Christoph Scheepers

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/3893228/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

26 papers 8,016 citations

567281 15 h-index 580821 25 g-index

30 all docs 30 docs citations

30 times ranked

7326 citing authors

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Random effects structure for confirmatory hypothesis testing: Keep it maximal. Journal of Memory and Language, 2013, 68, 255-278.	2.1	6,772
2	Syntactic priming of relative clause attachments: persistence of structural configuration in sentence production. Cognition, 2003, 89, 179-205.	2.2	252
3	Priming ditransitive structures in comprehension. Cognitive Psychology, 2007, 54, 218-250.	2.2	228
4	Syntactic priming in English sentence production: Categorical and latency evidence from an Internet-based study. Psychonomic Bulletin and Review, 2002, 9, 126-131.	2.8	120
5	Silent Reading of Direct versus Indirect Speech Activates Voice-selective Areas in the Auditory Cortex. Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience, 2011, 23, 3146-3152.	2.3	92
6	Moving beyond the distinction between concrete and abstract concepts. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 2018, 373, 20170144.	4.0	90
7	Structural Priming Across Cognitive Domains. Psychological Science, 2011, 22, 1319-1326.	3.3	80
8	Contextual modulation of reading rate for direct versus indirect speech quotations. Cognition, 2011, 121, 447-453.	2.2	47
9	Pupillary responses to affective words in bilinguals' first versus second language. PLoS ONE, 2019, 14, e0210450.	2.5	45
10	The lexical boost effect is not diagnostic of lexically-specific syntactic representations. Journal of Memory and Language, 2017, 95, 102-115.	2.1	38
11	Listening to Limericks: A Pupillometry Investigation of Perceivers' Expectancy. PLoS ONE, 2013, 8, e74986.	2.5	37
12	Bidirectional Syntactic Priming across Cognitive Domains: From Arithmetic to Language and Back. Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology, 2014, 67, 1643-1654.	1.1	35
13	Brain †talks over†boring quotes: Top-down activation of voice-selective areas while listening to monotonous direct speech quotations. Neurolmage, 2012, 60, 1832-1842.	4.2	34
14	To Dash or to Dawdle: Verb-Associated Speed of Motion Influences Eye Movements during Spoken Sentence Comprehension. PLoS ONE, 2013, 8, e67187.	2.5	32
15	Prosodic expectations in silent reading: ERP evidence from rhyme scheme and semantic congruence in classic Chinese poems. Cognition, 2016, 154, 11-21.	2.2	31
16	Hierarchical structure priming from mathematics to two- and three-site relative clause attachment. Cognition, 2019, 189, 155-166.	2.2	15
17	Direct speech quotations promote low relative-clause attachment in silent reading of English. Cognition, 2018, 176, 248-254.	2.2	14
18	Attention and Memory Play Different Roles in Syntactic Choice During Sentence Production. Discourse Processes, 2018, 55, 218-229.	1.8	14

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Event processing in the visual world: Projected motion paths during spoken sentence comprehension Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning Memory and Cognition, 2016, 42, 804-812.	0.9	11
20	Speed and accuracy of dyslexic versus typical word recognition: an eye-movement investigation. Frontiers in Psychology, 2014, 5, 1129.	2.1	9
21	Cross-Domain Priming From Mathematics to Relative-Clause Attachment: A Visual-World Study in French. Frontiers in Psychology, 2018, 9, 2056.	2.1	6
22	Inner Voice Experiences During Processing of Direct and Indirect Speech. Studies in Theoretical Psycholinguistics, 2015, , 287-307.	0.3	4
23	One Step at a Time: Representational Overlap Between Active Voice, Be-passive, and Get-passive Forms in English. Journal of Cognition, 2018, 1, 35.	1.4	4
24	Investigating the foreign language effect as a mitigating influence on the †optimality bias' in moral judgements. Journal of Cultural Cognitive Science, 2020, 4, 259-273.	1.1	3
25	Motor (but not auditory) attention affects syntactic choice. PLoS ONE, 2018, 13, e0195547.	2.5	2
26	Random word generation reveals spatial encoding of syllabic word length. British Journal of Psychology, 2020, 111, 357-368.	2.3	0