

Miguel Cuesta Valentin

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/3884536/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

42
papers

5,343
citations

331670

21
h-index

276875

41
g-index

43
all docs

43
docs citations

43
times ranked

4590
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Oncological Outcomes After Anastomotic Leakage After Surgery for Colon or Rectal Cancer. <i>Annals of Surgery</i> , 2022, 275, e420-e427.	4.2	74
2	Ten-Year Outcome of Neoadjuvant Chemoradiotherapy Plus Surgery for Esophageal Cancer: The Randomized Controlled CROSS Trial. <i>Journal of Clinical Oncology</i> , 2021, 39, 1995-2004.	1.6	291
3	Bowel Obstruction and Ventral Hernia After Laparoscopic Versus Open Surgery for Rectal Cancer in A Randomized Trial (COLOR II). <i>Annals of Surgery</i> , 2019, 269, 53-57.	4.2	35
4	Training in robotic thoracic surgery—the European way. <i>Annals of Cardiothoracic Surgery</i> , 2019, 8, 202-209.	1.7	7
5	Minimally invasive mesoesophageal resection. <i>Journal of Thoracic Disease</i> , 2019, 11, S728-S734.	1.4	5
6	O200 10-YEAR FOLLOW-UP OF A RANDOMISED CONTROLLED TRIAL COMPARING NEOADJUVANT CHEMORADIOTHERAPY PLUS SURGERY VERSUS SURGERY ALONE FOR OESOPHAGEAL OR JUNCTIONAL CANCER (CROSS). <i>Ecological Management and Restoration</i> , 2019, 32, .	0.4	0
7	Society for Translational Medicine Expert consensus on the selection of surgical approaches in the management of thoracic esophageal carcinoma. <i>Journal of Thoracic Disease</i> , 2019, 11, 319-328.	1.4	10
8	Comment on: A Propensity Score Matched Analysis of Open Versus Minimally Invasive Transthoracic Esophagectomy in the Netherlands. <i>Annals of Surgery</i> , 2018, 268, e74-e75.	4.2	1
9	C-reactive protein in predicting major postoperative complications are there differences in open and minimally invasive colorectal surgery? Substudy from a randomized clinical trial. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2018, 32, 2877-2885.	2.4	41
10	Short-term outcomes in minimally invasive versus open gastrectomy: the differences between East and West. A systematic review of the literature. <i>Gastric Cancer</i> , 2018, 21, 19-30.	5.3	20
11	Effect of Neoadjuvant Chemoradiotherapy on Health-Related Quality of Life in Esophageal or Junctional Cancer: Results From the Randomized CROSS Trial. <i>Journal of Clinical Oncology</i> , 2018, 36, 268-275.	1.6	91
12	Mediastinal lymphadenectomy for esophageal cancer: Differences between two countries, Japan and the Netherlands. <i>Annals of Gastroenterological Surgery</i> , 2018, 2, 176-181.	2.4	9
13	Techniques and short-term outcomes for total minimally invasive Ivor Lewis esophageal resection in distal esophageal and gastroesophageal junction cancers: pooled data from six European centers. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2017, 31, 119-126.	2.4	55
14	New insights into the morphogenesis of the gubernaculum testis and the inguinal canal. <i>Clinical Anatomy</i> , 2017, 30, 599-607.	2.7	8
15	Minimally Invasive Versus Open Esophageal Resection. <i>Annals of Surgery</i> , 2017, 266, 232-236.	4.2	415
16	Conversions in laparoscopic surgery for rectal cancer. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2017, 31, 2263-2270.	2.4	25
17	Surgical anatomy of the supracarinal esophagus based on a minimally invasive approach: vascular and nervous anatomy and technical steps to resection and lymphadenectomy. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2017, 31, 1863-1870.	2.4	25
18	Non responders to neoadjuvant chemoradiation for esophageal cancer: why better prediction is necessary. <i>Journal of Thoracic Disease</i> , 2017, 9, S843-S850.	1.4	17

