

Maurice Y Nahabedian

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/3814107/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

101
papers

2,625
citations

201385

27
h-index

197535

49
g-index

101
all docs

101
docs citations

101
times ranked

1724
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Symmetry following unilateral two-stage prosthetic breast reconstruction: Is there an optimal time for managing the contralateral breast?. <i>Journal of Plastic, Reconstructive and Aesthetic Surgery</i> , 2022, 75, 3700-3706.	0.5	2
2	Commentary on: Lessons Learned from Twenty-Eight Cases of Burns Following Breast Reconstruction: An Underestimated Complication Requiring Inclusion in Consent Information. <i>Aesthetic Surgery Journal</i> , 2021, 41, NP780-NP781.	0.9	0
3	Commentary on: Allograft Versus Xenograft Bioprosthetic Mesh in Tissue Expander Breast Reconstruction: A Blinded Prospective Randomized Controlled Trial. <i>Aesthetic Surgery Journal</i> , 2021, 41, NP1940-NP1942.	0.9	0
4	Large-Volume Autologous Fat Grafting to the Breast. <i>Aesthetic Surgery Journal</i> , 2021, 41, S16-S24.	0.9	11
5	Finesse in Mastopexy and Augmentation Mastopexy. <i>Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery</i> , 2021, 148, 451e-461e.	0.7	6
6	Invited Discussion on: Biological Matrix-Assisted One-Stage Implant-Based Breast Reconstruction Versus Two-Stage Implant-Based Breast Reconstructionâ€”Patient-Reported Outcomes and Complications. <i>Aesthetic Plastic Surgery</i> , 2021, , 1.	0.5	0
7	Assessing Postsurgical Outcomes with Prepectoral Breast Reconstruction: A Literature Review and Meta-analysis Update. <i>Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery - Global Open</i> , 2021, 9, e3825.	0.3	7
8	What Are the Long-Term Aesthetic Issues in Prepectoral Breast Reconstruction?. <i>Aesthetic Surgery Journal</i> , 2020, 40, S29-S37.	0.9	15
9	Invited Discussion on: Evaluation of the Sensitivity of the Nipple-Areola Complex in Patients Undergoing Breast Ptosis Correction with Periareolar Dermis Release: A Randomized Controlled Trial. <i>Aesthetic Plastic Surgery</i> , 2020, 44, 1412-1413.	0.5	0
10	Invited Discussion on: A New Tool for Breast Anthropometric Measurementsâ€”Presentation and Validation for Women and Men. <i>Aesthetic Plastic Surgery</i> , 2019, 43, 1171-1172.	0.5	0
11	Commentary on: Anatomic Implants in Breast Reconstruction: A Comparison of Outcomes and Aesthetic Results Compared to Smooth Round Silicone Implants. <i>Aesthetic Surgery Journal</i> , 2019, 39, NP331-NP333.	0.9	0
12	Commentary on: Reoperation Rate After Primary Augmentation With Smooth, Textured, High Fill, Cohesive, Round Breast Implants (RANBI Study). <i>Aesthetic Surgery Journal</i> , 2019, 39, 1350-1351.	0.9	0
13	International Expert Panel Consensus on Fat Grafting of the Breast. <i>Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery - Global Open</i> , 2019, 7, e2426.	0.3	41
14	Combining Abdominal Flaps and Implants in the Breast Reconstruction Patient. <i>Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery</i> , 2019, 143, 495e-503e.	0.7	5
15	Innovations and advancements with prosthetic breast reconstruction. <i>Breast Journal</i> , 2018, 24, 586-591.	0.4	27
16	Early assessment of postâ€”surgical outcomes with preâ€”pectoral breast reconstruction: A literature review and metaâ€”analysis. <i>Journal of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2018, 117, 1119-1130.	0.8	64
17	Closing the gap for patients with rectus abdominis diastasis. <i>Nursing</i> , 2018, 48, 49-52.	0.2	6
18	The Evolution from Subcutaneous to Prepectoral Prosthetic Breast Reconstruction. <i>Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery - Global Open</i> , 2018, 6, e1797.	0.3	53

