Maurice Y Nahabedian

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/3814107/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

101 papers 2,625 citations

201385 27 h-index 197535 49 g-index

101 all docs

101 docs citations

101 times ranked

1724 citing authors

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	AlloDerm Performance in the Setting of Prosthetic Breast Surgery, Infection, and Irradiation. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, 2009, 124, 1743-1753.	0.7	246
2	Risk Factor Analysis for Capsular Contracture. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, 2013, 132, 1115-1123.	0.7	208
3	Nipple-Sparing Mastectomy for Prophylactic and Therapeutic Indications. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, 2011, 128, 1005-1014.	0.7	182
4	Breast Reconstruction following Nipple-Sparing Mastectomy. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, 2013, 132, 1043-1054.	0.7	176
5	Breast Reconstruction following Subcutaneous Mastectomy for Cancer: A Critical Appraisal of the Nipple-Areola Complex. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, 2006, 117, 1083-1090.	0.7	124
6	Acellular Dermal Matrices in Primary Breast Reconstruction. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, 2012, 130, 44S-53S.	0.7	122
7	Breast reconstruction and adjuvant therapy: A systematic review of surgical outcomes. Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2015, 112, 458-464.	0.8	94
8	Current Approaches to Prepectoral Breast Reconstruction. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, 2018, 142, 871-880.	0.7	68
9	The Perfect Plane: A Systematic Review of Mesh Location and Outcomes, Update 2018. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, 2018, 142, 107S-116S.	0.7	68
10	Early assessment of postâ€surgical outcomes with preâ€pectoral breast reconstruction: A literature review and metaâ€analysis. Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2018, 117, 1119-1130.	0.8	64
11	The Impact of Breast Reconstruction on the Oncologic Efficacy of Radiation Therapy. Annals of Plastic Surgery, 2008, 60, 244-250.	0.5	62
12	Nipple-areolar complex reconstruction and patient satisfaction: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Gland Surgery, 2017, 6, 4-13.	0.5	58
13	Thermal injuries in autogenous tissue breast reconstruction. Journal of Plastic, Reconstructive and Aesthetic Surgery, 1998, 51, 599-602.	1.1	57
14	Breast Reconstruction: A Review and Rationale for Patient Selection. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, 2009, 124, 55-62.	0.7	57
15	The Evolution from Subcutaneous to Prepectoral Prosthetic Breast Reconstruction. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery - Global Open, 2018, 6, e1797.	0.3	53
16	Does AlloDerm Stretch?. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, 2007, 120, 1276-1280.	0.7	52
17	Autologous flap breast reconstruction: Surgical algorithm and patient selection. Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2016, 113, 865-874.	0.8	48
18	Giant juvenile fibroadenoma: a systematic review with diagnostic and treatment recommendations. Gland Surgery, 2015, 4, 312-21.	0.5	48