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Gastro-esophageal junction cancers: what is the best minimally invasive approach?. Journal of Thoracic Disease, 2017, 9, S751-S760.	1.4	6
20	Predictive factors for post-operative respiratory infections after esophagectomy for esophageal cancer: outcome of randomized trial. Journal of Thoracic Disease, 2017, 9, S861-S867.	1.4	22
21	The extent of lymphadenectomy in esophageal resection for cancer should be standardized. Journal of Thoracic Disease, 2017, 9, S713-S723.	1.4	48
22	New insights into the surgical anatomy of the esophagus. Journal of Thoracic Disease, 2017, 9, S675-S680.	1.4	20
23	Introduction to the focused issue on esophageal cancer of the Journal of Thoracic Disease. Journal of Thoracic Disease, 2017, 9, S673-S674.	1.4	0
24	Major abdominal surgery in octogenarians: should high age affect surgical decision-making?. American Journal of Surgery, 2016, 212, 889-895.	1.8	9
25	Video-assisted thoracoscopic esophagectomy: keynote lecture. General Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgery, 2016, 64, 380-385.	0.9	18
26	Long-Term Survival After Complications Following Major Abdominal Surgery. Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery, 2016, 20, 1034-1041.	1.7	25
27	Assessment of patient-reported outcome measures in the surgical treatment of patients with gastric cancer. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2016, 30, 1920-1929.	2.4	18
28	The PRECious trial PREdiction of Complications, a step-up approach, CRP first followed by CT-scan imaging to ensure quality control after major abdominal surgery: study protocol for a stepped-wedge trial. Trials, 2015, 16, 382.	1.6	11
29	Predictive Value of C-Reactive Protein for Major Complications after Major Abdominal Surgery: A Systematic Review and Pooled-Analysis. PLoS ONE, 2015, 10, e0132995.	2.5	59
30	Neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy plus surgery versus surgery alone for oesophageal or junctional cancer (CROSS): long-term results of a randomised controlled trial. Lancet Oncology, The, 2015, 16, 1090-1098.	10.7	1,861
31	Surgical techniques, open versus minimally invasive gastrectomy after chemotherapy (STOMACH trial): study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. Trials, 2015, 16, 123.	1.6	51
32	A new concept of the anatomy of the thoracic oesophagus: the meso-oesophagus. Observational study during thoracoscopic esophagectomy. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2015, 29, 2576-2582.	2.4	56
33	Lymph Node Retrieval During Esophagectomy With and Without Neoadjuvant Chemoradiotherapy. Annals of Surgery, 2014, 260, 786-793.	4.2	134
34	Minimally invasive versus open oesophagectomy for patients with oesophageal cancer: a multicentre, open-label, randomised controlled trial. Lancet, The, 2012, 379, 1887-1892.	13.7	1,429
35	Long Term Surgical Outcomes after Segmental Colorectal Resection in Women with Severe Endometriosis. Journal of Endometriosis, 2012, 4, 34-41.	1.0	2
36	Laparoscopic versus open transhiatal esophagectomy for distal and junction cancer. Revista Espanola De Enfermedades Digestivas, 2012, 104, 197-202.	0.3	34

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	Laparoscopic versus open sigmoid resection for diverticular disease: follow-up assessment of the randomized control Sigma trial. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2011, 25, 1121-1126.	2.4	95
38	The "invisible cholecystectomy": A transumbilical laparoscopic operation without a scar. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2008, 22, 1211-1213.	2.4	264
39	Reply to: "Re: "The invisible cholecystectomy"™. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2008, 22, 1739-1740.	2.4	1
40	Minimally invasive oesophageal resection for distal oesophageal cancer: A review of the literature. <i>Scandinavian Journal of Gastroenterology</i> , 2006, 41, 123-134.	1.5	14
41	Minimally Invasive Esophageal Resection. <i>Surgical Innovation</i> , 2004, 11, 147-160.	0.9	10
42	The effects of surgery, with or without rhGM-CSF, on the angiogenic profile of patients treated for colorectal carcinoma. <i>Cytokine</i> , 2004, 25, 68-72.	3.2	26