

Peter B Cotton

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/36997/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

70
papers

4,864
citations

279487

23
h-index

168136

53
g-index

147
all docs

147
docs citations

147
times ranked

3815
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	A lexicon for endoscopic adverse events: report of an ASGE workshop. <i>Gastrointestinal Endoscopy</i> , 2010, 71, 446-454.	0.5	1,755
2	Risk factors for complications after ERCP: a multivariate analysis of 11,497 procedures over 12 years. <i>Gastrointestinal Endoscopy</i> , 2009, 70, 80-88.	0.5	613
3	Computed Tomographic Colonography (Virtual Colonoscopy). <i>JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association</i> , 2004, 291, 1713.	3.8	610
4	Grading the complexity of endoscopic procedures: results of an ASGE working party. <i>Gastrointestinal Endoscopy</i> , 2011, 73, 868-874.	0.5	219
5	Effect of Endoscopic Sphincterotomy for Suspected Sphincter of Oddi Dysfunction on Pain-Related Disability Following Cholecystectomy. <i>JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association</i> , 2014, 311, 2101.	3.8	209
6	Multisociety guideline on reprocessing flexible gastrointestinal endoscopes: 2011. <i>Gastrointestinal Endoscopy</i> , 2011, 73, 1075-1084.	0.5	170
7	Gallbladder and Sphincter of Oddi Disorders. <i>Gastroenterology</i> , 2016, 150, 1420-1429.e2.	0.6	159
8	Analysis of 59 ERCP lawsuits; mainly about indications. <i>Gastrointestinal Endoscopy</i> , 2006, 63, 378-382.	0.5	119
9	Colonoscopy: Practice variation among 69 hospital-based endoscopists. <i>Gastrointestinal Endoscopy</i> , 2003, 57, 352-357.	0.5	117
10	Infection after ERCP, and antibiotic prophylaxis: a sequential quality-improvement approach over 11 years. <i>Gastrointestinal Endoscopy</i> , 2008, 67, 471-475.	0.5	85
11	Evaluation of Pharmacologic Prevention of Pancreatitis After Endoscopic Retrograde Cholangiopancreatography: A Systematic Review. <i>Clinical Gastroenterology and Hepatology</i> , 2015, 13, 1231-1239.	2.4	69
12	Are low-volume ERCPists a problem in the United States? A plea to examine and improve ERCP practice—NOW. <i>Gastrointestinal Endoscopy</i> , 2011, 74, 161-166.	0.5	56
13	An assessment of existing risk stratification guidelines for the evaluation of patients with suspected choledocholithiasis. <i>Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques</i> , 2016, 30, 4613-4618.	1.3	55
14	Excellence in endoscopy: toward practical metrics. <i>Gastrointestinal Endoscopy</i> , 2006, 63, 286-291.	0.5	43
15	The ERCP Quality Network. <i>American Journal of Medical Quality</i> , 2013, 28, 256-260.	0.2	39
16	The EPISOD study: long-term outcomes. <i>Gastrointestinal Endoscopy</i> , 2018, 87, 205-210.	0.5	37
17	Incidence and predictors of post-ERCP pancreatitis in patients with suspected sphincter of Oddi dysfunction undergoing biliary or dual sphincterotomy: results from the EPISOD prospective multicenter randomized sham-controlled study. <i>Endoscopy</i> , 2015, 47, 884-890.	1.0	35
18	A survey of credentialing for ERCP in the United States. <i>Gastrointestinal Endoscopy</i> , 2017, 86, 866-869.	0.5	30

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Psychosocial Characteristics and Pain Burden of Patients With Suspected Sphincter of Oddi Dysfunction in the EPISOD Multicenter Trial. <i>American Journal of Gastroenterology</i> , 2014, 109, 436-442.	0.2	29
20	Endoscope storage time: assessment of microbial colonization up to 21 days after reprocessing. <i>Gastrointestinal Endoscopy</i> , 2015, 81, 1150-1154.	0.5	29
21	Pancreatic Enzyme Supplements Are Not Effective for Relieving Abdominal Pain in Patients with Chronic Pancreatitis: Meta-Analysis and Systematic Review of Randomized Controlled Trials. <i>Canadian Journal of Gastroenterology and Hepatology</i> , 2016, 2016, 1-6.	0.8	29
22	Income and outcome metrics for the objective evaluation of ERCP and alternative methods. <i>Gastrointestinal Endoscopy</i> , 2002, 56, S283-S290.	0.5	28
23	Magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography: A novel approach to the evaluation of suspected pancreaticobiliary neoplasms. <i>Annals of Surgical Oncology</i> , 1997, 4, 634-638.	0.7	24
24	Endoscopic Retrograde Cholangiopancreatography. <i>Gastrointestinal Endoscopy Clinics of North America</i> , 2012, 22, 587-599.	0.6	22
25	Measuring episodic abdominal pain and disability in suspected sphincter of Oddi dysfunction. <i>World Journal of Gastroenterology</i> , 2010, 16, 4416.	1.4	21
26	Sphincter of Oddi Manometry: Reproducibility of Measurements and Effect of Sphincterotomy in the EPISOD Study. <i>Journal of Neurogastroenterology and Motility</i> , 2016, 22, 477-482.	0.8	20
27	Duloxetine for the Treatment of Patients with Suspected Sphincter of Oddi Dysfunction: A Pilot Study. <i>Digestive Diseases and Sciences</i> , 2016, 61, 2704-2709.	1.1	20
28	ERCP (Ensuring Really Competent Practice): enough wordsâ€”action please!. <i>Gastrointestinal Endoscopy</i> , 2015, 81, 1343-1345.	0.5	18
29	Quality endoscopists and quality endoscopy units. <i>Journal of Interventional Gastroenterology</i> , 2011, 1, 83-87.	0.1	18
30	ERCP Following Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy: A Safe and Effective way to Manage CBD Stones and Complications. <i>HPB Surgery</i> , 1995, 8, 187-192.	2.2	17
31	Challenges in planning and initiating a randomized clinical study of sphincter of Oddi dysfunction. <i>Gastrointestinal Endoscopy</i> , 2010, 72, 986-991.	0.5	17
32	Can patient and pain characteristics predict manometric sphincter of Oddi dysfunction in patients with clinically suspected sphincter of Oddi dysfunction?. <i>Gastrointestinal Endoscopy</i> , 2014, 79, 765-772.	0.5	13
33	Fifty years of ERCP: a personal review. <i>Gastrointestinal Endoscopy</i> , 2018, 88, 393-396.	0.5	13
34	Development and initial validation of an instrument for video-based assessment of technical skill in ERCP. <i>Gastrointestinal Endoscopy</i> , 2021, 93, 914-923.	0.5	11
35	ERCP (Ensuring Really Competent Practitioners). <i>Endoscopy</i> , 2014, 46, 922-924.	1.0	7
36	Trends in the timing of inpatient ERCP relative to cholecystectomy: a nationwide database studied longitudinally. <i>Gastrointestinal Endoscopy</i> , 2018, 88, 502-510.e4.	0.5	7

