Vincent LariviÃ¨re

List of Publications by Year
in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/3704142/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

122 papers	9,253 citations	66234 42 h-index	48187 88 g-index
129 all docs	129 docs citations	129 times ranked	7330 citing authors

#	Article	IF	Citations
1	Bibliometrics: Global gender disparities in science. Nature, 2013, 504, 211-213.	13.7	941
2	Do Altmetrics Work? Twitter and Ten Other Social Web Services. PLoS ONE, 2013, 8, e64841.	1.1	641
3	The state of OA: a large-scale analysis of the prevalence and impact of Open Access articles. PeerJ, 2018, 6, e4375.	0.9	606
4	The Oligopoly of Academic Publishers in the Digital Era. PLoS ONE, 2015, 10, e0127502.	1.1	537
5	Self-Selected or Mandated, Open Access Increases Citation Impact for Higher Quality Research. PLoS ONE, 2010, 5, e13636.	1.1	349
6	Benchmarking scientific output in the social sciences and humanities: The limits of existing databases. Scientometrics, 2006, 68, 329-342.	1.6	345
7	Characterizing Social Media Metrics of Scholarly Papers: The Effect of Document Properties and Collaboration Patterns. PLoS ONE, 2015, 10, e0120495.	1.1	279
8	Team size matters: Collaboration and scientific impact since 1900. Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology, 2015, 66, 1323-1332.	1.5	263
9	History of the journal impact factor: Contingencies and consequences. Scientometrics, 2009, 79, 635-649.	1.6	218
10	The weakening relationship between the impact factor and papers' citations in the digital age. Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology, 2012, 63, 2140-2145.	2.6	199
11	Factors affecting sex-related reporting in medical research: a cross-disciplinary bibliometric analysis. Lancet, The, 2019, 393, 550-559.	6.3	195
12	Contributorship and division of labor in knowledge production. Social Studies of Science, 2016, 46, 417-435.	1.5	177
13	Misconduct Policies, Academic Culture and Career Stage, Not Gender or Pressures to Publish, Affect Scientific Integrity. PLoS ONE, 2015, 10, e0127556.	1.1	164
14	Design and Update of a Classification System: The UCSD Map of Science. PLoS ONE, 2012, 7, e39464.	1.1	154
15	Canadian collaboration networks: A comparative analysis of the natural sciences, social sciences and the humanities. Scientometrics, 2006, 68, 519-533.	1.6	151
16	Sex differences in research funding, productivity and impact: an analysis of QuÃ©bec university professors. Scientometrics, 2011, 87, 483-498.	1.6	142
17	On the shoulders of students? The contribution of PhD students to the advancement of knowledge. Scientometrics, 2012, 90, 463-481.	1.6	136
18	The Effects of Aging on Researchers' Publication and Citation Patterns. PLoS ONE, 2008, 3, e4048.	1.1	123

#	Article	IF	Citations
19	Scientists have most impact when they're free to move. Nature, 2017, 550, 29-31.	13.7	120
20	Is Science Built on the Shoulders of Women? A Study of Gender Differences in Contributorship. Academic Medicine, 2016, 91, 1136-1142.	0.8	119
21	Modeling a century of citation distributions. Journal of Informetrics, 2009, 3, 296-303.	1.4	118
22	Conference proceedings as a source of scientific information: A bibliometric analysis. Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology, 2008, 59, 1776-1784.	2.6	116
23	Measuring Research. , 2018, , .		114
24	Researchersâ€™ Individual Publication Rate Has Not Increased in a Century. PLoS ONE, 2016, 11, e0149504.	1.1	112
25	Intersectional inequalities in science. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 2022, 119, .	3.3	112
26	Long-Distance Interdisciplinarity Leads to Higher Scientific Impact. PLoS ONE, 2015, 10, e0122565.	1.1	107
27	Scientists Popularizing Science: Characteristics and Impact of TED Talk Presenters. PLoS ONE, 2013, 8, e62403.	1.1	97
28	A Small World of Citations? The Influence of Collaboration Networks on Citation Practices. PLoS ONE, 2012, 7, e33339.	1.1	95
29	Which gender gap? Factors affecting researchersâ€™ scientific impact in science and medicine. Research Policy, 2016, 45, 1790-1817.	3.3	94
30	Are top-cited papers more interdisciplinary?. Journal of Informetrics, 2015, 9, 1034-1046.	1.4	85
31	On the Compliance of Women Engineers with a Gendered Scientific System. PLoS ONE, 2015, 10, e0145931.	1.1	77
32	Journal acceptance rates: A cross-disciplinary analysis of variability and relationships with journal measures. Journal of Informetrics, 2013, 7, 897-906.	1.4	75
33	The Journal Impact Factor: A Brief History, Critique, and Discussion of Adverse Effects. Springer Handbooks, 2019, , 3-24.	0.3	75
34	Do authors comply when funders enforce open access to research?. Nature, 2018, 562, 483-486.	13.7	69
35	The many faces of mobility: Using bibliometric data to measure the movement of scientists. Journal of Informetrics, 2019, 13, 50-63.	1.4	68
36	Cities and the geographical deconcentration of scientific activity: A multilevel analysis of publications (1987â€“2007). Urban Studies, 2014, 51, 2219-2234.	2.2	61