#	Article	IF	Citations
19	Acellular Dermal Matrix for Secondary Procedures Following Prosthetic Breast Reconstruction. Aesthetic Surgery Journal, 2011, 31, 38S-50S.	0.9	46
20	International Expert Panel Consensus on Fat Grafting of the Breast. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery - Global Open, 2019, 7, e2426.	0.3	41
21	Nipple Reconstruction. Clinics in Plastic Surgery, 2007, 34, 131-137.	0.7	37
22	Overview of Perforator Imaging and Flap Perfusion Technologies. Clinics in Plastic Surgery, 2011, 38, 165-174.	0.7	36
23	Delayed versus Delayed-Immediate Autologous Breast Reconstruction: A Blinded Evaluation of Aesthetic Outcomes. Archives of Plastic Surgery, 2014, 41, 264-270.	0.4	35
24	Breast Deformities and Mastopexy. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, 2011, 127, 91e-102e.	0.7	33
25	Managing the Opposite Breast: Contralateral Symmetry Procedures. Cancer Journal (Sudbury, Mass), 2008, 14, 258-263.	1.0	31
26	Prevalence of Body Dysmorphic Disorder Among Patients Seeking Breast Reconstruction. Aesthetic Surgery Journal, 2014, 34, 733-737.	0.9	30
27	Implant-based breast reconstruction: Strategies to achieve optimal outcomes and minimize complications. Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2016, 113, 895-905.	0.8	30
28	Management of Common and Uncommon Problems After Primary Breast Augmentation. Clinics in Plastic Surgery, 2009, 36, 127-138.	0.7	27
29	Innovations and advancements with prosthetic breast reconstruction. Breast Journal, 2018, 24, 586-591.	0.4	27
30	A Meta-analysis Assessing Postsurgical Outcomes between Aseptic and Sterile AlloDerm Regenerative Tissue Matrix. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery - Global Open, 2015, 3, e409.	0.3	24
31	The Internal Mammary Artery and Vein as Recipient Vessels for Microvascular Breast Reconstruction. Annals of Plastic Surgery, 2012, 68, 537-538.	0.5	23
32	Discussion: A Comparison between DIEP and Muscle-Sparing Free TRAM Flaps in Breast Reconstruction: A Single Surgeonʽs Recent Experience. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, 2010, 126, 1436-1437.	0.7	20
33	Shaped versus Round Implants for Breast Reconstruction. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery - Global Open, 2014, 2, e116.	0.3	19
34	Prosthetic Breast Reconstruction With Acellular Dermal Matrices. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery - Global Open, 2016, 4, e698.	0.3	19
35	Maximizing the Use of the Handheld Doppler in Autologous Breast Reconstruction. Clinics in Plastic Surgery, 2011, 38, 213-218.	0.7	15
36	What Are the Long-Term Aesthetic Issues in Prepectoral Breast Reconstruction?. Aesthetic Surgery Journal, 2020, 40, S29-S37.	0.9	15

#	Article	lF	Citations
37	Biplanar Oncoplastic Surgery. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, 2013, 132, 1081-1084.	0.7	14
38	Can E-Cigarettes Cause Free Flap Failure? A Case of Arterial Vasospasm Induced by Electronic Cigarettes Following Microsurgical Breast Reconstruction. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery - Global Open, 2016, 4, e596.	0.3	13
39	Partial Breast Reconstruction with Goldilocks Technique After Excision of Giant Fibroadenoma: A Case Report. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery - Global Open, 2017, 5, e1200.	0.3	13
40	Patient-centered outcomes following laparoscopic ventral hernia repair: a systematic review of the current literature. American Journal of Surgery, 2014, 208, 677-684.	0.9	12
41	Achieving ideal breast aesthetics with autologous reconstruction. Gland Surgery, 2015, 4, 134-44.	0.5	12
42	Implant-based breast reconstruction following conservative mastectomy: one-stage vs. two-stage approach. Gland Surgery, 2016, 5, 47-54.	0.5	12
43	Discussion. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, 2009, 124, 53-54.	0.7	11
44	Discussion: Macrolane for Breast Enhancement: 12-Month Follow-Up. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, 2011, 127, 861-862.	0.7	11
45	Large-Volume Autologous Fat Grafting to the Breast. Aesthetic Surgery Journal, 2021, 41, S16-S24.	0.9	11
46	A multiple logistic regression analysis of complications following microsurgical breast reconstruction. Gland Surgery, 2014, 3, 226-31.	0.5	11
47	Explantation of 41-Year-Old Implants Following Primary Breast Augmentation. Annals of Plastic Surgery, 2007, 58, 91-94.	0.5	10
48	To Scip $\hat{a} \in \ \mid$ or Not to Scip $\hat{a} \in \ \mid$ the Surgical Care Improvement Project Protocol. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, 2012, 130, 1161-1163.	0.7	10
49	Preface to the Sientra Shaped and Round Cohesive Gel Implants Supplement. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, 2014, 134, 4S-5S.	0.7	10
50	Patient-centred decision making in breast reconstruction utilising the delayed–immediate algorithm. Journal of Plastic, Reconstructive and Aesthetic Surgery, 2014, 67, 477-482.	0.5	10
51	A critical look at the effect of hyperbaric oxygen on the ischemic nipple following nipple sparing mastectomy and implant based reconstruction: a case series. Gland Surgery, 2017, 6, 659-665.	0.5	10
52	Minimizing incisional dehiscence following 2-stage prosthetic breast reconstruction in the setting of radiation therapy. Gland Surgery, 2013, 2, 133-6.	0.5	10
53	Mastectomy, Nipple-Areola Preservation, and Immediate Implant Reconstruction: Are Total and Partial Muscle Coverage Techniques Aesthetically Equivalent?. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, 2010, 126, 319e-320e.	0.7	9
54	Factors to Consider in Breast Reconstruction. Women's Health, 2015, 11, 325-342.	0.7	9

