Beth N Peshkin

List of Publications by Year
in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/3830930/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

102	5,623	126708	82410
papers	citations	33 h-index	72 g-index

107	107	107	5621
all docs	docs citations	times ranked	citing authors

#	Article	IF	Citations
1	Pathology of Breast and Ovarian Cancers among BRCA1 and BRCA2 Mutation Carriers: Results from the Consortium of Investigators of Modifiers of BRCA1/2 (CIMBA). Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers and Prevention, 2012, 21, 134-147.	1.1	513
2	BRCAPRO Validation, Sensitivity of Genetic Testing of BRCA1/BRCA2, and Prevalence of Other Breast Cancer Susceptibility Genes. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2002, 20, 2701-2712.	0.8	477
3	Impact ofBRCA1/BRCA2Counseling and Testing on Newly Diagnosed Breast Cancer Patients. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2004, 22, 1823-1829.	0.8	270
4	Genome-wide association study identifies 32 novel breast cancer susceptibility loci from overall and subtype-specific analyses. Nature Genetics, 2020, 52, 572-581.	9.4	265
5	A brief assessment of concerns associated with genetic testing for cancer: The multidimensional impact of cancer risk assessment (MICRA) questionnaire.. Health Psychology, 2002, 21, 564-572.	1.3	239
6	Randomized Noninferiority Trial of Telephone Versus In-Person Genetic Counseling for Hereditary Breast and Ovarian Cancer. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2014, 32, 618-626.	0.8	226
7	Impact of BRCA1/BRCA2 Mutation Testing on Psychologic Distress in a Clinic-Based Sample. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2002, 20, 514-520.	0.8	210
8	Interest in Genetic Counseling and Testing for Adolescent Nicotine Addiction Susceptibility among a Sample of Adolescent Medicine Providers Attending a Scientific Conference on Adolescent Health. Journal of Adolescent Health, 2007, 41, 42-50.	1.2	178
9	A brief assessment of concerns associated with genetic testing for cancer: the Multidimensional Impact of Cancer Risk Assessment (MICRA) questionnaire. Health Psychology, 2002, 21, 564-72.	1.3	136
10	Psychological distress in women seeking genetic counseling for breast-ovarian cancer risk: The contributions of personality and appraisal. Annals of Behavioral Medicine, 1997, 19, 370-377.	1.7	123
11	Bilateral Prophylactic Oophorectomy and Ovarian Cancer Screening Following BRCA1/BRCA2 Mutation Testing. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2003, 21, 4034-4041.	0.8	121
12	BRCA1/2 mutations and triple negative breast cancers. Breast Disease, 2011, 32, 25-33.	0.4	121
13	Fine-mapping of 150 breast cancer risk regions identifies 191 likely target genes. Nature Genetics, 2020, 52, 56-73.	9.4	120
14	Longâ€term outcomes of BRCA1/BRCA2 testing: risk reduction and surveillance. Cancer, 2012, 118, 510-517.	2.0	117
15	What Would You Do? Specialistsâ€™ Perspectives on Cancer Genetic Testing, Prophylactic Surgery, and Insurance Discrimination. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2000, 18, 2484-2492.	0.8	108
16	Validity of Models for Predicting BRCA1 and BRCA2 Mutations. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2007, 147, 441.	2.0	106
17	Parentâ€“child factors and their effect on communicating BRCA1/2 test results to children. Patient Education and Counseling, 2002, 47, 145-153.	1.0	94
18	Randomized trial of a decision aid for BRCA1/BRCA2 mutation carriers: Impact on measures of decision making and satisfaction.. Health Psychology, 2009, 28, 11-19.	1.3	94

