

LukÃ¡Å¡ LaffÃ©rs

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/3899670/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

17
papers

147
citations

1478505

6
h-index

1372567

10
g-index

18
all docs

18
docs citations

18
times ranked

105
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	The impact of repeated mass antigen testing for COVID-19 on the prevalence of the disease. Journal of Population Economics, 2021, 34, 1105-1140.	5.6	24
2	Sharp IV Bounds on Average Treatment Effects on the Treated and Other Populations Under Endogeneity and Noncompliance. Journal of Applied Econometrics, 2017, 32, 56-79.	2.3	23
3	Challenging management of severe chronic disorders in acute pandemic situation: Chronic liver disease under COVID-19 pandemic as the proof-of-principle model to orchestrate the measures in 3PM context. EPMA Journal, 2021, 12, 1-14.	6.1	16
4	A note on bounding average treatment effects. Economics Letters, 2013, 120, 424-428.	1.9	14
5	Causal mediation analysis with double machine learning. Econometrics Journal, 2022, 25, 277-300.	2.3	13
6	Frailty in Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Cirrhosis: A Comparison with Alcoholic Cirrhosis, Risk Patterns, and Impact on Prognosis. Canadian Journal of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, 2021, 2021, 1-10.	1.9	10
7	Bounding average treatment effects using linear programming. Empirical Economics, 2019, 57, 727-767.	3.0	8
8	Identification in Models with Discrete Variables. SSRN Electronic Journal, 2013, , .	0.4	7
9	Adherence to Oral Nutritional Supplements After Being Discharged from the Hospital is Low but Improves Outcome in Patients with Advanced Chronic Liver Disease. Patient Preference and Adherence, 2020, Volume 14, 2559-2572.	1.8	7
10	Identification in Models with Discrete Variables. Computational Economics, 2019, 53, 657-696.	2.6	5
11	Early child development and parents' labor supply. Journal of Applied Econometrics, 2021, 36, 190-208.	2.3	4
12	Evaluating (weighted) dynamic treatment effects by double machine learning. Econometrics Journal, 2022, 25, 628-648.	2.3	4
13	Sensitivity of the bounds on the ATE in the presence of sample selection. Economics Letters, 2017, 158, 84-87.	1.9	2
14	A note on testing instrument validity for the identification of LATE. Empirical Economics, 2017, 53, 1281-1286.	3.0	2
15	A Note on Testing the LATE Assumptions. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	0
16	Benchmark values for net proton number fluctuations. , 2019, , .		0
17	Sensitivity of Bounds on ATEs under Survey Nonresponse. Econometrics and Statistics, 2022, , .	0.8	0