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	Central Mound Mastopexy for the Correction of Tuberous/Tubular Breast Deformity. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery - Global Open, 2017, 5, e1545.	0.3	9
56	The biplanar oncoplastic technique case series: a 2-year review. Gland Surgery, 2015, 4, 257-62.	0.5	8
57	Discussion. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, 2014, 134, 21e-23e.	0.7	7
58	Discussion. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, 2015, 135, 337-338.	0.7	7
59	Assessing Postsurgical Outcomes with Prepectoral Breast Reconstruction: A Literature Review and Meta-analysis Update. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery - Global Open, 2021, 9, e3825.	0.3	7
60	Discussion. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, 2013, 132, 530-531.	0.7	6
61	"Plastic Surgeryâ€â€¦ Beware. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, 2014, 133, 965-966.	0.7	6
62	Augmented Reality and Wearable Technology in Image-guided Navigation and Preoperative Planning. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery - Global Open, 2016, 4, e1057.	0.3	6
63	Closing the gap for patients with rectus abdominis diastasis. Nursing, 2018, 48, 49-52.	0.2	6
64	Finesse in Mastopexy and Augmentation Mastopexy. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, 2021, 148, 451e-461e.	0.7	6
65	Discussion: The Use of Human Acellular Dermal Matrix for the Correction of Secondary Deformities after Breast Augmentation: Results and Costs. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, 2010, 126, 1721-1722.	0.7	5
66	Discussion: Immediate Free Flap Reconstruction of Advanced-Stage Breast Cancer: Is It Safe?. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, 2011, 128, 42-43.	0.7	5
67	The Twenty-First Century Hospital. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, 2014, 133, 1308-1310.	0.7	5
68	Combining Abdominal Flaps and Implants in the Breast Reconstruction Patient. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, 2019, 143, 495e-503e.	0.7	5
69	Achieving ideal donor site aesthetics with autologous breast reconstruction. Gland Surgery, 2015, 4, 145-53.	0.5	5
70	Cautionary Note on the Use of the Internal Mammary Artery in Breast Reconstruction. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, 2007, 119, 425-426.	0.7	4
71	Discussion: High- and Extra-High-Projection Breast Implants: Potential Consequences for Patients. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, 2010, 126, 2165-2167.	0.7	4
72	Algorithm and Techniques for Using Sientra's Highly Cohesive Shaped Silicone Gel Implants in Primary and Revision Breast Reconstruction. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, 2014, 134, 28S-37S.	0.7	4