#	Article	IF	Citations
19	Patient Satisfaction with Cancer Genetic Counseling: A Psychometric Analysis of the Genetic Counseling Satisfaction Scale. Journal of Genetic Counseling, 2004, 13, 293-304.	0.9	93
20	Disparities in uptake of BRCA1/2 genetic testing in a randomized trial of telephone counseling. Genetics in Medicine, 2015, 17, 467-475.	1.1	86
21	Breast and Ovarian Cancer Screening Practices in Healthy Women with a Strong Family History of Breast or Ovarian Cancer. Breast Cancer Research and Treatment, 2002, 71, 103-112.	1.1	80
22	Quality of Life After Contralateral Prophylactic Mastectomy in Newly Diagnosed High-Risk Breast Cancer Patients Who Underwent BRCA1/2 Gene Testing. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2007, 25, 285-291.	0.8	78
23	Psychological issues among children of hereditary breast cancer gene (BRCA1/2) testing participants. Psycho-Oncology, 2001, 10, 336-346.	1.0	76
24	Long-Term Psychosocial Outcomes of BRCA1/BRCA2 Testing: Differences across Affected Status and Risk-Reducing Surgery Choice. Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers and Prevention, 2012, 21, 445-455.	1.1	70
25	BRCA1/2 Testing: Complex Themes in Result Interpretation. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2001, 19, 2555-2565.	0.8	59
26	Predictors and outcomes of contralateral prophylactic mastectomy among breast cancer survivors. Breast Cancer Research and Treatment, 2007, 104, 321-329.	1.1	57
27	Decision making and decision support for hereditary breast-ovarian cancer susceptibility.. Health Psychology, 2005, 24, S78-S84.	1.3	56
28	Longitudinal Changes in Patient Distress following Interactive Decision Aid Use amongBRCA1/2Carriers. Medical Decision Making, 2011, 31, 412-421.	1.2	51
29	Information Needs of Mothers Regarding CommunicatingBRCA1/2Cancer Genetic Test Results to Their Children. Genetic Testing and Molecular Biomarkers, 2007, 11, 249-255.	1.7	50
30	Telephone Genetic Counseling for High-Risk Women UndergoingBRCA1andBRCA2Testing: Rationale and Development of a Randomized Controlled Trial. Genetic Testing and Molecular Biomarkers, 2008, 12, 37-52.	1.7	47
31	Patient Perceptions of Telephone vs. Inâ€Person BRCA1/BRCA2 Genetic Counseling. Journal of Genetic Counseling, 2016, 25, 472-482.	0.9	46
32	Randomized Controlled Trial of a Psychosocial Telephone Counseling Intervention inBRCA1 andBRCA2 Mutation Carriers. Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers and Prevention, 2010, 19, 648-654.	1.1	45
33	Cognitive and emotional factors predicting decisional conflict among high-risk breast cancer survivors who receive uninformative BRCA1/2 results.. Health Psychology, 2009, 28, 569-578.	1.3	41
34	Parenting Through Genetic Uncertainty: Themes in the Disclosure of Breast Cancer Risk Information to Children. Genetic Testing and Molecular Biomarkers, 2012, 16, 376-382.	0.3	32
35	Predictors of Cognitive Appraisals Following Genetic Testing for BRCA1 and BRCA2 Mutations. Journal of Behavioral Medicine, 2004, 27, 373-392.	1.1	31
36	On the development of a decision support intervention for mothers undergoing BRCA1/2 cancer genetic testing regarding communicating test results to their children. Familial Cancer, 2010, 9, 89-97.	0.9	31