#	Article	IF	Citations
37	Comparing journal and paper level classifications of science. Journal of Informetrics, 2019, 13, 202-225.	1.4	61
38	The Academic Advantage: Gender Disparities in Patenting. PLoS ONE, 2015, 10, e0128000.	1.1	60
39	Authorship, citations, acknowledgments and visibility in social media: Symbolic capital in the multifaceted reward system of science. Social Science Information, 2018, 57, 223-248.	1.1	59
40	Which scientific elites? On the concentration of research funds, publications and citations. Research Evaluation, 2010, 19, 45-53.	1.3	57
41	The gendered nature of authorship. Science Advances, 2021, 7, eabe4639.	4.7	55
42	Follow the leader: On the relationship between leadership and scholarly impact in international collaborations. PLoS ONE, 2019, 14, e0218309.	1.1	54
43	Rethinking impact factors: better ways to judge a journal. Nature, 2019, 569, 621-623.	13.7	46
44	The rise of the middle author: Investigating collaboration and division of labor in biomedical research using partial alphabetical authorship. PLoS ONE, 2017, 12, e0184601.	1.1	44
45	Misconduct and Misbehavior Related to Authorship Disagreements in Collaborative Science. Science and Engineering Ethics, 2020, 26, 1967-1993.	1.7	41
46	Exploring the interdisciplinary evolution of a discipline: the case of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology. Scientometrics, 2015, 102, 1307-1323.	1.6	40
47	The sum of it all: Revealing collaboration patterns by combining authorship and acknowledgements. Journal of Informetrics, 2017, 11, 80-87.	1.4	39
48	Averages of ratios vs. ratios of averages: An empirical analysis of four levels of aggregation. Journal of Informetrics, 2011, 5, 392-399.	1.4	38
49	International comparative performance of mental health research, 1980â€“2011. European Neuropsychopharmacology, 2013, 23, 1340-1347.	0.3	36
50	Age stratification and cohort effects in scholarly communication: a study of social sciences. Scientometrics, 2016, 109, 997-1016.	1.6	36
51	Analyzing linguistic complexity and scientific impact. Journal of Informetrics, 2019, 13, 817-829.	1.4	36
52	The role of Web of Science publications in Chinaâ€™s tenure system. Scientometrics, 2020, 122, 1683-1695.	1.6	35
53	Authorial and institutional stratification in open access publishing: the case of global health research. PeerJ, 2018, 6, e4269.	0.9	35
54	The effect of universityâ€“industry collaboration on the scientific impact of publications: the Canadian case, 1980â€“2005. Research Evaluation, 2008, 17, 227-232.	1.3	34

