of families genetically at risk. Health (United Kingdom), 2014, 18, 476-492.	1.5	10
27	<i>"l didn't take it too seriously because l'd just never heard of it―</i> Experiential knowledge and genetic screening for thalassaemia in the UK. Journal of Genetic Counseling, 2019, 28, 141-154.	1.6	9
28	Absorbing it all: A meta-ethnography of parents' unfolding experiences of newborn screening. Social Science and Medicine, 2021, 287, 114367.	3.8	8
29	Newborn screening for haemophilia: The views of families and adults living with haemophilia in the UK. Haemophilia, 2019, 25, 276-282.	2.1	7
30	†We're kind of like genetic nomads': Parents' experiences of biographical disruption and uncertainty following in/conclusive results from newborn cystic fibrosis screening. Social Science and Medicine, 2022, 301, 114972.	3.8	7
31	EarLy Surveillance for Autoimmune diabetes: protocol for a qualitative study of general population and stakeholder perspectives on screening for type 1 diabetes in the UK (ELSA 1). BMJ Open Diabetes Research and Care, 2022, 10, e002750.	2.8	6
32	VP26 A Critical Appraisal Tool For Systematic Mixed Studies Reviews. International Journal of Technology Assessment in Health Care, 2018, 34, 166-166.	0.5	5
33	Children's perspectives and experiences of health, diet, physical activity and weight in an urban, multiâ€ethnic UK population: A qualitative study. Child: Care, Health and Development, 2021, 47, 597-607.	1.7	4
34	Expanding the notion of "benefit― comparing public, parent, and professional attitudes towards whole genome sequencing in newborns. New Genetics and Society, 2022, 41, 96-115.	1.2	4
35	Whose life is worth preserving? Disabled people and the expressivist objection to neonatology. Acta Paediatrica, International Journal of Paediatrics, 2021, 110, 391-393.	1.5	3
36	Exploring trust in (bio)medical and experiential knowledge of birth: The perspectives of pregnant women, new mothers and maternity care providers. Midwifery, 2022, 107, 103272.	2.3	3

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Letter to the editor. Gene editing and disabled people: a response to $I\tilde{A}\pm igo$ de Miguel Beriain. Journal of Community Genetics, 2020, 11, 245-247.	1.2	2
38	Enabling women to access preferred methods of contraception: a rapid review and behavioural analysis. BMC Public Health, 2021, 21, 2176.	2.9	1