#	Article	IF	Citations
37	Behavioral and psychosocial responses to genomic testing for colorectal cancer risk. Genomics, 2013, 102, 123-130.	1.3	31
38	Utilization of breast cancer screening in a clinically based sample of women after BRCA1/2 testing. Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers and Prevention, 2002, 11, 1115-8.	1.1	30
39	Development of an Interactive Decision Aid for Female BRCA1/BRCA2 Carriers. Journal of Genetic Counseling, 2003, 12, 109-129.	0.9	29
40	Interest of adolescents in genetic testing for nicotine addiction susceptibility. Preventive Medicine, 2006, 42, 60-65.	1.6	29
41	Changes in Diet and Physical Activity FollowingBRCA1/2Testing. Journal of Psychosocial Oncology, 2008, 26, 63-80.	0.6	29
42	â€œIs it Really Worth it to Get Tested?â€• Primary Care Patientsâ€™ Impressions of Predictive SNP Testing for Colon Cancer. Journal of Genetic Counseling, 2013, 22, 138-151.	0.9	29
43	Women's satisfaction with genetic counseling for hereditary breast-ovarian cancer: Psychological aspects. American Journal of Medical Genetics Part A, 2004, 131A, 36-41.	2.4	28
44	Decisional Outcomes of Maternal Disclosure of BRCA1/2 Genetic Test Results to Children. Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers and Prevention, 2013, 22, 1260-1266.	1.1	28
45	Intentions for risk-reducing surgery among high-risk women referred for BRCA1/BRCA2 genetic counseling. Psycho-Oncology, 2015, 24, 33-39.	1.0	28
46	Developing a culturally targeted video to enhance the use of genetic counseling in Latina women at increased risk for hereditary breast and ovarian cancer. Journal of Community Genetics, 2020, 11, 85-99.	0.5	28
47	BRCA1/2 genetic testing uptake and psychosocial outcomes in men. Familial Cancer, 2011, 10, 213-223.	0.9	27
48	Tamoxifen As Chemoprevention in BRCA1 and BRCA2 Mutation Carriers With Breast Cancer: A Pilot Survey of Physicians. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2003, 21, 4322-4328.	0.8	26
49	Practical Aspects of Delivering Hereditary Cancer Risk Counseling. Seminars in Oncology, 2007, 34, 369-378.	0.8	26
50	Brief Assessment of Parents' Attitudes Toward Testing Minor Children for Hereditary Breast/Ovarian Cancer Genes: Development and Validation of the Pediatric BRCA1/2 Testing Attitudes Scale (P-TAS). Journal of Pediatric Psychology, 2009, 34, 627-638.	1.1	26
51	Talking to Children About Maternal BRCA1/2 Genetic Test Results: A Qualitative Study of Parental Perceptions and Advice. Journal of Genetic Counseling, 2013, 22, 303-314.	0.9	26
52	Evaluation of copy-number variants as modifiers of breast and ovarian cancer risk for BRCA1 pathogenic variant carriers. European Journal of Human Genetics, 2017, 25, 432-438.	1.4	26
53	BRCA1/2 test results impact risk management attitudes, intentions, and uptake. Breast Cancer Research and Treatment, 2010, 124, 755-764.	1.1	25
54	Assessment of primary care practitionersâ€™Âattitudes and interest in pharmacogenomic testing. Pharmacogenomics, 2020, 21, 1085-1094.	0.6	24