#	Article	IF	Citations
55	Beyond funding: Acknowledgement patterns in biomedical, natural and social sciences. PLoS ONE, 2017, 12, e0185578.	1.1	34
56	The linguistic patterns and rhetorical structure of citation context: an approach using n-grams. Scientometrics, 2016, 109, 1417-1434.	1.6	33
57	Exploring the personal and professional factors associated with student evaluations of tenure-track faculty. PLoS ONE, 2020, 15, e0233515.	1.1	32
58	Is It Such a Big Deal? On the Cost of Journal Use in the Digital Era. College and Research Libraries, 2018, 79, 785-798.	0.2	31
59	Investigating the division of scientific labor using the Contributor Roles Taxonomy (CRediT). Quantitative Science Studies, 2021, 2, 111-128.	1.6	31
60	The declining scientific impact of theses: Implications for electronic thesis and dissertation repositories and graduate studies. Scientometrics, 2008, 74, 109-121.	1.6	30
61	Forty years of gender disparities in Russian science: a historical bibliometric analysis. Scientometrics, 2015, 102, 1541-1553.	1.6	30
62	On the citation lifecycle of papers with delayed recognition. Journal of Informetrics, 2014, 8, 863-872.	1.4	29
63	Researchersâ€™ Perceptions of Ethical Authorship Distribution in Collaborative Research Teams. Science and Engineering Ethics, 2020, 26, 1995-2022.	1.7	27
64	Improving the coverage of social science and humanities researchers' output: The case of the Ã‰rudit journal platform. Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology, 2011, 62, 2437-2442.	2.6	26
65	On the composition of scientific abstracts. Journal of Documentation, 2016, 72, 636-647.	0.9	24
66	Exploring the interdisciplinarity patterns of highly cited papers. Journal of Informetrics, 2021, 15, 101124.	1.4	24
67	Predatory publishersâ€™ latest scam: bootlegged and rebranded papers. Nature, 2021, 598, 563-565.	13.7	24
68	The lengthening of papersâ€™ life expectancy: a diachronous analysis. Scientometrics, 2013, 97, 695-717.	1.6	23
69	Vanishing industries and the rising monopoly of universities in published research. PLoS ONE, 2018, 13, e0202120.	1.1	23
70	Investigating disagreement in the scientific literature. ELife, 2021, 10, .	2.8	22
71	Task specialization across research careers. ELife, 2020, 9, .	2.8	20
72	The effect of data sources on the measurement of open access: A comparison of Dimensions and the Web of Science. PLoS ONE, 2022, 17, e0265545.	1.1	20

#	Article	IF	Citations
73	Scientific collaboration and high-technology exchanges among BRICS and G-7 countries. Scientometrics, 2016, 106, 873-899.	1.6	19
74	Predicting the age of researchers using bibliometric data. Journal of Informetrics, 2017, 11, 713-729.	1.4	19
75	Does the web of science accurately represent chinese scientific performance?. Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology, 2019, 70, 1138-1152.	1.5	17
76	The diverse niches of megajournals: Specialism within generalism. Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology, 2020, 71, 800-816.	1.5	17
77	Avoiding bias when inferring race using name-based approaches. PLoS ONE, 2022, 17, e0264270.	1.1	16
78	On the development of Chinaâ€™s leadership in international collaborations. Scientometrics, 2019, 120, 707-721.	1.6	15
79	Special issue on bibliographic data sources. Quantitative Science Studies, 2020, 1, 360-362.	1.6	15
80	On the Evolution of Library and Information Science Doctoral Dissertation Topics in North America (1960â€“2013). Journal of Education for Library and Information Science, 2016, 57, 131-142.	0.2	15
81	Chinaâ€™s Research Evaluation Reform: What are the Consequences for Global Science?. Minerva, 2022, 60, 329-347.	1.4	15
82	Stability and Longevity in the Publication Careers of U.S. Doctorate Recipients. PLoS ONE, 2016, 11, e0154741.	1.1	14
83	The effect of collaborators on institutionsâ€™ scientific impact. Scientometrics, 2016, 109, 1209-1230.	1.6	14
84	Scientific publications and patenting by companies: a study of the whole population of Canadian firms over 25 years. Science and Public Policy, 2011, 38, 269-278.	1.2	13
85	The institutionalized stratification of the Chinese higher education system. Quantitative Science Studies, 2021, 2, 327-334.	1.6	13
86	The citation advantage of foreign language references for Chinese social science papers. Scientometrics, 2019, 120, 1439-1460.	1.6	12
87	Measuring national self-referencing patterns of major science producers. Scientometrics, 2020, 123, 979-996.	1.6	12
88	On the institutional and intellectual division of labor in epigenetics research: A scientometric analysis. Social Science Information, 2020, 59, 117-143.	1.1	12
89	The role of handbooks in knowledge creation and diffusion: A case of science and technology studies. Journal of Informetrics, 2014, 8, 693-709.	1.4	11
90	Sectoral systems of innovation: the case of robotics research activities. Scientometrics, 2015, 104, 407-424.	1.6	11