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Current Approaches to Prepectoral Breast Reconstruction. <i>Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery</i> , 2018, 142, 871-880.	0.7	68
20	The Perfect Plane: A Systematic Review of Mesh Location and Outcomes, Update 2018. <i>Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery</i> , 2018, 142, 107S-116S.	0.7	68
21	Reply. <i>Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery</i> , 2018, 142, 233e-234e.	0.7	1
22	Partial Breast Reconstruction with Goldilocks Technique After Excision of Giant Fibroadenoma: A Case Report. <i>Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery - Global Open</i> , 2017, 5, e1200.	0.3	13
23	Interpositional Lateral Thoracic Vein Graft for DIEP Flap Salvage in Setting of Superficial Venous System Dominance. <i>Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery - Global Open</i> , 2017, 5, e1322.	0.3	1
24	Late Hematoma following Central Venous Port Removal. <i>Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery</i> , 2017, 139, 814e-815e.	0.7	1
25	Reply. <i>Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery</i> , 2017, 139, 1205e-1206e.	0.7	0
26	Axillary Advancement Suture to Minimize Post-Implantation Deformity in Implant-Based Breast Reconstruction. <i>Aesthetic Plastic Surgery</i> , 2017, 41, 1010-1010.	0.5	0
27	Central Mound Mastopexy for the Correction of Tuberous/Tubular Breast Deformity. <i>Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery - Global Open</i> , 2017, 5, e1545.	0.3	9
28	Nipple-areolar complex reconstruction and patient satisfaction: a systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>Gland Surgery</i> , 2017, 6, 4-13.	0.5	58
29	A critical look at the effect of hyperbaric oxygen on the ischemic nipple following nipple sparing mastectomy and implant based reconstruction: a case series. <i>Gland Surgery</i> , 2017, 6, 659-665.	0.5	10
30	Prosthetic Breast Reconstruction With Acellular Dermal Matrices. <i>Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery - Global Open</i> , 2016, 4, e698.	0.3	19
31	Implant-based breast reconstruction: Strategies to achieve optimal outcomes and minimize complications. <i>Journal of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2016, 113, 895-905.	0.8	30
32	Can E-Cigarettes Cause Free Flap Failure? A Case of Arterial Vasospasm Induced by Electronic Cigarettes Following Microsurgical Breast Reconstruction. <i>Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery - Global Open</i> , 2016, 4, e596.	0.3	13
33	Augmented Reality and Wearable Technology in Image-guided Navigation and Preoperative Planning. <i>Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery - Global Open</i> , 2016, 4, e1057.	0.3	6
34	Autologous flap breast reconstruction: Surgical algorithm and patient selection. <i>Journal of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2016, 113, 865-874.	0.8	48
35	Discussion. <i>Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery</i> , 2016, 137, 106-107.	0.7	2
36	Implant-based breast reconstruction following conservative mastectomy: one-stage vs. two-stage approach. <i>Gland Surgery</i> , 2016, 5, 47-54.	0.5	12

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	Reply. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, 2015, 135, 650e.	0.7	1
38	Breast reconstruction and adjuvant therapy: A systematic review of surgical outcomes. Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2015, 112, 458-464.	0.8	94
39	The Operating Room and the Gridiron. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, 2015, 136, 408e.	0.7	0
40	A Meta-analysis Assessing Postsurgical Outcomes between Aseptic and Sterile AlloDerm Regenerative Tissue Matrix. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery - Global Open, 2015, 3, e409.	0.3	24
41	Response to "Avoiding Tension of Wound Closure in Reduction Mammoplasty and Mastopexy in Previously Irradiated Breasts". Aesthetic Surgery Journal, 2015, 35, NP13-NP13.	0.9	0
42	Factors to Consider in Breast Reconstruction. Women's Health, 2015, 11, 325-342.	0.7	9
43	Discussion. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, 2015, 135, 337-338.	0.7	7
44	Achieving ideal donor site aesthetics with autologous breast reconstruction. Gland Surgery, 2015, 4, 145-53.	0.5	5
45	Giant juvenile fibroadenoma: a systematic review with diagnostic and treatment recommendations. Gland Surgery, 2015, 4, 312-21.	0.5	48
46	Achieving ideal breast aesthetics with autologous reconstruction. Gland Surgery, 2015, 4, 134-44.	0.5	12
47	The biplanar oncoplastic technique case series: a 2-year review. Gland Surgery, 2015, 4, 257-62.	0.5	8
48	Response to "Reduction Mammoplasty and Mastopexy in Previously Irradiated Breasts: Notes on Safety and Pitfalls". Aesthetic Surgery Journal, 2014, 34, 638-638.	0.9	1
49	Discussion. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, 2014, 134, 21e-23e.	0.7	7
50	Shaped versus Round Implants for Breast Reconstruction. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery - Global Open, 2014, 2, e116.	0.3	19
51	Algorithm and Techniques for Using Sientra's Highly Cohesive Shaped Silicone Gel Implants in Primary and Revision Breast Reconstruction. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, 2014, 134, 28S-37S.	0.7	4
52	Preface to the Sientra Shaped and Round Cohesive Gel Implants Supplement. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, 2014, 134, 4S-5S.	0.7	10
53	Prevalence of Body Dysmorphic Disorder Among Patients Seeking Breast Reconstruction. Aesthetic Surgery Journal, 2014, 34, 733-737.	0.9	30
54	Tertiary Breast Reconstruction Using a Free Contralateral Latissimus Dorsi Musculocutaneous Flap. Journal of Reconstructive Microsurgery, 2014, 30, 141-144.	1.0	3