#	Article	IF	Citations
73	Routine Histologic Examination of 728 Mastectomy Scars: Did It Benefit Our Patients?. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, 2007, 120, 353.	0.7	3
74	Discussion. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, 2012, 130, 67S-69S.	0.7	3
75	Outcome of Different Timings of Radiotherapy in Implant-Based Breast Reconstructions. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, 2012, 129, 358e-359e.	0.7	3
76	Serratus Branch as Recipient Vessel for Microvascular Tissue Transfer in Breast Reconstruction. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery - Global Open, 2013, 1, e58.	0.3	3
77	Tertiary Breast Reconstruction Using a Free Contralateral Latissimus Dorsi Musculocutaneous Flap. Journal of Reconstructive Microsurgery, 2014, 30, 141-144.	1.0	3
78	Acellular Human Dermis Implantation in 153 Immediate Two-Stage Tissue Expander Breast Reconstructions: Determining the Incidence and Significant Predictors of Complications. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, 2011, 127, 481-482.	0.7	2
79	Discussion. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, 2016, 137, 106-107.	0.7	2
80	Symmetry following unilateral two-stage prosthetic breast reconstruction: Is there an optimal time for managing the contralateral breast?. Journal of Plastic, Reconstructive and Aesthetic Surgery, 2022, 75, 3700-3706.	0.5	2
81	Discussion. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, 2013, 132, 1113-1114.	0.7	1
82	Response to "Reduction Mammaplasty and Mastopexy in Previously Irradiated Breasts: Notes on Safety and Pitfalls". Aesthetic Surgery Journal, 2014, 34, 638-638.	0.9	1
83	Reply. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, 2015, 135, 650e.	0.7	1
84	Interpositional Lateral Thoracic Vein Graft for DIEP Flap Salvage in Setting of Superficial Venous System Dominance. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery - Global Open, 2017, 5, e1322.	0.3	1
85	Late Hematoma following Central Venous Port Removal. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, 2017, 139, 814e-815e.	0.7	1
86	Reply. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, 2018, 142, 233e-234e.	0.7	1
87	Minimizing Obstacles and Maximizing Outcomes in Microvascular Breast Reconstruction. Clinics in Plastic Surgery, 2011, 38, xiii-xiv.	0.7	O
88	Reply. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, 2013, 132, 318e.	0.7	0
89	Three Words to the Wise. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, 2014, 133, 198-199.	0.7	0
90	Advice to the Outliers in Plastic Surgery. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, 2014, 133, 456-458.	0.7	0

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
91	The Operating Room and the Gridiron. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, 2015, 136, 408e.	0.7	0
92	Response to "Avoiding Tension of Wound Closure in Reduction Mammaplasty and Mastopexy in Previously Irradiated Breasts― Aesthetic Surgery Journal, 2015, 35, NP13-NP13.	0.9	0
93	Reply. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, 2017, 139, 1205e-1206e.	0.7	0
94	Axillary Advancement Suture to Minimize Post-Implantation Deformity in Implant: Based Breast Reconstruction. Aesthetic Plastic Surgery, 2017, 41, 1010-1010.	0.5	0
95	Invited Discussion on: A New Tool for Breast Anthropometric Measurements—Presentation and Validation for Women and Men. Aesthetic Plastic Surgery, 2019, 43, 1171-1172.	0.5	0
96	Commentary on: Anatomic Implants in Breast Reconstruction: A Comparison of Outcomes and Aesthetic Results Compared to Smooth Round Silicone Implants. Aesthetic Surgery Journal, 2019, 39, NP331-NP333.	0.9	0
97	Commentary on: Reoperation Rate After Primary Augmentation With Smooth, Textured, High Fill, Cohesive, Round Breast Implants (RANBI-I Study). Aesthetic Surgery Journal, 2019, 39, 1350-1351.	0.9	0
98	Invited Discussion on: Evaluation of the Sensitivity of the Nipple-Areola Complex in Patients Undergoing Breast Ptosis Correction with Periareolar Dermis Release: A Randomized Controlled Trial. Aesthetic Plastic Surgery, 2020, 44, 1412-1413.	0.5	0
99	Commentary on: Lessons Learned from Twenty-Eight Cases of Burns Following Breast Reconstruction: An Underestimated Complication Requiring Inclusion in Consent Information. Aesthetic Surgery Journal, 2021, 41, NP780-NP781.	0.9	0
100	Commentary on: Allograft Versus Xenograft Bioprosthetic Mesh in Tissue Expander Breast Reconstruction: A Blinded Prospective Randomized Controlled Trial. Aesthetic Surgery Journal, 2021, 41, NP1940-NP1942.	0.9	0
101	Invited Discussion on: Biological Matrix-Assisted One-Stage Implant-Based Breast Reconstruction Versus Two-Stage Implant-Based Breast Reconstructionâ \in Patient-Reported Outcomes and Complications. Aesthetic Plastic Surgery, 2021, , 1.	0.5	0