#	Article	IF	Citations
91	What determines researchersâ€™ scientific impact? A case study of Quebec researchers. Science and Public Policy, 2016, 43, 262-274.	1.2	11
92	The national system of researchers in Mexico: implications of publication incentives for researchers in social sciences. Scientometrics, 2020, 122, 99-126.	1.6	11
93	The Production of Knowledge in Canada: Consolidation and Diversification. Journal of Canadian Studies, 2002, 37, 56-70.	0.3	11
94	PhD studentsâ€™ excellence scholarships and their relationship with research productivity, scientific impact, and degree completion. Canadian Journal of Higher Education, 2013, 43, 27-41.	0.3	11
95	Le franÃ§ais, langue seconde ? De l'Ã©volution des lieux et langues de publication des chercheurs au QuÃ©bec, en France et en Allemagne. Recherches Sociographiques, 0, 59, 339-363.	0.1	11
96	Textual analysis of artificial intelligence manuscripts reveals features associated with peer review outcome. Quantitative Science Studies, 2021, 2, 662-677.	1.6	10
97	Words by the tail: Assessing lexical diversity in scholarly titles using frequency-rank distribution tail fits. PLoS ONE, 2018, 13, e0197775.	1.1	9
98	Relationships between Interlibrary Loan and Research Activity in Canada. College and Research Libraries, 2014, 75, 5-19.	0.2	8
99	Classifications of science and their effects on bibliometric evaluations. Scientometrics, 2020, 125, 2727-2744.	1.6	8
100	Docteurs et doctorants en science politique au QuÃ©bec (1997-2010) 1. Politique Et Societes, 0, 31, 67-86.	0.1	8
101	Social reference managers and their users: A survey of demographics and ideologies. PLoS ONE, 2018, 13, e0198033.	1.1	7
102	Who are the acknowledgees? An analysis of gender and academic status. Quantitative Science Studies, 0, , 1-17.	1.6	7
103	An Analysis of Direct Reciprocal Borrowing Among QuÃ©bec University Libraries. Journal of Access Services, 2013, 10, 102-119.	0.4	6
104	Opening science: The rebirth of a scholarly journal. Quantitative Science Studies, 2020, 1, 1-3.	1.6	6
105	Scientific mobility indicators in practice: International mobility profiles at the country level. Profesional De La Informacion, 2018, 27, 511.	2.7	6
106	On the topicality and research impact of special issues. Quantitative Science Studies, 2020, 1, 303-319.	1.6	5
107	Les influences disciplinaires de la criminologie (1991-2014). Criminologie, 0, 51, 17-53.	0.3	5
108	The KRESCENT Program (2005-2015). Canadian Journal of Kidney Health and Disease, 2017, 4, 205435811769335.	0.6	4

#	Article	IF	Citations
109	Introduction: The Dissemination of National Knowledge in an Internationalized Scientific Community. Canadian Journal of Sociology, 2019, 44, 1-8.	0.4	4
110	Do authors of research funded by the Canadian Institutes of Health Research comply with its open access mandate?: A meta-epidemiologic study. PLoS ONE, 2021, 16, e0256577.	1.1	4
111	Uncited papers are not useless. Quantitative Science Studies, 2021, 2, 899-911.	1.6	4
112	Who tweets climate change papers? investigating publics of research through usersâ€™ descriptions. PLoS ONE, 2022, 17, e0268999.	1.1	4
113	mapping the â€˜enviro-securityâ€™ field: rivalry and cooperation in the construction of knowledge. European Political Science, 2018, 17, 551-570.	0.8	3
114	Who profits from the Canadian nanotechnology reward system? Implications for gender-responsible innovation. Scientometrics, 2021, 126, 7937-7991.	1.6	3
115	Mapping the biomedical sciences using Medical Subject Headings: a comparison between MeSH co-assignments and MeSH citation pairs. Journal of the Medical Library Association: JMLA, 2021, 109, 441-449.	0.6	2
116	Cumulative advantage and citation performance of repeat authors in scholarly journals. PLoS ONE, 2022, 17, e0265831.	1.1	2
117	On the effects of the reunification on German researchersâ€™ publication patterns. Scientometrics, 2017, 111, 337-347.	1.6	1
118	Cinquante ans de recherche Ã lâ€™EBSIÂ: portrait scientomÃ©trique de la dynamique de recherche au sein du corps professoral. Documentation Et BibliothÃ¨ques, 2012, 58, 164-175.	0.0	1
119	Les paradigmes de la revue CriminologieÂ: auteurs, revues et disciplines qui ont marquÃ© son histoire. Criminologie, 0, 51, 79-109.	0.3	1
120	Criminologie Ã portÃ©e de clicÂ: analyse de lâ€™usage de la revue numÃ©rique. Criminologie, 0, 51, 111-142.	0.3	1
121	Examining Communities in the Transdisciplinary Area of Cognitive Science: Automatic Classification for Examining Communities in the Web of Science Using Unsupervised Clustering Methods. Advances in Classification Research Online, 2018, 29, 27.	0.1	1
122	ASIS&T annual meeting pre-conference activities: Full room for the third SIG/MET workshop. Bulletin of the Association for Information Science & Technology, 2014, 40, 33-35.	0.3	0