

Mohammad Abu Hilal

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/3909879/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

200
papers

14,717
citations

29994

54
h-index

21474

114
g-index

205
all docs

205
docs citations

205
times ranked

8967
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Landmarks to identify segmental borders of the liver: A review prepared for PAM&HBP expert consensus meeting 2021. Journal of Hepato-Biliary-Pancreatic Sciences, 2022, 29, 82-98.	1.4	25
2	Precision vascular anatomy for minimally invasive distal pancreatectomy: A systematic review. Journal of Hepato-Biliary-Pancreatic Sciences, 2022, 29, 136-150.	1.4	8
3	Glissonean approach for hepatic inflow control in minimally invasive anatomic liver resection: A systematic review. Journal of Hepato-Biliary-Pancreatic Sciences, 2022, 29, 51-65.	1.4	20
4	Landmarks and techniques to perform minimally invasive liver surgery: A systematic review with a focus on hepatic outflow. Journal of Hepato-Biliary-Pancreatic Sciences, 2022, 29, 66-81.	1.4	33
5	Pan-European survey on the implementation of robotic and laparoscopic minimally invasive liver surgery. Hpb, 2022, 24, 322-331.	0.1	22
6	Long-term oncological outcomes after laparoscopic parenchyma-sparing redo liver resections for patients with metastatic colorectal cancer: a European multi-center study. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2022, 36, 3374-3381.	1.3	9
7	Adjuvant radiotherapy improves long-term survival after resection for gallbladder cancer A population-based cohort study. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2022, 48, 425-434.	0.5	7
8	Risk Factors of Positive Resection Margin in Laparoscopic and Open Liver Surgery for Colorectal Liver Metastases: A New Perspective in the Perioperative Assessment. Annals of Surgery, 2022, 275, e213-e221.	2.1	19
9	International Expert Consensus on Precision Anatomy for minimally invasive distal pancreatectomy: PAM&HBP Surgery Project. Journal of Hepato-Biliary-Pancreatic Sciences, 2022, 29, 161-173.	1.4	8
10	Development and validity evidence of an objective structured assessment of technical skills score for minimally invasive linear-stapled, hand-sewn intestinal anastomoses: the A-OSATS score. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2022, 36, 4529-4541.	1.3	8
11	Performance with robotic surgery versus 3D- and 2D&laparoscopy during pancreatic and biliary anastomoses in a biotissue model: pooled analysis of two randomized trials. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2022, 36, 4518-4528.	1.3	10
12	Outcome of major hepatectomy in cirrhotic patients; does surgical approach matter? A propensity score matched analysis. Journal of Hepato-Biliary-Pancreatic Sciences, 2022, 29, 1226-1239.	1.4	9
13	International expert consensus on precision anatomy for minimally invasive pancreatoduodenectomy: PAM&HBP surgery project. Journal of Hepato-Biliary-Pancreatic Sciences, 2022, 29, 124-135.	1.4	14
14	Impact of enhanced recovery protocols after pancreatoduodenectomy: meta-analysis. British Journal of Surgery, 2022, 109, 256-266.	0.1	19
15	Routine abdominal drainage after distal pancreatectomy: meta-analysis. British Journal of Surgery, 2022, 109, 486-488.	0.1	6
16	OUP accepted manuscript. British Journal of Surgery, 2022, , .	0.1	0
17	It is the lymph node ratio that determines survival and recurrence patterns in resected distal cholangiocarcinoma. A multicenter international study. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2022, 48, 1576-1584.	0.5	7
18	Incidence and Clinical Impact of Bile Leakage after Laparoscopic and Open Liver Resection: An International Multicenter Propensity Score-Matched Study of 13,379 Patients. Journal of the American College of Surgeons, 2022, 234, 99-112.	0.2	9