#	Article	IF	Citations
55	Title is missing!. Journal of Clinical Psychology in Medical Settings, 2000, 7, 55-68.	0.8	23
56	Insights into BRCA1/2 Genetic Counseling from Ethnically Diverse Latina Breast Cancer Survivors. Journal of Genetic Counseling, 2017, 26, 1221-1237.	0.9	23
57	Polygenic risk modeling for prediction of epithelial ovarian cancer risk. European Journal of Human Genetics, 2022, 30, 349-362.	1.4	23
58	Providersâ€™ Perceptions and Practices Regarding BRCA1/2 Genetic Counseling and Testing in African American Women. Journal of Genetic Counseling, 2011, 20, 674-689.	0.9	22
59	Translational genomic research: protocol development and initial outcomes following SNP testing for colon cancer risk. Translational Behavioral Medicine, 2013, 3, 17-29.	1.2	22
60	Patient and genetic counselor perceptions of in-person versus telephone genetic counseling for hereditary breast/ovarian cancer. Familial Cancer, 2016, 15, 529-539.	0.9	22
61	Pharmacogenetics in Practice: Estimating the Clinical Actionability of Pharmacogenetic Testing in Perioperative and Ambulatory Settings. Clinical and Translational Science, 2020, 13, 618-627.	1.5	22
62	Cardiac function in BRCA1/2 mutation carriers with history of breast cancer treated with anthracyclines. Breast Cancer Research and Treatment, 2016, 155, 285-293.	1.1	21
63	Outcomes of a systems-level intervention offering breast cancer risk assessments to low-income underserved women. Familial Cancer, 2012, 11, 493-502.	0.9	20
64	Can a computer-based system be used to educate women on genetic testing for breast cancer susceptibility?. Nature Clinical Practice Oncology, 2005, 2, 24-25.	4.3	19
65	Role of Parenting Relationship Quality in Communicating about Maternal BRCA1/2 Genetic Test Results with Children. Journal of Genetic Counseling, 2008, 17, 283-287.	0.9	19
66	A case-only study to identify genetic modifiers of breast cancer risk for BRCA1/BRCA2 mutation carriers. Nature Communications, 2021, 12, 1078.	5.8	19
67	Primary care providersâ€™ willingness to recommend BRCA1/2 testing to adolescents. Familial Cancer, 2010, 9, 43-50.	0.9	18
68	Predictors of participation in psychosocial telephone counseling following genetic testing for BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutations. Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers and Prevention, 2004, 13, 875-81.	1.1	18
69	Womenâ€™s concerns about the emotional impact of awareness of heritable breast cancer risk and its implications for their children. Journal of Community Genetics, 2015, 6, 55-62.	0.5	17
70	Deleterious BRCA1/2 mutations in an urban population of Black women. Breast Cancer Research and Treatment, 2015, 153, 201-209.	1.1	17
71	Culturally Targeted Video Improves Psychosocial Outcomes in Latina Women at Risk of Hereditary Breast and Ovarian Cancer. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 2019, 16, 4793.	1.2	17
72	Randomized Noninferiority Trial of Telephone vs In-Person Genetic Counseling for Hereditary Breast and Ovarian Cancer: A 12-Month Follow-Up. JNCI Cancer Spectrum, 2017, 1, pkx002.	1.4	15

