
Mary Wells Rgn, Hons

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/377587/publications.pdf Version: 2024-02-01



#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	What is a clinical academic? Qualitative interviews with healthcare managers, researchâ€active nurses and other researchâ€active healthcare professionals outside medicine. Journal of Clinical Nursing, 2022, 31, 378-389.	1.4	15
2	The development of theory-informed participant-centred interventions to maximise participant retention in randomised controlled trials. Trials, 2022, 23, 268.	0.7	3
3	Offloading treatment in people with diabetic foot disease: A systematic scoping review on adherence to foot offloading. Diabetes and Metabolic Syndrome: Clinical Research and Reviews, 2022, 16, 102493.	1.8	9
4	Key components of successful digital remote monitoring in oncology. Nature Medicine, 2022, 28, 1128-1129.	15.2	5
5	What place does nurseâ€led research have in the COVIDâ€19 pandemic?. International Nursing Review, 2021, 68, 214-218.	1.5	13
6	Self-care and cancer: Comment on Riegel etÂal. (2020) â€~Characteristics of self-care interventions for patients with a chronic condition: A scoping review'. International Journal of Nursing Studies, 2021, 115, 103877.	2.5	2
7	A qualitative systematic review and thematic synthesis exploring the impacts of clinical academic activity by healthcare professionals outside medicine. BMC Health Services Research, 2021, 21, 400.	0.9	31
8	Determinants of burnout and other aspects of psychological well-being in healthcare workers during the Covid-19 pandemic: A multinational cross-sectional study. PLoS ONE, 2021, 16, e0238666.	1.1	215
9	Why trials lose participants: A multitrial investigation of participants' perspectives using the theoretical domains framework. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2021, 137, 1-13.	2.4	16
10	Impacts of clinical academic activity: qualitative interviews with healthcare managers and research-active nurses, midwives, allied health professionals and pharmacists. BMJ Open, 2021, 11, e050679.	0.8	12
11	Would you like to be contacted about future research?. BMC Research Notes, 2021, 14, 462.	0.6	2
12	Barriers and facilitators to the implementation of the advanced nurse practitioner role in primary care settings: A scoping review. International Journal of Nursing Studies, 2020, 104, 103443.	2.5	106
13	What Has Been the Impact of Covid-19 on Safety Culture? A Case Study from a Large Metropolitan Healthcare Trust. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 2020, 17, 7034.	1.2	45
14	The Acton Model: support for women with female genital mutilation. British Journal of Midwifery, 2020, 28, 697-708.	0.1	5
15	Designing process evaluations using case study to explore the context of complex interventions evaluated in trials. Trials, 2020, 21, 982.	0.7	9
16	Exploratory study of staff perceptions of shift safety in the critical care unit and routinely available data on workforce, patient and organisational factors. BMJ Open, 2020, 10, e034101.	0.8	2
17	Development of a patient-reported experience questionnaire for patients with sarcoma: the Sarcoma Assessment Measure (SAM). Quality of Life Research, 2020, 29, 2287-2297.	1.5	19
18	Electronic cigarettes as a smoking cessation aid for patients with cancer: beliefs and behaviours of clinicians in the UK. BMJ Open, 2020, 10, e037637.	0.8	9