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Laparoscopic versus open resections in the posterosuperior liver segments within an enhanced recovery programme (ORANGE Segments): study protocol for a multicentre randomised controlled trial. <i>Trials</i> , 2022, 23, 206.	0.7	3
20	Study: International Multicentric Minimally Invasive Liver Resection for Colorectal Liver Metastases (SIMMILR-CRLM). <i>Cancers</i> , 2022, 14, 1379.	1.7	11
21	Adjuvant chemotherapy for perihilar cholangiocarcinoma: A population-based comparative cohort study. <i>European Journal of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2022, 48, 1300-1308.	0.5	6
22	The Tokyo 2020 terminology of liver anatomy and resections: Updates of the Brisbane 2000 system. <i>Journal of Hepato-Biliary-Pancreatic Sciences</i> , 2022, 29, 6-15.	1.4	65
23	An International Retrospective Observational Study of Liver Functional Deterioration after Repeat Liver Resection for Patients with Hepatocellular Carcinoma. <i>Cancers</i> , 2022, 14, 2598.	1.7	4
24	The Advances in Computer Vision That Are Enabling More Autonomous Actions in Surgery: A Systematic Review of the Literature. <i>Sensors</i> , 2022, 22, 4918.	2.1	18
25	Utility of the Iwate difficulty scoring system for laparoscopic right posterior sectionectomy: do surgical outcomes differ for tumors in segments VI and VII?. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2022, 36, 9204-9214.	1.3	3
26	Does Robotic Liver Surgery Enhance R0 Results in Liver Malignancies during Minimally Invasive Liver Surgery?â€”A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. <i>Cancers</i> , 2022, 14, 3360.	1.7	6
27	Outcomes of Elective and Emergency Conversion in Minimally Invasive Distal Pancreatectomy for Pancreatic Ductal Adenocarcinoma: An International Multicenter Propensity Score-matched Study. <i>Annals of Surgery</i> , 2021, 274, e1001-e1007.	2.1	17
28	Robotic versus conventional laparoscopic liver resections: A systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>Scandinavian Journal of Surgery</i> , 2021, 110, 290-300.	1.3	44
29	Laparoscopic versus open right posterior sectionectomy: an international, multicenter, propensity score-matched evaluation. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2021, 35, 6139-6149.	1.3	16
30	Intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma as the new field of implementation of laparoscopic liver resection programs. A comparative propensity score-based analysis of open and laparoscopic liver resections. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2021, 35, 1851-1862.	1.3	24
31	Predictors for Survival in an International Cohort of Patients Undergoing Distal Pancreatectomy for Pancreatic Ductal Adenocarcinoma. <i>Annals of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2021, 28, 1079-1087.	0.7	11
32	Impact of resection margins for colorectal liver metastases in laparoscopic and open liver resection: a propensity score analysis. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2021, 35, 809-818.	1.3	15
33	Minimally invasive versus open distal pancreatectomy: an individual patient data meta-analysis of two randomized controlled trials. <i>Hpb</i> , 2021, 23, 323-330.	0.1	26
34	Does center or surgeon volume influence adoption of minimally invasive versus open pancreatoduodenectomy? A systematic review and meta-regression. <i>Surgery</i> , 2021, 169, 945-953.	1.0	2
35	The Landmark Series: Minimally Invasive Pancreatic Resection. <i>Annals of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2021, 28, 1447-1456.	0.7	30
36	Risk factors and outcomes of conversion in minimally invasive distal pancreatectomy: a systematic review. <i>Langenbeck's Archives of Surgery</i> , 2021, 406, 597-605.	0.8	3

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	Updated Alternative Fistula Risk Score (ua-FRS) to Include Minimally Invasive Pancreatoduodenectomy. <i>Annals of Surgery</i> , 2021, 273, 334-340.	2.1	92
38	OUP accepted manuscript. <i>British Journal of Surgery</i> , 2021, 108, e381.	0.1	0
39	Laparoscopic versus open extended radical left pancreatectomy for pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma: an international propensity-score matched study. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2021, 35, 6949-6959.	1.3	3
40	Robotic <i>versus</i> laparoscopic distal pancreatectomy: multicentre analysis. <i>British Journal of Surgery</i> , 2021, 108, 188-195.	0.1	64
41	Clinical relevant pancreatic fistula after pancreatoduodenectomy: when negative amylase levels tell the truth. <i>Updates in Surgery</i> , 2021, 73, 1391-1397.	0.9	4
42	Designing the European registry on minimally invasive pancreatic surgery: a pan-European survey. <i>Hpb</i> , 2021, 23, 566-574.	0.1	9
43	Multicenter Propensity Score-Based Study of Laparoscopic Repeat Liver Resection for Hepatocellular Carcinoma: A Subgroup Analysis of Cases with Tumors Far from Major Vessels. <i>Cancers</i> , 2021, 13, 3187.	1.7	10
44	Evidence Map of Pancreatic Surgeryâ€”A living systematic review with meta-analyses by the International Study Group of Pancreatic Surgery (ISGPS). <i>Surgery</i> , 2021, 170, 1517-1524.	1.0	31
45	Assessment of Textbook Outcome in Laparoscopic and Open Liver Surgery. <i>JAMA Surgery</i> , 2021, 156, e212064.	2.2	73
46	Comparing practice and outcome of laparoscopic liver resection between high-volume expert centres and nationwide low-to-medium volume centres. <i>British Journal of Surgery</i> , 2021, 108, 983-990.	0.1	9
47	Minimally invasive versus open distal pancreatectomy for pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (DIPLOMA): study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. <i>Trials</i> , 2021, 22, 608.	0.7	22
48	Risk of conversion to open surgery during robotic and laparoscopic pancreatoduodenectomy and effect on outcomes: international propensity score-matched comparison study. <i>British Journal of Surgery</i> , 2021, 108, 80-87.	0.1	30
49	Response to Comment on â€œOutcomes of Elective and Emergency Conversion in Minimally Invasive Distal Pancreatectomy for Pancreatic Ductal Adenocarcinoma: An International Multicenter Propensity Score-matched Studyâ€. <i>Annals of Surgery</i> , 2021, 274, e760-e761.	2.1	0
50	Splenic preservation versus splenectomy in laparoscopic distal pancreatectomy: a propensity score-matched study. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2020, 34, 1301-1309.	1.3	20
51	A systematic review and meta-analysis comparing the short- and long-term outcomes for laparoscopic and open liver resections for liver metastases from colorectal cancer. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2020, 34, 349-360.	1.3	55
52	Outcomes After Minimally-invasive Versus Open Pancreatoduodenectomy. <i>Annals of Surgery</i> , 2020, 271, 356-363.	2.1	113
53	Robotic versus conventional laparoscopic pancreaticoduodenectomy a systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>European Journal of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2020, 46, 6-14.	0.5	77
54	Pure laparoscopic versus open hemihepatectomy: a critical assessment and realistic expectations â€” a propensity scoreâ€based analysis of right and left hemihepatectomies from nine European tertiary referral centers. <i>Journal of Hepato-Biliary-Pancreatic Sciences</i> , 2020, 27, 3-15.	1.4	34