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	Why did the sham-treated EPISOD study subjects do so well? Important lessons for research and practice. <i>Gastrointestinal Endoscopy</i> , 2019, 89, 1054-1055.	0.5	7
38	Avoiding Medicolegal Complications. <i>Gastrointestinal Endoscopy Clinics of North America</i> , 2007, 17, 197-207.	0.6	6
39	Fading boundary between gastroenterology and surgery. <i>Journal of Gastroenterology and Hepatology (Australia)</i> , 2000, 15, G34-G37.	1.4	5
40	DDQ-15 Health-Related Quality of Life Instrument for Patients with Digestive Disorders. <i>Health Services and Outcomes Research Methodology</i> , 2001, 2, 137-156.	0.8	5
41	Simulators in Competence Assessment and Credentialing: Prospects and Problems. <i>Gastrointestinal Endoscopy Clinics of North America</i> , 2006, 16, 577-581.	0.6	4
42	Infection Control in Endoscopy. , 2009, , 130-172.		4
43	Have You Had an ERCP Lawsuit Yet?. <i>Gastroenterology Nursing</i> , 2015, 38, 101-106.	0.2	4
44	ASGE guidelines for ERCP competence. <i>Gastrointestinal Endoscopy</i> , 2017, 86, 1190.	0.5	4
45	Comparison of Urologist- vs Gastroenterologist-Directed Extracorporeal Shock Wave Lithotripsy for Pancreaticolithiasis. <i>Clinical Gastroenterology and Hepatology</i> , 2021, 19, 1234-1239.	2.4	4
46	Training and Credentialing in Gastrointestinal Endoscopy. , 2009, , 289-362.		3
47	ERCP (Ensuring Really Competent Practitioners): Action Please. <i>Clinical Gastroenterology and Hepatology</i> , 2017, 15, 1855-1857.	2.4	3
48	Maintaining the blind in sham controlled interventional trials: lessons from the EPISOD study. <i>Endoscopy International Open</i> , 2019, 07, E1322-E1326.	0.9	3
49	Colonoscopy and Flexible Sigmoidoscopy. , 0, , 87-175.		3
50	Quality assurance in gastroenterology. <i>Bailliere's Best Practice and Research in Clinical Gastroenterology</i> , 2011, 25, 335-336.	1.0	2
51	Variability in management of ERCP-related contrast media reaction. <i>Gastrointestinal Endoscopy</i> , 2015, 82, 973-974.	0.5	2
52	Development of an Automated ERCP Quality Report Card Using Structured Data Fields. <i>Techniques and Innovations in Gastrointestinal Endoscopy</i> , 2021, 23, 129-138.	0.4	2
53	Upper Endoscopy: Diagnostic Techniques. , 0, , 37-60.		1
54	Sedation, Analgesia, and Monitoring for Endoscopy. , 2009, , 33-42.		1

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
55	Digital Documentation in Endoscopy. , 2009, , 77-100.		1
56	Risks, Prevention, and Management. , 2009, , 173-189.		1
57	Tu1517 How Much Pain Relief Do Patients Expect After Cholecystectomy?. Gastroenterology, 2016, 150, S1257.	0.6	1
58	Collecting delayed adverse events after ERCP. Gastrointestinal Endoscopy, 2021, 94, 877.	0.5	1
59	Pediatric Gastrointestinal Endoscopy. , 2009, , 254-288.		0
60	Towards Excellence and Accountability. , 2009, , 363-370.		0
61	Endoscopic Equipment. , 2009, , 43-76.		0
62	Response:. Gastrointestinal Endoscopy, 2015, 82, 582-583.	0.5	0
63	How much pain relief do patients expect after cholecystectomy?. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2018, 32, 1867-1870.	1.3	0
64	Response:. Gastrointestinal Endoscopy, 2018, 87, 1365-1366.	0.5	0
65	The Endoscopy Unit and Staff. , 0, , 1-6.		0
66	Endoscopic Equipment. , 0, , 7-21.		0
67	Patient Care, Risks, and Safety. , 0, , 22-36.		0
68	Therapeutic Upper Endoscopy. , 0, , 61-86.		0
69	Therapeutic Colonoscopy. , 0, , 176-206.		0
70	Resources and Links. , 0, , 208-209.		0