## Steven MacLennan

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/3684598/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

66 papers 3,174 citations

172207 29 h-index 54 g-index

70 all docs

70 docs citations

70 times ranked

3954 citing authors

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Systematic Review of Oncological Outcomes Following Surgical Management of Localised Renal Cancer. European Urology, 2012, 61, 972-993.	0.9	276
2	Systematic Review of Perioperative and Quality-of-life Outcomes Following Surgical Management of Localised Renal Cancer. European Urology, 2012, 62, 1097-1117.	0.9	210
3	Prognostic Performance and Reproducibility of the 1973 and 2004/2016 World Health Organization Grading Classification Systems in Non–muscle-invasive Bladder Cancer: A European Association of Urology Non-muscle Invasive Bladder Cancer Guidelines Panel Systematic Review. European Urology, 2017, 72, 801-813.	0.9	205
4	Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of the Clinical Effectiveness of Shock Wave Lithotripsy, Retrograde Intrarenal Surgery, and Percutaneous Nephrolithotomy for Lower-pole Renal Stones. European Urology, 2015, 67, 612-616.	0.9	152
5	EAU-EANM-ESTRO-ESUR-SIOG Prostate Cancer Guideline Panel Consensus Statements for Deferred Treatment with Curative Intent for Localised Prostate Cancer from an International Collaborative Study (DETECTIVE Study). European Urology, 2019, 76, 790-813.	0.9	151
6	EAU-ESMO Consensus Statements on the Management of Advanced and Variant Bladder Cancer—An International Collaborative Multistakeholder Effortâ€. European Urology, 2020, 77, 223-250.	0.9	132
7	Key Steps in Conducting Systematic Reviews for Underpinning Clinical Practice Guidelines: Methodology of the European Association of Urology. European Urology, 2018, 73, 290-300.	0.9	128
8	Tract Sizes in Miniaturized Percutaneous Nephrolithotomy: A Systematic Review from the European Association of Urology Urolithiasis Guidelines Panel. European Urology, 2017, 72, 220-235.	0.9	119
9	Consensus statements on PSMA PET/CT response assessment criteria in prostate cancer. European Journal of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging, 2021, 48, 469-476.	3.3	119
10	Oncological Outcomes of Laparoscopic Nephroureterectomy Versus Open Radical Nephroureterectomy for Upper Tract Urothelial Carcinoma: An European Association of Urology Guidelines Systematic Review. European Urology Focus, 2019, 5, 205-223.	1.6	103
11	What are the Benefits and Harms of Ureteroscopy Compared with Shock-wave Lithotripsy in the Treatment of Upper Ureteral Stones? A Systematic Review. European Urology, 2017, 72, 772-786.	0.9	98
12	Sentinel Node Procedure in Prostate Cancer: A Systematic Review to Assess Diagnostic Accuracy. European Urology, 2017, 71, 596-605.	0.9	98
13	Antibiotic Prophylaxis for the Prevention of Infectious Complications following Prostate Biopsy: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Journal of Urology, 2020, 204, 224-230.	0.2	85
14	Systematic review and metaâ€analysis of the clinical effectiveness of bipolar compared with monopolar transurethral resection of the prostate ( <scp>TURP</scp> ). BJU International, 2014, 113, 24-35.	1.3	84
15	Nonantibiotic Strategies for the Prevention of Infectious Complications following Prostate Biopsy: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Journal of Urology, 2021, 205, 653-663.	0.2	79
16	Systematic Review of Adrenalectomy and Lymph Node Dissection in Locally Advanced Renal Cell Carcinoma. European Urology, 2013, 64, 799-810.	0.9	78
17	An International Collaborative Consensus Statement on En Bloc Resection of Bladder Tumour Incorporating Two Systematic Reviews, a Two-round Delphi Survey, and a Consensus Meeting. European Urology, 2020, 78, 546-569.	0.9	77
18	Role of Hormonal Treatment in Prostate Cancer Patients with Nonmetastatic Disease Recurrence After Local Curative Treatment: A Systematic Review. European Urology, 2016, 69, 802-820.	0.9	75