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
55	International validation and update of the Amsterdam model for prediction of survival after pancreatoduodenectomy for pancreatic cancer. <i>European Journal of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2020, 46, 796-803.	0.5	14
56	Safe implementation of minimally invasive pancreas resection: a systematic review. <i>Hpb</i> , 2020, 22, 637-648.	0.1	37
57	Impact of Neoadjuvant Therapy in Resected Pancreatic Ductal Adenocarcinoma of the Pancreatic Body or Tail on Surgical and Oncological Outcome: A Propensity-Score Matched Multicenter Study. <i>Annals of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2020, 27, 1986-1996.	0.7	31
58	Histopathologic Predictors of Survival and Recurrence in Resected Ampullary Adenocarcinoma. <i>Annals of Surgery</i> , 2020, 272, 1086-1093.	2.1	36
59	Defining Benchmark Outcomes for Pancreatoduodenectomy With Portomesenteric Venous Resection. <i>Annals of Surgery</i> , 2020, 272, 731-737.	2.1	49
60	The role of older age and obesity in minimally invasive and open pancreatic surgery: A systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>Pancreatology</i> , 2020, 20, 1234-1242.	0.5	12
61	ASO Author Reflections: Surgical Predictors for Survival in Patients Undergoing Distal Pancreatectomy for Pancreatic Ductal Adenocarcinoma. <i>Annals of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2020, 27, 854-855.	0.7	0
62	Laparoscopic posterior segmental resections: How I do it: Tips and pitfalls. <i>International Journal of Surgery</i> , 2020, 82, 178-186.	1.1	16
63	The impact of enhanced recovery on open and laparoscopic liver resections. <i>Updates in Surgery</i> , 2020, 72, 649-657.	0.9	2
64	Implementation of enhanced recovery after surgery for pancreatoduodenectomy increases the proportion of patients achieving textbook outcome: A retrospective cohort study. <i>Pancreatology</i> , 2020, 20, 976-983.	0.5	10
65	Laparoscopic Radical Left Pancreatectomy for Pancreatic Cancer: Surgical Strategy and Technique Video. <i>Journal of Visualized Experiments</i> , 2020, , .	0.2	2
66	Surgical technique and clinical results of one- or two-stage laparoscopic right hemihepatectomy after portal vein embolization in patients with initially unresectable colorectal liver metastases: A case series. <i>International Journal of Surgery</i> , 2020, 77, 69-75.	1.1	13
67	Development and external validation of a prediction model for survival in patients with resected ampullary adenocarcinoma. <i>European Journal of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2020, 46, 1717-1726.	0.5	17
68	Laparoscopic repeat liver resection for hepatocellular carcinoma: a multicentre propensity score-based study. <i>British Journal of Surgery</i> , 2020, 107, 889-895.	0.1	56
69	DIPLOMA Approach for Standardized Pathology Assessment of Distal Pancreatectomy Specimens. <i>Journal of Visualized Experiments</i> , 2020, , .	0.2	5
70	Gemcitabine-based adjuvant chemotherapy in subtypes of ampullary adenocarcinoma: international propensity score-matched cohort study. <i>British Journal of Surgery</i> , 2020, 107, 1171-1182.	0.1	34
71	The Miami International Evidence-based Guidelines on Minimally Invasive Pancreas Resection. <i>Annals of Surgery</i> , 2020, 271, 1-14.	2.1	294
72	Resections for colorectal liver metastasis: the breakthrough of laparoscopic surgery?. <i>Hepatobiliary Surgery and Nutrition</i> , 2020, 9, 548-550.	0.7	0