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
55	Patient-centered outcomes following laparoscopic ventral hernia repair: a systematic review of the current literature. <i>American Journal of Surgery</i> , 2014, 208, 677-684.	0.9	12
56	Patient-centred decision making in breast reconstruction utilising the delayedâ€“immediate algorithm. <i>Journal of Plastic, Reconstructive and Aesthetic Surgery</i> , 2014, 67, 477-482.	0.5	10
57	Three Words to the Wise. <i>Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery</i> , 2014, 133, 198-199.	0.7	0
58	The Twenty-First Century Hospital. <i>Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery</i> , 2014, 133, 1308-1310.	0.7	5
59	â€œPlastic Surgeryâ€“ Beware. <i>Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery</i> , 2014, 133, 965-966.	0.7	6
60	Advice to the Outliers in Plastic Surgery. <i>Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery</i> , 2014, 133, 456-458.	0.7	0
61	Delayed versus Delayed-Immediate Autologous Breast Reconstruction: A Blinded Evaluation of Aesthetic Outcomes. <i>Archives of Plastic Surgery</i> , 2014, 41, 264-270.	0.4	35
62	A multiple logistic regression analysis of complications following microsurgical breast reconstruction. <i>Gland Surgery</i> , 2014, 3, 226-31.	0.5	11
63	Serratus Branch as Recipient Vessel for Microvascular Tissue Transfer in Breast Reconstruction. <i>Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery - Global Open</i> , 2013, 1, e58.	0.3	3
64	Discussion. <i>Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery</i> , 2013, 132, 1113-1114.	0.7	1
65	Reply. <i>Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery</i> , 2013, 132, 318e.	0.7	0
66	Breast Reconstruction following Nipple-Sparing Mastectomy. <i>Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery</i> , 2013, 132, 1043-1054.	0.7	176
67	Risk Factor Analysis for Capsular Contracture. <i>Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery</i> , 2013, 132, 1115-1123.	0.7	208
68	Discussion. <i>Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery</i> , 2013, 132, 530-531.	0.7	6
69	Biplanar Oncoplastic Surgery. <i>Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery</i> , 2013, 132, 1081-1084.	0.7	14
70	Minimizing incisional dehiscence following 2-stage prosthetic breast reconstruction in the setting of radiation therapy. <i>Gland Surgery</i> , 2013, 2, 133-6.	0.5	10
71	Discussion. <i>Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery</i> , 2012, 130, 67S-69S.	0.7	3
72	The Internal Mammary Artery and Vein as Recipient Vessels for Microvascular Breast Reconstruction. <i>Annals of Plastic Surgery</i> , 2012, 68, 537-538.	0.5	23

