Jose Gere

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/3671186/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

1162367 940134 22 263 8 16 citations h-index g-index papers 22 22 22 301 all docs docs citations times ranked citing authors

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Methane emission factors for beef cows in Argentina: effect of diet quality. New Zealand Journal of Agricultural Research, 2021, 64, 260-268.	0.9	6
2	Influence of supplemental dietary copper in high roughage rations on nutrient digestibility and methane emission in Holstein bulls. Livestock Science, 2021, 244, 104347.	0.6	2
3	Changes in hematological, biochemical, and blood gases parameters in response to progressive inclusion of nitrate in the diet of Holstein calves. Veterinary World, 2021, 14, 61-69.	0.7	1
4	Methane Emission and Milk Production from Jersey Cows Grazing Perennial Ryegrass–White Clover and Multispecies Forage Mixtures. Agriculture (Switzerland), 2021, 11, 175.	1.4	18
5	Seasonal Effect on Feed Intake and Methane Emissions of Cow–Calf Systems on Native Grassland with Variable Herbage Allowance. Animals, 2021, 11, 882.	1.0	6
6	Enteric methane mitigation strategies for ruminant livestock systems in the Latin America and Caribbean region: A meta-analysis. Journal of Cleaner Production, 2021, 312, 127693.	4.6	42
7	Feed intake, methane yield, and efficiency of utilization of energy and nitrogen by sheep fed tropical grasses. Tropical Animal Health and Production, 2021, 53, 452.	0.5	2
8	Intake, Energy Expenditure and Methane Emissions of Grazing Dairy Cows at Two Pre-Grazing Herbage Masses. Open Journal of Animal Sciences, 2021, 11, 440-457.	0.2	1
9	Dynamics of the ruminal microbial ecosystem, and inhibition of methanogenesis and propiogenesis in response to nitrate feeding to Holstein calves. Animal Nutrition, 2021, 7, 1205-1218.	2.1	6
10	The influence of copper levels on <i>in vitro</i> ruminal fermentation, bacterial growth and methane production. Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture, 2019, 99, 1073-1077.	1.7	14
11	Atmospheric Methane Concentration Allows Estimating Natural Gas Leaks in Heating Systems in Tandil, Argentina. Journal of Environmental Quality, 2019, 48, 762-769.	1.0	4
12	Association between residual feed intake and enteric methane emissions in Hereford steers. Translational Animal Science, 2019, 3, 239-246.	0.4	10
13	Using highly nutritious pastures to mitigate enteric methane emissions from cattle grazing systems in South America. Animal Production Science, 2018, 58, 2329.	0.6	20
14	Temporal variation in methane emissions in a shallow lake at a southern mid latitude during high and low rainfall periods. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment, 2016, 188, 590.	1.3	3
15	Methane emissions from sheep grazing pearl millet (Penissetum americanum (L.) Leeke) swards fertilized with increasing nitrogen levels. Small Ruminant Research, 2016, 141, 118-123.	0.6	13
16	Strong differences in the CH4 emission from feces of grazing steers submitted to different feeding schedules. Animal Feed Science and Technology, 2014, 194, 145-150.	1.1	8
17	Tree plantations on a grassland region: effects on methane uptake by soils. Agroforestry Systems, 2014, 88, 187-191.	0.9	8
18	Grazing intensity and stocking methods on animal production and methane emission by grazing sheep: Implications for integrated crop–livestock system. Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment, 2014, 190, 112-119.	2.5	50

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Extending the Collection Duration of Breath Samples for Enteric Methane Emission Estimation Using the SF6 Tracer Technique. Animals, 2012, 2, 275-287.	1.0	16
20	Methane Emission and Milk Production of Dairy Cows Grazing Pastures Rich in Legumes or Rich in Grasses in Uruguay. Animals, 2012, 2, 288-300.	1.0	27
21	Derivas debidas al azar en una población conformada por grupos que no interactúan. Un posible mecanismo de autorregulación Anales De La Asociacion Fisica Argentina, 2012, 22, 102-108.	0.1	O
22	First measurements of methane emitted by grazing cattle of the Argentinean beef system. New Zealand Journal of Agricultural Research, 2008, 51, 209-219.	0.9	6