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
73	Added value of 3D-vision during laparoscopic biotissue pancreatico- and hepaticojejunostomy (LAELAPS) Tj ETQq1 10.784314.rgBT /Ov	0.1	10
74	Safety and efficacy of transarterial embolization of hepatocellular adenomas. British Journal of Surgery, 2019, 106, 1362-1371.	0.1	12
75	Multicentre observational cohort study of implementation and outcomes of laparoscopic distal pancreatectomy. British Journal of Surgery, 2019, 106, 1657-1665.	0.1	32
76	Multicentre analysis of the learning curve for laparoscopic liver resection of the posterosuperior segments. British Journal of Surgery, 2019, 106, 1512-1522.	0.1	37
77	Protein kinase C inhibitors override ZEB1-induced chemoresistance in HCC. Cell Death and Disease, 2019, 10, 703.	2.7	25
78	A Comparison of the Learning Curves of Laparoscopic Liver Surgeons in Differing Stages of the IDEAL Paradigm of Surgical Innovation. Annals of Surgery, 2019, 269, 221-228.	2.1	66
79	Multicentre propensity score-matched study of laparoscopic <i>versus</i> open repeat liver resection for colorectal liver metastases. British Journal of Surgery, 2019, 106, 783-789.	0.1	61
80	Myosteatosis is associated with poor physical fitness in patients undergoing hepatopancreatobiliary surgery. Journal of Cachexia, Sarcopenia and Muscle, 2019, 10, 860-871.	2.9	42
81	Costs and quality of life in a randomized trial comparing minimally invasive and open distal pancreatectomy (LEOPARD trial). British Journal of Surgery, 2019, 106, 910-921.	0.1	41
82	Generation of functional hepatocyte 3D discoids in an acoustofluidic bioreactor. Biomicrofluidics, 2019, 13, 014112.	1.2	5
83	Post cholecystectomy bile duct injury: early, intermediate or late repair with hepaticojejunostomy â€“ an E-AHPBA multi-center study. Hpb, 2019, 21, 1641-1647.	0.1	35
84	Impact of open and minimally invasive resection of symptomatic solid benign liver tumours on symptoms and quality of life: a systematic review. Hpb, 2019, 21, 1119-1130.	0.1	21
85	Oncologic outcomes of minimally invasive versus open distal pancreatectomy for pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma: A systematic review and meta-analysis. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2019, 45, 719-727.	0.5	67
86	Minimally Invasive Versus Open Distal Pancreatectomy (LEOPARD). Annals of Surgery, 2019, 269, 2-9.	2.1	401
87	A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis Comparing the Short- and Long-Term Outcomes for Laparoscopic and Open Liver Resections for Hepatocellular Carcinoma: Updated Results from the European Guidelines Meeting on Laparoscopic Liver Surgery, Southampton, UK, 2017. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2019, 26, 252-263.	0.7	75
88	Fluid and pain management in liver surgery (MILESTONE): A worldwide study among surgeons and anesthesiologists. Surgery, 2019, 165, 337-344.	1.0	12
89	Alternative Fistula Risk Score for Pancreatoduodenectomy (a-FRS). Annals of Surgery, 2019, 269, 937-943.	2.1	257
90	Minimally Invasive versus Open Distal Pancreatectomy for Ductal Adenocarcinoma (DIPLOMA). Annals of Surgery, 2019, 269, 10-17.	2.1	211