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Potential Benefit of Lymph Node Dissection During Radical Nephroureterectomy for Upper Tract Urothelial Carcinoma: A Systematic Review by the European Association of Urology Guidelines Panel on Non–muscle-invasive Bladder Cancer. European Urology Focus, 2019, 5, 224-241.	1.6	74
20	Systematic review of the oncological and functional outcomes of pelvic organâ€preserving radical cystectomy ( <scp>RC</scp> ) compared with standard <scp>RC</scp> in women who undergo curative surgery and orthotopic neobladder substitution for bladder cancer. BJU International, 2017, 120, 12-24.	1.3	63
21	Risks and Benefits of Adjuvant Radiotherapy After Inguinal Lymphadenectomy in Node-positive Penile Cancer: A Systematic Review by the European Association of Urology Penile Cancer Guidelines Panel. European Urology, 2018, 74, 76-83.	0.9	61
22	Sentinel node biopsy for prostate cancer: report from a consensus panel meeting. BJU International, 2017, 120, 204-211.	1.3	51
23	The Impact of Implementation of the European Association of Urology Guidelines Panel Recommendations on Reporting and Grading Complications on Perioperative Outcomes after Robot-assisted Radical Prostatectomy. European Urology, 2018, 74, 4-7.	0.9	50
24	A core outcome set for localised prostate cancer effectiveness trials. BJU International, 2017, 120, E64-E79.	1.3	48
25	A core outcome set for localised prostate cancer effectiveness trials: protocol for a systematic review of the literature and stakeholder involvement through interviews and a Delphi survey. Trials, 2015, 16, 76.	0.7	46
26	Treatment of Bladder Stones in Adults and Children: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis on Behalf of the European Association of Urology Urolithiasis Guideline Panel. European Urology, 2019, 76, 352-367.	0.9	45
27	Oncological and functional outcomes of sexual function–preserving cystectomy compared with standard radical cystectomy in men: A systematic review. Urologic Oncology: Seminars and Original Investigations, 2017, 35, 539.e17-539.e29.	0.8	43
28	A randomized trial comparing three Delphi feedback strategies found no evidence of a difference in a setting with high initial agreement. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2018, 93, 1-8.	2.4	38
29	Is Nonoperative Management the Best First-line Option for High-grade Renal trauma? A Systematic Review. European Urology Focus, 2019, 5, 290-300.	1.6	34
30	Systematic Review of Active Surveillance for Clinically Localised Prostate Cancer to Develop Recommendations Regarding Inclusion of Intermediate-risk Disease, Biopsy Characteristics at Inclusion and Monitoring, and Surveillance Repeat Biopsy Strategy. European Urology, 2022, 81, 337-346.	0.9	33
31	Consensus in Bladder Cancer Research Priorities Between Patients and Healthcare Professionals Using a Four-stage Modified Delphi Method. European Urology, 2019, 76, 258-259.	0.9	30
32	Conflict of Evidence: Resolving Discrepancies When Findings from Randomized Controlled Trials and Meta-analyses Disagree. European Urology, 2017, 71, 811-819.	0.9	23
33	Outcomes of Early Endoscopic Realignment Versus Suprapubic Cystostomy and Delayed Urethroplasty for Pelvic Fracture-related Posterior Urethral Injuries: A Systematic Review. European Urology Focus, 2017, 3, 545-553.	1.6	23
34	What are the unmet supportive care needs of men affected by penile cancer? A systematic review of the empirical evidence. European Journal of Oncology Nursing, 2020, 48, 101805.	0.9	23
35	Orchidopexy for Testicular Torsion: A Systematic Review of Surgical Technique. European Urology Focus, 2021, 7, 1493-1503.	1.6	22
36	Introducing PIONEER: a project to harness big data in prostate cancer research. Nature Reviews Urology, 2020, 17, 351-362.	1.9	18

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	The clinical and cost effectiveness of surgical interventions for stones in the lower pole of the kidney: the percutaneous nephrolithotomy, flexible ureterorenoscopy and extracorporeal shockwave lithotripsy for lower pole kidney stones randomised controlled trial (PUrE RCT) protocol. Trials, 2020, 21, 479.	0.7	16
38	Standardising the Assessment of Patient-reported Outcome Measures in Localised Prostate Cancer. A Systematic Review. European Urology Oncology, 2022, 5, 153-163.	2.6	15
39	Changing Current Practice in Urology: Improving Guideline Development and Implementation Through Stakeholder Engagement. European Urology, 2017, 72, 161-163.	0.9	13
40	Updating and Integrating Core Outcome Sets for Localised, Locally Advanced, Metastatic, and Nonmetastatic Castration-resistant Prostate Cancer: An Update from the PIONEER Consortium. European Urology, 2022, 81, 503-514.	0.9	13
41	"Vicarious thinking―was a key driver of score change in Delphi surveys for COS development and is facilitated by feedback of results. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2020, 128, 118-129.	2.4	12
42	IMAGINEâ€"IMpact Assessment of Guidelines Implementation and Education: The Next Frontier for Harmonising Urological Practice Across Europe by Improving Adherence to Guidelines. European Urology, 2021, 79, 173-176.	0.9	10
43	What influences adherence to guidance for postoperative instillation of intravesical chemotherapy to patients with bladder cancer?. BJU International, 2021, 128, 225-235.	1.3	9
44	Study Protocol for the DETECTIVE Study: An International Collaborative Study To Develop Consensus Statements for Deferred Treatment with Curative Intent for Localised Prostate Cancer. European Urology, 2019, 75, 699-702.	0.9	8
45	Providing a Framework for Meaningful Patient Involvement in Clinical Practice Guideline Development and Implementation. European Urology Focus, 2021, 7, 947-950.	1.6	8
46	European Association of Urology (EAU) guidelines: do we care? Reflections from the EAU Impact Assessment of Guidelines Implementation and Education group. BJU International, 2016, 117, 850-851.	1.3	6
47	The Key Role of Patient Involvement in the Development of Core Outcome Sets in Prostate Cancer. European Urology Focus, 2021, 7, 943-946.	1.6	6
48	Re: Neil E. Martin, Laura Massey, Caleb Stowell, et al. Defining a Standard Set of Patient-centered Outcomes for Men with Localized Prostate Cancer. Eur Urol 2015;67:460–7. European Urology, 2015, 68, e123-e124.	0.9	5
49	How Do We Meet the Supportive Care and Information Needs of Those Living With and Beyond Bladder Cancer?. Frontiers in Oncology, 2020, 10, 465.	1.3	5
50	The need for core outcome sets in urological cancer research. Translational Andrology and Urology, 2021, 10, 2832-2835.	0.6	5
51	The Scottish Bladder Cancer Quality Performance Indicators Influencing Outcomes, Prognosis, and Surveillance (Scot BC Quality OPS) Clinical Project. European Urology Focus, 2021, 7, 905-908.	1.6	5
52	Improving Guideline Adherence in Urology. European Urology Focus, 2022, 8, 1545-1552.	1.6	5
53	Diagnostic and prognostic factors in patients with prostate cancer: a systematic review protocol. BMJ Open, 2021, 11, e040531.	0.8	4
54	Diagnostic and prognostic factors in patients with prostate cancer: a systematic review. BMJ Open, 2022, 12, e058267.	0.8	4

