
Jason Gardosi

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/3942150/publications.pdf Version: 2024-02-01



#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Fetal weight projection model to define growth velocity and validation against pregnancy outcome in a cohort of serially scanned pregnancies. Ultrasound in Obstetrics and Gynecology, 2022, 60, 86-95.	1.7	12
2	Customized GROW vs INTERGROWTH-21st birthweight standards to identify small for gestational age associated perinatal outcomes at term. American Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology MFM, 2022, 4, 100545.	2.6	11
3	Evaluating the Growth Assessment Protocol for stillbirth prevention: progress and challenges. Journal of Perinatal Medicine, 2022, 50, 737-747.	1.4	5
4	Prospective risk of stillbirth according to fetal size at term. Journal of Perinatal Medicine, 2022, 50, 748-752.	1.4	3
5	Preventing stillbirth: risk factors, case reviews, care pathways. Journal of Perinatal Medicine, 2022, 50, 639-641.	1.4	1
6	Reduction of stillbirths in England from 2008 to 2017 according to uptake of the Growth Assessment Protocol: 10â€year populationâ€based cohort study. Ultrasound in Obstetrics and Gynecology, 2021, 57, 401-408.	1.7	15
7	Customized birthweight standard for a Polish population. Archives of Medical Science, 2020, , .	0.9	0
8	The Growth Assessment Protocol: a major cause of declining stillbirth rates in the UK. Ultrasound in Obstetrics and Gynecology, 2020, 56, 117-119.	1.7	3
9	Customised birthweight standard for a Slovenian population. Journal of Perinatal Medicine, 2019, 47, 270-275.	1.4	5
10	Customized birthweight standard for an Iranian population. Journal of Maternal-Fetal and Neonatal Medicine, 2019, 34, 1-6.	1.5	3
11	Counterpoint. American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology, 2019, 220, 74-82.	1.3	6
12	Customized vs INTERGROWTH-21st standards for the assessment of birthweight and stillbirth risk at term. American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology, 2018, 218, S692-S699.	1.3	115
13	Customized growth charts: rationale, validation and clinical benefits. American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology, 2018, 218, S609-S618.	1.3	168
14	Fetal growth surveillance – Current guidelines, practices and challenges. Ultrasound, 2018, 26, 69-79.	0.7	26
15	Toward safe standards for assessment ofÂfetal growth in twin pregnancy. American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology, 2017, 216, 431-433.	1.3	8
16	Preterm standards for fetal growth and birthweight. Acta Paediatrica, International Journal of Paediatrics, 2017, 106, 1383-1384.	1.5	3
17	Implementation of an Interprofessional Team Review of Adverse Events in Obstetrics Using a Standardized Computer Tool: A Mixed Methods Study. Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology Canada, 2016, 38, 168-176.	0.7	3
18	Learning from Adverse Events in Obstetrics: Is a Standardized Computer Tool an Effective Strategy for Root Cause Analysis?. Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology Canada, 2015, 37, 728-735.	0.7	4

Jason Gardosi

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Preventing stillbirths through improved antenatal recognition of pregnancies at risk due to fetal growth restriction. Public Health, 2014, 128, 698-702.	2.9	55
20	Fetal growth and ethnic variation. Lancet Diabetes and Endocrinology,the, 2014, 2, 773-774.	11.4	7
21	PFM.70â€Effect of serial scan frequency on antenatal detection of fetal growth restriction: Abstract PFM.70 Table 1. Archives of Disease in Childhood: Fetal and Neonatal Edition, 2014, 99, A104.3-A105.	2.8	2
22	PFM.69â€Customised vs uncustomised ultrasound charts in the assessment of perinatal mortality risk in the South Asian maternity population. Archives of Disease in Childhood: Fetal and Neonatal Edition, 2014, 99, A104.2-A104.	2.8	7
23	The customized fetal growth potential: a standard for Ireland. European Journal of Obstetrics, Gynecology and Reproductive Biology, 2013, 166, 14-17.	1.1	32
24	Association between reduced stillbirth rates in England and regional uptake of accreditation training in customised fetal growth assessment. BMJ Open, 2013, 3, e003942.	1.9	76
25	Maternal and fetal risk factors for stillbirth: population based study. BMJ, The, 2013, 346, f108-f108.	6.0	669
26	The customised growth potential: an international research tool to study the epidemiology of fetal growth. Paediatric and Perinatal Epidemiology, 2011, 25, 2-10.	1.7	74
27	Intrauterine growth restriction: new concepts in antenatal surveillance, diagnosis, and management. American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology, 2011, 204, 288-300.	1.3	405
28	Association between pregnancy complications and small-for–gestational-age birth weight defined by customized fetal growth standard versus a population-based standard. Journal of Maternal-Fetal and Neonatal Medicine, 2011, 24, 411-417.	1.5	55
29	Adverse pregnancy outcome and association with small for gestational age birthweight by customized and population-based percentiles. American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology, 2009, 201, 28.e1-28.e8.	1.3	166
30	A customized standard to assess fetal growth in a US population. American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology, 2009, 201, 25.e1-25.e7.	1.3	126
31	The value of customised centiles in assessing perinatal mortality risk associated with parity and maternal size. BJOG: an International Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, 2009, 116, 1356-1363.	2.3	142
32	Predictiveness of antenatal umbilical artery Doppler for adverse pregnancy outcome in smallâ€forâ€gestationalâ€age babies according to customised birthweight centiles: populationâ€based study. BJOG: an International Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, 2008, 115, 590-594.	2.3	105
33	Cerebral palsy and restricted growth status at birth: populationâ€based case–control study. BJOG: an International Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, 2008, 115, 1250-1255.	2.3	204
34	Customized birthweight standards for a Spanish population. European Journal of Obstetrics, Gynecology and Reproductive Biology, 2008, 136, 20-24.	1.1	312
35	Prediction of adverse perinatal outcome at term in small-for-gestational age fetuses: comparison of growth velocity vs. customized assessment. Journal of Perinatal Medicine, 2008, 36, 531-5.	1.4	23
36	Early Fetal Size and Growth as Predictors of Adverse Outcome. Obstetrics and Gynecology, 2008, 112, 765-771.	2.4	52