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
91	Response: "Conversion During Laparoscopic Liver Resections: a Step Forward", Annals of Surgery, 2018, 268, e81-e82.	2.1	1
92	Risk of malignancy in resected pancreatic mucinous cystic neoplasms. British Journal of Surgery, 2018, 105, 439-446.	0.1	36
93	Proactive multi-modality treatment of Pancreatic Neuroendocrine Tumours (PNETs): Potential survival benefits. Pancreatology, 2018, 18, 304-312.	0.5	4
94	International Summit on Laparoscopic Pancreatic Resection (ISLPR) "Coimbatore Summit Statements", Surgical Oncology, 2018, 27, A10-A15.	0.8	15
95	European evidence-based guidelines on pancreatic cystic neoplasms. Gut, 2018, 67, 789-804.	6.1	878
96	Laparoscopic versus open major hepatectomy: a systematic review and meta-analysis of individual patient data. Surgery, 2018, 163, 985-995.	1.0	147
97	Conversion for Unfavorable Intraoperative Events Results in Significantly Worse Outcomes During Laparoscopic Liver Resection. Annals of Surgery, 2018, 268, 1051-1057.	2.1	97
98	Laparoscopic parenchymal sparing resections in segment 8: techniques for a demanding and infrequent procedure. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2018, 32, 2012-2019.	1.3	18
99	Are the current difficulty scores for laparoscopic liver surgery telling the whole story? An international survey and recommendations for the future. Hpb, 2018, 20, 231-236.	0.1	36
100	The Southampton Consensus Guidelines for Laparoscopic Liver Surgery. Annals of Surgery, 2018, 268, 11-18.	2.1	488
101	Laparoscopic right posterior sectionectomy (LRPS): surgical techniques and clinical outcomes. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2018, 32, 2525-2532.	1.3	23
102	Laparoscopic liver resections for hepatocellular carcinoma. Can we extend the surgical indication in cirrhotic patients?. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2018, 32, 617-626.	1.3	59
103	International Validation of the Eighth Edition of the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) TNM Staging System in Patients With Resected Pancreatic Cancer. JAMA Surgery, 2018, 153, e183617.	2.2	213
104	Laparoscopic liver resection for large HCC: short- and long-term outcomes in relation to tumor size. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2018, 32, 4772-4779.	1.3	37
105	Clinical impact of the updated international postoperative pancreatic fistula definition in distal pancreatectomy. Hpb, 2018, 20, 1044-1050.	0.1	18
106	Vasoactive intestinal peptide induces proliferation of human hepatocytes. Cell Proliferation, 2018, 51, e12482.	2.4	4
107	Nutritional support and therapy in pancreatic surgery: A position paper of the International Study Group on Pancreatic Surgery (ISGPS). Surgery, 2018, 164, 1035-1048.	1.0	165
108	Development and validation of a difficulty score to predict intraoperative complications during laparoscopic liver resection. British Journal of Surgery, 2018, 105, 1182-1191.	0.1	127

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
109	PET-PANC: multicentre prospective diagnostic accuracy and health economic analysis study of the impact of combined modality 18fluorine-2-fluoro-2-deoxy-d-glucose positron emission tomography with computed tomography scanning in the diagnosis and management of pancreatic cancer. <i>Health Technology Assessment</i> , 2018, 22, 1-114.	1.3	82
110	Laparoscopic Distal Pancreatectomy for Pancreatic Ductal Adenocarcinoma. <i>Annals of Surgery</i> , 2017, 266, e84.	2.1	9
111	Randomized clinical trial of open <i>versus</i> laparoscopic left lateral hepatic sectionectomy within an enhanced recovery after surgery programme (ORANGE II study). <i>British Journal of Surgery</i> , 2017, 104, 525-535.	0.1	96
112	Laparoscopic surgery for pancreatic neoplasms: the European association for endoscopic surgery clinical consensus conference. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2017, 31, 2023-2041.	1.3	74
113	Single-Surgeon Learning Curve in 111 Laparoscopic Distal Pancreatectomies: Does Operative Time Tell the Whole Story?. <i>Journal of the American College of Surgeons</i> , 2017, 224, 826-832e1.	0.2	53
114	Outcome after laparoscopic and open resections of posterosuperior segments of the liver. <i>British Journal of Surgery</i> , 2017, 104, 751-759.	0.1	80
115	Laparoscopic Versus Open Liver Resection for Colorectal Metastases in Elderly and Octogenarian Patients. <i>Annals of Surgery</i> , 2017, 265, 1192-1200.	2.1	119
116	Impact of obesity on short and long term results following a pancreatico-duodenectomy. <i>International Journal of Surgery</i> , 2017, 42, 191-196.	1.1	24
117	Why do we need guidelines in laparoscopic liver surgery?. <i>Hpb</i> , 2017, 19, 287-288.	0.1	6
118	Worldwide survey on opinions and use of minimally invasive pancreatic resection. <i>Hpb</i> , 2017, 19, 190-204.	0.1	105
119	The 2016 update of the International Study Group (ISGPS) definition and grading of postoperative pancreatic fistula: 11 Years After. <i>Surgery</i> , 2017, 161, 584-591.	1.0	2,655
120	Impact of portal vein infiltration and type of venous reconstruction in surgery for borderline resectable pancreatic cancer. <i>British Journal of Surgery</i> , 2017, 104, 1539-1548.	0.1	73
121	Evolution of Laparoscopic Liver Surgery from Innovation to Implementation to Mastery: Perioperative and Oncologic Outcomes of 2,238 Patients from 4 European Specialized Centers. <i>Journal of the American College of Surgeons</i> , 2017, 225, 639-649.	0.2	82
122	Stepwise introduction of laparoscopic liver surgery: validation of guideline recommendations. <i>Hpb</i> , 2017, 19, 894-900.	0.1	18
123	Elderly patients have similar short term outcomes and five-year survival compared to younger patients after pancreaticoduodenectomy. <i>International Journal of Surgery</i> , 2017, 45, 138-143.	1.1	44
124	Cost-effectiveness of laparoscopic versus open distal pancreatectomy for pancreatic cancer. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2017, 12, e0189631.	1.1	18
125	Impact of a Nationwide Training Program in Minimally Invasive Distal Pancreatectomy (LAELAPS). <i>Annals of Surgery</i> , 2016, 264, 754-762.	2.1	99
126	Pan-European survey on the implementation of minimally invasive pancreatic surgery with emphasis on cancer. <i>Hpb</i> , 2016, 18, 170-176.	0.1	60