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Qualitative study exploring patients experiences of being diagnosed and living with primary bone cancer in the UK. BMJ Open, 2019, 9, e028693.	0.8	17
20	Feasibility and outcomes of fibreoptic endoscopic evaluation of swallowing following prophylactic swallowing rehabilitation in head and neck cancer. Clinical Otolaryngology, 2019, 44, 549-556.	0.6	6
21	A Critical Review of the Impact of Sarcoma on Psychosocial Wellbeing. Sarcoma, 2019, 2019, 1-18.	0.7	34
22	The existence and importance of patients' mental images of their head and neck cancer: A qualitative study. PLoS ONE, 2018, 13, e0209215.	1.1	1
23	A scoping review of trials of interventions led or delivered by cancer nurses. International Journal of Nursing Studies, 2018, 86, 36-43.	2.5	80
24	Feasibility study to assess the delivery of a lifestyle intervention (TreatWELL) for patients with colorectal cancer undergoing potentially curative treatment. BMJ Open, 2018, 8, e021117.	0.8	10
25	Electronic Swallowing Intervention Package to Support Swallowing Function in Patients With Head and Neck Cancer: Development and Feasibility Study. JMIR Formative Research, 2018, 2, e15.	0.7	3
26	Patient adherence to swallowing exercises in head and neck cancer. Current Opinion in Otolaryngology and Head and Neck Surgery, 2017, 25, 175-181.	0.8	24
27	Recognizing European cancer nursing: Protocol for a systematic review and metaâ€∎nalysis of the evidence of effectiveness and value of cancer nursing. Journal of Advanced Nursing, 2017, 73, 3144-3153.	1.5	14
28	Qualitative analysis of 6961 free-text comments from the first National Cancer Patient Experience Survey in Scotland. BMJ Open, 2017, 7, e015726.	0.8	62
29	Barriers and facilitators to smoking cessation in a cancer context: A qualitative study of patient, family and professional views. BMC Cancer, 2017, 17, 348.	1.1	66
30	The influence of contextual factors on healthcare quality improvement initiatives: what works, for whom and in what setting? Protocol for a realist review. Systematic Reviews, 2017, 6, 168.	2.5	76
31	Paradigm shift in head and neck oncology patient management. Journal of Otolaryngology - Head and Neck Surgery, 2017, 46, 57.	0.9	5
32	Development and feasibility of a Swallowing intervention Package (SiP) for patients receiving radiotherapy treatment for head and neck cancer—the SiP study protocol. Pilot and Feasibility Studies, 2016, 2, 40.	0.5	12
33	Why is so much clinical research ignored and what can we do about it?. British Journal of Hospital Medicine (London, England: 2005), 2016, 77, 554-555.	0.2	3
34	Why, when and how to update a meta-ethnography qualitative synthesis. Systematic Reviews, 2016, 5, 44.	2.5	64
35	Optimisation of complex health interventions prior to a randomised controlled trial: a scoping review of strategies used. Pilot and Feasibility Studies, 2016, 2, 17.	0.5	59
36	Predictors of quality of life in head and neck cancer survivors up to 5Âyears after end of treatment: a cross-sectional survey. Supportive Care in Cancer, 2016, 24, 2463-2472.	1.0	46