#	Article	IF	Citations
73	Title is missing!. Journal of Clinical Psychology in Medical Settings, 2002, 9, 35-50.	0.8	14
74	Distress and the parenting dynamic among BRCA1/2 tested mothers and their partners.. Health Psychology, 2014, 33, 765-773.	1.3	13
75	Understanding the Needs of Young Women Regarding Breast Cancer Risk Assessment and Genetic Testing: Convergence and Divergence among Patient-Counselor Perceptions and the Promise of Peer Support. Healthcare (Switzerland), 2016, 4, 35.	1.0	13
76	Randomized trial of proactive rapid genetic counseling versus usual care for newly diagnosed breast cancer patients. Breast Cancer Research and Treatment, 2018, 170, 517-524.	1.1	13
77	Information and support needs of young women regarding breast cancer risk and genetic testing: adapting effective interventions for a novel population. Familial Cancer, 2018, 17, 351-360.	0.9	13
78	The Genetic Education for Men (GEM) Trial: Development of Web-Based Education for Untested Men in BRCA1/2-Positive Families. Journal of Cancer Education, 2021, 36, 72-84.	0.6	13
79	Genetic counselling for hereditary breast cancer. Lancet, The, 1999, 353, 2176-2177.	6.3	11
80	The Essentials of Multiomics. Oncologist, 2022, 27, 272-284.	1.9	11
81	Adolescent Medical Providers' Willingness to Recommend Genetic Susceptibility Testing for Nicotine Addiction and Lung Cancer Risk to Adolescents. Journal of Pediatric Psychology, 2009, 34, 617-626.	1.1	10
82	Across the Spectrum: Case Studies in Genetic Counseling for Breast and Ovarian Cancer. Journal of Genetic Counseling, 2001, 10, 379-395.	0.9	9
83	Predictors of risk-reducing surgery intentions following genetic counseling for hereditary breast and ovarian cancer. Translational Behavioral Medicine, 2020, 10, 337-346.	1.2	9
84	Prevalence and correlates of mothers and fathers attending pretest cancer genetic counseling together. Patient Education and Counseling, 2010, 78, 29-33.	1.0	8
85	Correlates of Adherence to a Telephone-Based Multiple Health Behavior Change Cancer Preventive Intervention for Teens. Health Education and Behavior, 2012, 39, 18-26.	1.3	8
86	Predictors of contralateral prophylactic mastectomy in genetically high risk newly diagnosed breast cancer patients. Breast Cancer Research and Treatment, 2020, 180, 177-185.	1.1	8
87	Genetic Counseling About Reproductive Options for Hereditary Cancer: What Is the Standard of Care?. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2007, 25, 911-912.	0.8	7
88	Genetic counseling and testing for hereditary cancer risk in young adult women: Facilitating autonomy and informed decision making is key. Gynecologic Oncology Reports, 2015, 14, 44-45.	0.3	7
89	Cancer genetic health communication in families tested for hereditary breast/ovarian cancer risk: a qualitative investigation of impact on childrenâ€™s genetic health literacy and psychosocial adjustment. Translational Behavioral Medicine, 2019, 9, 493-503.	1.2	7
90	Patterns and correlates of multiple risk factors for adult-onset cancer among adolescents1. Journal of Child Health Care, 2012, 16, 250-262.	0.7	6

#	Article	IF	Citations
91	Psychological issues among children of hereditary breast cancer gene (BRCA1/2) testing participants. , 2001, 10, 336.		6
92	Process evaluation of a culturally targeted video for Latinas at risk of hereditary breast and ovarian cancer. Journal of Genetic Counseling, 2021, 30, 730-741.	0.9	5
93	Improving our model of cascade testing for hereditary cancer risk by leveraging patient peer support: a concept report. Hereditary Cancer in Clinical Practice, 2021, 19, 40.	0.6	5
94	Evaluation and management of women with BRCA1/2 mutations. Oncology, 2005, 19, 1451-9; discussion 1459-68 1474.	0.4	5
95	Evaluation of a longitudinal pharmacogenomics education on pharmacist knowledge in a multicampus healthcare system. Pharmacogenomics, 2022, 23, 173-182.	0.6	4
96	Billing and Record-Keeping for Familial Cancer Risk Counseling: A National Survey. Journal of Genetic Counseling, 1998, 7, 317-330.	0.9	3
97	Telephone versus in-person genetic counseling for hereditary cancer risk: Patient predictors of differential outcomes. Journal of Telemedicine and Telecare, 2024, 30, 334-343.	1.4	2
98	Attitudes and interest in incorporating BRCA1/2 cancer susceptibility testing into reproductive carrier screening for Ashkenazi Jewish men and women. Journal of Community Genetics, 2022, , 1.	0.5	2
99	BRCA1/2 mutations and riskâ€reducing bilateral salpingoâ€oophorectomy among Latinas: The UPTAKE study. Journal of Genetic Counseling, 2021, 30, 383-393.	0.9	1
100	73: Simulated factors affecting adolescent medicine providersâ€™ recommendations for nicotine addiction testing. Journal of Adolescent Health, 2007, 40, S50-S51.	1.2	0
101	Multigene Cancer Panels: Implications for Pre- and Post-test Genetic Counseling. Current Genetic Medicine Reports, 2019, 7, 169-179.	1.9	0
102	Psychosocial impact of proactive rapid genetic counseling following breast cancer diagnosis. Psycho-Oncology, 2022, 31, 788-797.	1.0	0