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
127	Propensity score-based analysis of outcomes of laparoscopic <i>versus</i> open liver resection for colorectal metastases. British Journal of Surgery, 2016, 103, 1504-1512.	0.1	104
128	Outcome and Learning Curve in 159 Consecutive Patients Undergoing Total Laparoscopic Hemihepatectomy. JAMA Surgery, 2016, 151, 923.	2.2	88
129	Pancreatic cancer tissue banks: where are we heading?. Future Oncology, 2016, 12, 2661-2663.	1.1	9
130	Pancreaticoduodenectomy for nonampullary duodenal lesions. European Journal of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, 2016, 28, 1388-1393.	0.8	8
131	Laparoscopic radical "no-touch"™ left pancreatectomy for pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma: technique and results. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2016, 30, 3830-3838.	1.3	55
132	Laparoscopic distal pancreatectomy for pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma: results of a multicenter cohort study on 196 patients. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2016, 30, 3409-3418.	1.3	34
133	Laparoscopic pancreatic surgery for benign and malignant disease. Nature Reviews Gastroenterology and Hepatology, 2016, 13, 227-238.	8.2	115
134	Learning curve of self-taught laparoscopic liver surgeons in left lateral sectionectomy: results from an international multi-institutional analysis on 245 cases. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2016, 30, 3618-3629.	1.3	43
135	Ameliorating effect of olive oil on fertility of male rats fed on genetically modified soya bean. Food and Nutrition Research, 2015, 59, 27758.	1.2	12
136	Scoring System to Predict Pancreatic Fistula After Pancreaticoduodenectomy. Annals of Surgery, 2015, 261, 1191-1197.	2.1	116
137	Technical Aspects of Laparoscopic Distal Pancreatectomy for Benign and Malignant Disease: Review of the Literature. Gastroenterology Research and Practice, 2015, 2015, 1-9.	0.7	26
138	Pure Laparoscopic Liver Resection for Large Malignant Tumors: Does Size Matter?. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2015, 22, 1288-1293.	0.7	57
139	Total laparoscopic management of lesions involving liver segment 7. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2015, 29, 3190-3195.	1.3	38
140	Laparoscopic Liver Resection for Lesions Adjacent to Major Vasculature: Feasibility, Safety and Oncological Efficiency. Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery, 2015, 19, 692-698.	0.9	24
141	Laparoscopic Liver Surgery in Benign Liver Lesions. , 2015, , 253-267.		1
142	Oncological outcomes of laparoscopic surgery of liver metastases: a single-centre experience. Updates in Surgery, 2015, 67, 185-191.	0.9	19
143	Outcome after surgical resection for duodenal adenocarcinoma in the UK. British Journal of Surgery, 2015, 102, 676-681.	0.1	55
144	Implementation of enhanced recovery programme for laparoscopic distal pancreatectomy: Feasibility, safety and cost analysis. Pancreatology, 2015, 15, 185-190.	0.5	46