MARY WELLS RGN, HONS

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Distress, concerns and unmet needs in survivors of head and neck cancer: a cross-sectional survey. European Journal of Cancer Care, 2015, 24, 748-760.	0.7	81
38	A national survey of healthcare professionals' views on models of follow-up, holistic needs assessment and survivorship care for patients with head and neck cancer. European Journal of Cancer Care, 2015, 24, 873-883.	0.7	37
39	A hard pill to swallow: a qualitative study of women's experiences of adjuvant endocrine therapy for breast cancer. BMJ Open, 2014, 4, e005285-e005285.	0.8	59
40	Psychological, surgical, and sociodemographic predictors of pain outcomes after breast cancer surgery: A population-based cohort study. Pain, 2014, 155, 232-243.	2.0	211
41	Time to act: The challenges of working during and after cancer, initiatives in research and practice. European Journal of Oncology Nursing, 2014, 18, 1-2.	0.9	14
42	Work stress and wellâ€being in oncology settings: a multidisciplinary study of health care professionals. Psycho-Oncology, 2013, 22, 46-53.	1.0	52
43	The effect of mammography pain on repeat participation in breast cancer screening: A systematic review. Breast, 2013, 22, 389-394.	0.9	127
44	A systematic review of interventions for eating and drinking problems following treatment for head and neck cancer suggests a need to look beyond swallowing and trismus. Oral Oncology, 2013, 49, 387-400.	0.8	91
45	The psychological experience of living with head and neck cancer: a systematic review and metaâ€synthesis. Psycho-Oncology, 2013, 22, 2648-2663.	1.0	126
46	Supporting †workâ€related goals' rather than †return to work' after cancer? A systematic review and metaâ€synthesis of 25 qualitative studies. Psycho-Oncology, 2013, 22, 1208-1219.	1.0	207
47	Intervention description is not enough: evidence from an in-depth multiple case study on the untold role and impact of context in randomised controlled trials of seven complex interventions. Trials, 2012, 13, 95.	0.7	187
48	Radiotherapy skin care: A survey of practice in the UK. Radiography, 2012, 18, 21-27.	1.1	39
49	The meaning and significance of routine follow-up in lung cancer – A qualitative study of patients' experiences and interpretations. European Journal of Oncology Nursing, 2011, 15, 339-346.	0.9	12
50	"It makes you feel so full of life―LiveWell, a feasibility study of a personalised lifestyle programme for colorectal cancer survivors. Supportive Care in Cancer, 2010, 18, 409-415.	1.0	62
51	Surveying the experience of living with metastatic breast cancer: comparing face-to-face and online recruitment (paper 578). Journal of Research in Nursing, 2009, 14, 57-59.	0.3	0
52	"Seeing is believing, and believing is seeing― An exploration of the meaning and impact of women's mental images of their breast cancer and their potential origins. Patient Education and Counseling, 2008, 73, 339-346.	1.0	29
53	A study to evaluate nurseâ€led onâ€ŧreatment review for patients undergoing radiotherapy for head and neck cancer. Journal of Clinical Nursing, 2008, 17, 1428-1439.	1.4	65
54	Promoting research participation: Why not advertise altruism?. Social Science and Medicine, 2008, 66, 1451-1456.	1.8	81

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	Placing evidence in context: A response to Fry's commentary. Social Science and Medicine, 2008, 66, 1461-1462.	1.8	4
56	Ambiguity and uncertainty: The ongoing concerns of male partners of women treated for breast cancer. European Journal of Oncology Nursing, 2008, 12, 349-356.	0.9	23
57	The loneliness of cancer. European Journal of Oncology Nursing, 2008, 12, 410-411.	0.9	26
58	Health professionals' views of the feasibility and acceptability of an oral care diary by patients during chemotherapy. European Journal of Oncology Nursing, 2007, 11, 82-84.	0.9	2
59	Randomized Comparison of Dry Dressings Versus Hydrogel in Management of Radiation-Induced Moist Desquamation. International Journal of Radiation Oncology Biology Physics, 2007, 68, 864-872.	0.4	66
60	Evaluation of the feasibility and acceptability of an oral care diary by patients during chemotherapy. International Journal of Nursing Studies, 2007, 44, 693-701.	2.5	16
61	Patients and carer experiences of care provision after a diagnosis of lung cancer in Scotland. Supportive Care in Cancer, 2007, 15, 327-332.	1.0	49
62	Nurse specialist led follow-up in lung cancer: The experience of developing and delivering a new model of care. European Journal of Oncology Nursing, 2006, 10, 364-377.	0.9	37
63	Does aqueous or sucralfate cream affect the severity of erythematous radiation skin reactions? A randomised controlled trial. Radiotherapy and Oncology, 2004, 73, 153-162.	0.3	154
64	Nurse led follow up and conventional medical follow up in management of patients with lung cancer: randomised trial. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2002, 325, 1145-1145.	2.4	257
65	The hidden experience of radiotherapy to the head and neck: a qualitative study of patients after completion of treatment. Journal of Advanced Nursing, 1998, 28, 840-848.	1.5	74
66	What's so special about radiotherapy nursing?. European Journal of Oncology Nursing, 1998, 2, 162-168.	0.9	7