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
73	To Scip or Not to Scip the Surgical Care Improvement Project Protocol. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, 2012, 130, 1161-1163.	0.7	10
74	Outcome of Different Timings of Radiotherapy in Implant-Based Breast Reconstructions. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, 2012, 129, 358e-359e.	0.7	3
75	Acellular Dermal Matrices in Primary Breast Reconstruction. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, 2012, 130, 44S-53S.	0.7	122
76	Maximizing the Use of the Handheld Doppler in Autologous Breast Reconstruction. Clinics in Plastic Surgery, 2011, 38, 213-218.	0.7	15
77	Minimizing Obstacles and Maximizing Outcomes in Microvascular Breast Reconstruction. Clinics in Plastic Surgery, 2011, 38, xiii-xiv.	0.7	0
78	Overview of Perforator Imaging and Flap Perfusion Technologies. Clinics in Plastic Surgery, 2011, 38, 165-174.	0.7	36
79	Nipple-Sparing Mastectomy for Prophylactic and Therapeutic Indications. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, 2011, 128, 1005-1014.	0.7	182
80	Discussion: Macrolane for Breast Enhancement: 12-Month Follow-Up. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, 2011, 127, 861-862.	0.7	11
81	Discussion: Immediate Free Flap Reconstruction of Advanced-Stage Breast Cancer: Is It Safe?. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, 2011, 128, 42-43.	0.7	5
82	Breast Deformities and Mastopexy. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, 2011, 127, 91e-102e.	0.7	33
83	Acellular Human Dermis Implantation in 153 Immediate Two-Stage Tissue Expander Breast Reconstructions: Determining the Incidence and Significant Predictors of Complications. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, 2011, 127, 481-482.	0.7	2
84	Acellular Dermal Matrix for Secondary Procedures Following Prosthetic Breast Reconstruction. Aesthetic Surgery Journal, 2011, 31, 38S-50S.	0.9	46
85	Mastectomy, Nipple-Areola Preservation, and Immediate Implant Reconstruction: Are Total and Partial Muscle Coverage Techniques Aesthetically Equivalent?. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, 2010, 126, 319e-320e.	0.7	9
86	Discussion: A Comparison between DIEP and Muscle-Sparing Free TRAM Flaps in Breast Reconstruction: A Single Surgeon's Recent Experience. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, 2010, 126, 1436-1437.	0.7	20
87	Discussion: The Use of Human Acellular Dermal Matrix for the Correction of Secondary Deformities after Breast Augmentation: Results and Costs. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, 2010, 126, 1721-1722.	0.7	5
88	Discussion: High- and Extra-High-Projection Breast Implants: Potential Consequences for Patients. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, 2010, 126, 2165-2167.	0.7	4
89	Management of Common and Uncommon Problems After Primary Breast Augmentation. Clinics in Plastic Surgery, 2009, 36, 127-138.	0.7	27
90	Breast Reconstruction: A Review and Rationale for Patient Selection. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, 2009, 124, 55-62.	0.7	57

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
91	Discussion. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, 2009, 124, 53-54.	0.7	11
92	AlloDerm Performance in the Setting of Prosthetic Breast Surgery, Infection, and Irradiation. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, 2009, 124, 1743-1753.	0.7	246
93	Managing the Opposite Breast: Contralateral Symmetry Procedures. Cancer Journal (Sudbury, Mass), 2008, 14, 258-263.	1.0	31
94	The Impact of Breast Reconstruction on the Oncologic Efficacy of Radiation Therapy. Annals of Plastic Surgery, 2008, 60, 244-250.	0.5	62
95	Routine Histologic Examination of 728 Mastectomy Scars: Did It Benefit Our Patients?. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, 2007, 120, 353.	0.7	3
96	Cautionary Note on the Use of the Internal Mammary Artery in Breast Reconstruction. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, 2007, 119, 425-426.	0.7	4
97	Does AlloDerm Stretch?. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, 2007, 120, 1276-1280.	0.7	52
98	Explantation of 41-Year-Old Implants Following Primary Breast Augmentation. Annals of Plastic Surgery, 2007, 58, 91-94.	0.5	10
99	Nipple Reconstruction. Clinics in Plastic Surgery, 2007, 34, 131-137.	0.7	37
100	Breast Reconstruction following Subcutaneous Mastectomy for Cancer: A Critical Appraisal of the Nipple-Areola Complex. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, 2006, 117, 1083-1090.	0.7	124
101	Thermal injuries in autogenous tissue breast reconstruction. Journal of Plastic, Reconstructive and Aesthetic Surgery, 1998, 51, 599-602.	1.1	57