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
145	The impact of laparoscopic versus open colorectal cancer surgery on subsequent laparoscopic resection of liver metastases: A multicenter study. <i>Surgery</i> , 2015, 157, 1046-1054.	1.0	16
146	Laparoscopic Parenchymal-Sparing Resections for Nonperipheral Liver Lesions, the Diamond Technique: Technical Aspects, Clinical Outcomes, and Oncologic Efficiency. <i>Journal of the American College of Surgeons</i> , 2015, 221, 265-272.	0.2	76
147	Outcome after pancreaticoduodenectomy for T3 adenocarcinoma: A multivariable analysis from the UK Vascular Resection for Pancreatic Cancer Study Group. <i>European Journal of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2015, 41, 1500-1507.	0.5	36
148	Recommendations for laparoscopic liver resection: a report from the second international consensus conference held in Morioka. <i>Annals of Surgery</i> , 2015, 261, 619-29.	2.1	891
149	Overcoming obstacles to establish a multidisciplinary team approach to hepatobiliary diseases: a working model in a Caribbean setting. <i>Journal of Multidisciplinary Healthcare</i> , 2014, 7, 227.	1.1	18
150	International experience for laparoscopic major liver resection. <i>Journal of Hepato-Biliary-Pancreatic Sciences</i> , 2014, 21, 732-736.	1.4	134
151	Laparoscopic Major Hepatectomies: Clinical Outcomes and Classification. <i>World Journal of Surgery</i> , 2014, 38, 3169-3174.	0.8	56
152	Outcomes of Repeat Laparoscopic Liver Resection Compared to the Primary Resection. <i>World Journal of Surgery</i> , 2014, 38, 3175-3180.	0.8	52
153	Portal Vein Resection in Borderline Resectable Pancreatic Cancer: A United Kingdom Multicenter Study. <i>Journal of the American College of Surgeons</i> , 2014, 218, 401-411.	0.2	181
154	The Effect of Extra Virgin Olive Oil and Soybean on DNA, Cytogenicity and Some Antioxidant Enzymes in Rats. <i>Nutrients</i> , 2014, 6, 2376-2386.	1.7	10
155	Assessment of the financial implications for laparoscopic liver surgery: a single-centre UK cost analysis for minor and major hepatectomy. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2013, 27, 2542-2550.	1.3	54
156	European experience of laparoscopic major hepatectomy. <i>Journal of Hepato-Biliary-Pancreatic Sciences</i> , 2013, 20, 120-124.	1.4	85
157	Postoperative Chyle Leak After Major Pancreatic Resections in Patients Who Receive Enteral Feed: Risk Factors and Management Options. <i>World Journal of Surgery</i> , 2013, 37, 2918-2926.	0.8	39
158	Implementation of enhanced recovery programme after pancreatoduodenectomy: A single-centre UK pilot study. <i>Pancreatology</i> , 2013, 13, 58-62.	0.5	65
159	Laparoscopic left pancreatectomy: Current concepts. <i>Pancreatology</i> , 2013, 13, 443-448.	0.5	47
160	Oncological Efficiency Analysis of Laparoscopic Liver Resection for Primary and Metastatic Cancer. <i>Archives of Surgery</i> , 2012, 147, 42.	2.3	85
161	Determinant-Based Classification of Acute Pancreatitis Severity. <i>Annals of Surgery</i> , 2012, 256, 875-880.	2.1	425
162	Exploring the Role of Laparoscopic Surgery in Two-Stage Hepatectomy for Bilobar Colorectal Liver Metastases. <i>Journal of Laparoendoscopic and Advanced Surgical Techniques - Part A</i> , 2012, 22, 647-650.	0.5	26

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
163	Laparoscopic versus open distal pancreatectomy: a clinical and cost-effectiveness study. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2012, 26, 1670-1674.	1.3	114
164	Acute Pancreatitis: Mild, Severe or Potentially Fatal. <i>Pancreatology</i> , 2011, 11, 373-375.	0.5	10
165	Laparoscopic right hepatectomy: a challenging, but feasible, safe and efficient procedure. <i>American Journal of Surgery</i> , 2011, 202, e52-e58.	0.9	67
166	Endoscopic transmural drainage of pseudocysts associated with pancreatic resections or pancreatitis: a comparative study. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2011, 25, 1518-1525.	1.3	12
167	Laparoscopic Multivisceral Resection for Infiltrating Primary Pancreatic Non-Hodgkin's Lymphoma Mimicking a Vast Cystic Neoplasm. <i>American Surgeon</i> , 2011, 77, 212-213.	0.4	0
168	Short- and long-term outcomes after laparoscopic and open hepatic resection: systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>Hpb</i> , 2011, 13, 295-308.	0.1	178
169	Laparoscopic Left Hepatectomy with Extraparenchymal Inflow Control. <i>Journal of the American College of Surgeons</i> , 2011, 213, e23-e27.	0.2	21
170	Single-Centre Comparative Study of Laparoscopic Versus Open Right Hepatectomy. <i>Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery</i> , 2011, 15, 818-823.	0.9	350
171	Pure Laparoscopic En Bloc Left Hemihepatectomy and Caudate Lobe Resection in Patients with Intrahepatic Cholangiocarcinoma. <i>Journal of Laparoendoscopic and Advanced Surgical Techniques - Part A</i> , 2011, 21, 845-849.	0.5	31
172	Surgical Management of Benign and Indeterminate Hepatic Lesions in the Era of Laparoscopic Liver Surgery. <i>Digestive Surgery</i> , 2011, 28, 232-236.	0.6	29
173	Laparoscopic liver resection for hepatocellular adenoma. <i>World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery</i> , 2011, 3, 101.	0.8	21
174	Improving peri-operative fluid management in a large teaching hospital: pragmatic studies on the effects of changing practice. <i>Proceedings of the Nutrition Society</i> , 2010, 69, 499-507.	0.4	16
175	Bleeding and hemostasis in laparoscopic liver surgery. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2010, 24, 572-577.	1.3	82
176	Short- and medium-term results of totally laparoscopic resection for colorectal liver metastases. <i>British Journal of Surgery</i> , 2010, 97, 927-933.	0.1	103
177	Stretching the Limits of Laparoscopic Surgery: Two-Stage Laparoscopic Liver Resection. <i>Journal of Laparoendoscopic and Advanced Surgical Techniques - Part A</i> , 2010, 20, 51-54.	0.5	11
178	Laparoscopic distal pancreatectomy: critical analysis of preliminary experience from a tertiary referral centre. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2009, 23, 2743-2747.	1.3	41
179	Modified Cattell's pancreaticojejunostomy, buttressing for soft pancreases and an isolated biliopancreatic loop are safety measurements that improve outcome after pancreaticoduodenectomy: a pilot study. <i>Hpb</i> , 2009, 11, 154-160.	0.1	14
180	Laparoscopic versus open left lateral hepatectomy. <i>Expert Review of Gastroenterology and Hepatology</i> , 2009, 3, 345-351.	1.4	30