JASON GARDOSI

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Customised birthweight standards accurately predict perinatal morbidity. Archives of Disease in Childhood: Fetal and Neonatal Edition, 2007, 92, F277-F280.	2.8	121
38	A customised birthweight centile calculator developed for an Australian population. Australian and New Zealand Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, 2007, 47, 128-131.	1.0	82
39	The use of customised versus populationâ€based birthweight standards in predicting perinatal mortality. BJOG: an International Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, 2007, 114, 1301-1302.	2.3	19
40	Classification of stillbirth by relevant condition at death (ReCoDe): population based cohort study. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2005, 331, 1113-1117.	2.3	418
41	A customised birthweight centile calculator developed for a New Zealand population. Australian and New Zealand Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, 2004, 44, 428-431.	1.0	135
42	Perinatal mortality and fetal growth restriction. Best Practice and Research in Clinical Obstetrics and Gynaecology, 2004, 18, 397-410.	2.8	143
43	Perinatal outcome in SGA births defined by customised versus population-based birthweight standards. British Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, 2001, 108, 830-834.	0.9	266
44	Perinatal outcome in SGA births defined by customised versus population-based birthweight standards. BJOG: an International Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, 2001, 108, 830-834.	2.3	213
45	Early pregnancy predictors of preterm birth: the role of a prolonged menstruation-conception interval. BJOC: an International Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, 2000, 107, 228-237.	2.3	57
46	Customized fetal weight limits for antenatal detection of fetal growth restriction. Ultrasound in Obstetrics and Gynecology, 2000, 15, 36-40.	1.7	66
47	Controlled trial of fundal height measurement plotted on customised antenatal growth charts. BJOG: an International Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, 1999, 106, 309-317.	2.3	147
48	Fetal growth rate and adverse perinatal events. Ultrasound in Obstetrics and Gynecology, 1999, 13, 86-89.	1.7	71
49	Systematic reviews: insufficient evidence on which to base medicine. BJOG: an International Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, 1998, 105, 1-5.	2.3	16
50	Analysis of birthweight and gestational age in anteparturn stillbirths. BJOG: an International Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, 1998, 105, 524-530.	2.3	179
51	Application of a customised birthweight standard in the assessment of perinatal outcome in a high risk population. BJOG: an International Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, 1998, 105, 531-535.	2.3	127
52	Routine ultrasound is the method of choice for dating pregnancy. BJOG: an International Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, 1998, 105, 933-936.	2.3	84
53	Fetal weight gain in a serially scanned highâ€risk population. Ultrasound in Obstetrics and Gynecology, 1998, 11, 39-43.	1.7	42
54	Review article. Journal of Perinatal Medicine, 1998, 26, 333-338.	1.4	9

Jason Gardosi

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	Review articles. Journal of Perinatal Medicine, 1998, 26, 137-185.	1.4	21
56	Dating of pregnancy: time to forget the last menstrual period. Ultrasound in Obstetrics and Gynecology, 1997, 9, 367-368.	1.7	63
57	Gestationâ€adjusted projection of estimated fetal weight. Acta Obstetricia Et Gynecologica Scandinavica, 1996, 75, 28-31.	2.8	82
58	Reduction of false-positive diagnosis of fetal growth restriction by application of customized fetal growth standards. Obstetrics and Gynecology, 1996, 88, 844-848.	2.4	127
59	Fifth World Congress of ISUOG: The Kyoto experience. Ultrasound in Obstetrics and Gynecology, 1996, 7, 384-385.	1.7	0
60	Individualized fetal growth assessment and accuracy of prediction. Ultrasound in Obstetrics and Gynecology, 1996, 7, 462-463.	1.7	8
61	An adjustable fetal weight standard. Ultrasound in Obstetrics and Gynecology, 1995, 6, 168-174.	1.7	492
62	Longitudinal study of fetal growth in subgroups of a lowâ€ r isk population. Ultrasound in Obstetrics and Gynecology, 1995, 6, 340-344.	1.7	90
63	Clinical birthweight standards for a total population in the 1980's. BJOG: an International Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, 1994, 101, 178-178.	2.3	0
64	Risk assessment adjusted for gestational age in maternal serum screening for Down's syndrome BMJ: British Medical Journal, 1993, 306, 1509-1511.	2.3	26
65	Customised antenatal growth charts. Lancet, The, 1992, 339, 283-287.	13.7	756