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	Re: Comparative Effectiveness for Survival and Renal Function of Partial and Radical Nephrectomy for Localized Renal Tumors: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Journal of Urology, 2013, 189, 1166-1168.	0.2	3
56	A Systematic Review of Outcome Reporting, Definition and Measurement Heterogeneity in Non-Muscle Invasive Bladder Cancer Effectiveness Trials of Adjuvant, Prophylactic Treatment After Transurethral Resection. Bladder Cancer, 2021, 7, 221-241.	0.2	3
57	A <scp>BURSTâ€BAUS</scp> consensus document for best practice in the conduct of scrotal exploration for suspected testicular torsion: the Finding Consensus for <scp>Orchidopexy</scp> in Torsion ( <scp>FIXâ€IT</scp> ) study. BJU International, 2022, 130, 662-670.	1.3	2
58	Re: Low Adherence to Guidelines in Nonmuscle-invasive Disease. European Urology, 2017, 71, 689.	0.9	1
59	Ensuring Consistent European-Wide Urological Care by the Use of Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines: Can We Do Better. Biomedicine Hub, 2017, 2, 1-7.	0.4	1
60	Knowledge Transfer and Guidelines Implementation in Genitourinary Cancers. European Urology Oncology, 2018, 1, 426-427.	2.6	1
61	Reply to Jon Mikel Inarritu, Daniele Castellani, and Jeremy Y.C. Teoh's Letter to the Editor re: Agustina Bessa, Steven Maclennan, Deborah Enting, et al. Consensus in Bladder Cancer Research Priorities Between Patients and Healthcare Professionals Using a Four-stage Modified Delphi Method. Eur Urol 2019:76:260–1. European Urology. 2019. 76. e45-e46.	0.9	1
62	Is There Outcome Reporting Heterogeneity in Trials That Aim to Assess the Effectiveness of Surgical Treatments for Stress Urinary Incontinence in Women?. European Urology Focus, 2020, 7, 857-868.	1.6	1
63	Reply to Philipp Dahm, Vikram Narayan, and Jae Hung Jung's Letter to the Editor re: Richard J. Sylvester, Steven E. Canfield, Thomas B.L. Lam, et al. Conflict of Evidence: Resolving Discrepancies When Findings from Randomized Controlled Trials and Meta-analyses Disagree. Eur Urol 2017;71:811–9. European Urology, 2017, 72, e93-e94.	0.9	0
64	Re: Maria Carmen Mir, Ithaar Derweesh, Francesco Porpiglia, Homayoun Zargar, Alexandre Mottrie, Riccardo Autorino. Partial Nephrectomy Versus Radical Nephrectomy for Clinical T1b and T2 Renal Tumors: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of Comparative Studies. Eur Urol. 2017;71:606–17. European Urology, 2017, 72, e59-e60.	0.9	0
65	Secondary Treatment for Men with Localized Prostate Cancer: A Pooled Analysis of PRIAS and ERSPC-Rotterdam Data within the PIONEER Data Platform. Journal of Personalized Medicine, 2022, 12, 751.	1.1	O
66	Reply to Ruobing Lei, Yuehuan Li, and Yaolong Chen's Letter to the Editor re: Katharina Beyer, Lisa Moris, Michael Lardas, et al. Updating and Integrating Core Outcome Sets for Localised, Locally Advanced, Metastatic, and Nonmetastatic Castration-resistant Prostate Cancer: An Update from the PIONEER Consortium. Eur Urol 2022;81:503–14. Improving the Methodological Quality of Prostate Cancer Core Outcome Sets in Future Updates. European Urology, 2022, 82, e68-e69.	0.9	O