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
181	Hepatic splenosis mimicking HCC in a patient with hepatitis C liver cirrhosis and mildly raised alpha feto protein; the important role of explorative laparoscopy. <i>World Journal of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2009, 7, 1.	0.8	44
182	Aggressive multi-visceral pancreatic resections for locally advanced neuroendocrine tumours. Is it worth it?. <i>JOP: Journal of the Pancreas</i> , 2009, 10, 276-9.	1.5	12
183	Surgical Resection Versus Radiofrequency Ablation in the Treatment of Small Unifocal Hepatocellular Carcinoma. <i>Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery</i> , 2008, 12, 1521-1526.	0.9	100
184	The Impact of Obesity on the Course and Outcome of Acute Pancreatitis. <i>Obesity Surgery</i> , 2008, 18, 326-328.	1.1	48
185	Portal Vein Arterialization as a Salvage Procedure During Left Hepatic Trisectionectomy for Hilar Cholangiocarcinoma. <i>Journal of the American College of Surgeons</i> , 2008, 207, e1-e6.	0.2	22
186	The safety of radiofrequency thermal ablation in the treatment of liver malignancies. <i>European Journal of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2008, 34, 668-672.	0.5	14
187	Pushing back the frontiers of resectability in liver cancer surgery. <i>European Journal of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2008, 34, 272-280.	0.5	17
188	Laparoscopic versus open left lateral hepatic sectionectomy: A comparative study. <i>European Journal of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2008, 34, 1285-1288.	0.5	104
189	Laparoscopic Left Lateral Liver Sectionectomy: A Safe, Efficient, Reproducible Technique. <i>Digestive Surgery</i> , 2008, 25, 305-308.	0.6	65
190	Gallstone-Induced Perforation of the Common Bile Duct in Pregnancy. <i>HPB Surgery</i> , 2008, 2008, 1-3.	2.2	12
191	Feasibility of laparoscopic distal spleno-pancreatectomy following previous necrosectomy. A case report. <i>JOP: Journal of the Pancreas</i> , 2008, 9, 644-8.	1.5	1
192	Management of a Ruptured Pseudoaneurysm of Common Hepatic Artery Following Pancreaticoduodenectomy. <i>Scientific World Journal</i> , The, 2007, 7, 1659-1662.	0.8	5
193	Carboxypeptidase-B activation peptide, a marker of pancreatic acinar injury, but not I-selectin, a marker of neutrophil activation, predicts severity of acute pancreatitis. <i>Journal of Gastroenterology and Hepatology (Australia)</i> , 2007, 22, 349-354.	1.4	15
194	Large Hepatocellular Carcinoma: Time to Stop Preoperative Biopsy. <i>Journal of the American College of Surgeons</i> , 2007, 205, 453-462.	0.2	46
195	A Two-Consultant Approach is a Safe and Efficient Strategy to Adopt during the Learning Curve for Laparoscopic Roux-en-Y Gastric Bypass: Our Results in the First 100 Procedures. <i>Obesity Surgery</i> , 2007, 17, 742-746.	1.1	16
196	Adenoid Cystic Carcinoma of the lacrimal gland metastasising to the liver: report of a case. <i>World Journal of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2006, 4, 66.	0.8	12
197	Persistent organ failure during the first week as a marker of fatal outcome in acute pancreatitis. <i>Gut</i> , 2004, 53, 1340-1344.	6.1	522
198	Maldi-TOF analysis of portal sera of pancreatic cancer patients: identification of diabetogenic and antidiabetogenic peptides. <i>Clinica Chimica Acta</i> , 2004, 343, 119-127.	0.5	9

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
199	Prophylactic pylorus-preserving gastric transposition in unresectable carcinoma of the pancreatic head. American Journal of Surgery, 2004, 187, 564-566.	0.9	4
200	A case of rapid intrahepatic dissemination of hepatocellular carcinoma after radiofrequency thermal ablation. American Journal of Surgery, 2004, 188, 165-167.	0.9	82