
## Michael A Xenos

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/3642682/publications.pdf Version: 2024-02-01



#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Social media news deserts: Digital inequalities and incidental news exposure on social media platforms. New Media and Society, 2024, 26, 368-388.	5.0	13
2	Polarized platforms? How partisanship shapes perceptions of "algorithmic news bias― New Media and Society, 2023, 25, 2833-2854.	5.0	9
3	Networks and Selective Avoidance: How Social Media Networks Influence Unfriending and Other Avoidance Behaviors. Social Science Computer Review, 2023, 41, 1017-1038.	4.2	9
4	The blind spots of measuring online news exposure: a comparison of self-reported and observational data in nine countries. Information, Communication and Society, 2023, 26, 2088-2106.	4.0	6
5	Incidental news exposure via social media and political participation: Evidence of reciprocal effects. New Media and Society, 2022, 24, 178-201.	5.0	30
6	Enhanced threat or therapeutic benefit? Risk and benefit perceptions of human gene editing by purpose and heritability of edits. Journal of Risk Research, 2022, 25, 139-155.	2.6	3
7	Whose AI? How different publics think about AI and its social impacts. Computers in Human Behavior, 2022, 130, 107182.	8.5	21
8	The science of YouTube: What factors influence user engagement with online science videos?. PLoS ONE, 2022, 17, e0267697.	2.5	22
9	Publics' Support for Novel and Established Science Issues Linked to Perceived Knowledge and Deference to Science. International Journal of Public Opinion Research, 2021, 33, 422-431.	1.3	8
10	Political and personality predispositions and topical contexts matter: Effects of uncivil comments on science news engagement intentions. New Media and Society, 2021, 23, 894-919.	5.0	9
11	The state of GMOs on social media. Politics and the Life Sciences, 2021, 40, 40-55.	0.7	5
12	Learning without seeking?: Incidental exposure to science news on social media & knowledge of gene editing. Journal of Science Communication, 2021, 20, A01.	0.8	4
13	Deference and decision-making in science and society: How deference to scientific authority goes beyond confidence in science and scientists to become authoritarianism. Public Understanding of Science, 2020, 29, 800-818.	2.8	27
14	What Do We (Not) Know About Global Views of Human Gene Editing? Insights and Blind Spots in the CRISPR Era. CRISPR Journal, 2020, 3, 148-155.	2.9	17
15	Saw It on Facebook: The Role of Social Media in Facilitating Science Issue Awareness. Social Media and Society, 2020, 6, 205630512093041.	3.0	11
16	Scientists' and the Publics' Views of Synthetic Biology. Risk, Systems and Decisions, 2020, , 371-387.	0.8	1
17	Social distraction? Social media use and political knowledge in two U.S. Presidential elections. Computers in Human Behavior, 2019, 90, 18-25.	8.5	72
18	Seeing through risk-colored glasses: Risk and benefit perceptions, knowledge, and the politics of fracking in the United States. Energy Research and Social Science, 2019, 55, 168-178.	6.4	20

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Engagement present and future: Graduate student and faculty perceptions of social media and the role of the public in science engagement. PLoS ONE, 2019, 14, e0216274.	2.5	20
20	Public views about editing genes in wildlife for conservation. Conservation Biology, 2019, 33, 1286-1295.	4.7	39
21	Building Better Bridges: Toward a Transdisciplinary Science Communication. Technical Communication Quarterly, 2019, 28, 112-123.	1.6	2
22	Distinguishing scientific knowledge: The impact of different measures of knowledge on genetically modified food attitudes. Public Understanding of Science, 2019, 28, 449-467.	2.8	28
23	Are attitudes toward labeling nano products linked to attitudes toward GMO? Exploring a potential †spillover' effect for attitudes toward controversial technologies. Journal of Responsible Innovation, 2019, 6, 50-74.	4.9	27
24	The effect of comment moderation on perceived bias in science news. Information, Communication and Society, 2019, 22, 129-146.	4.0	19
25	Ukrainian nationalist parties and connective action: an analysis of electoral campaigning and social media sentiments. Information, Communication and Society, 2019, 22, 1376-1395.	4.0	10
26	Uncivil and personal? Comparing patterns of incivility in comments on the Facebook pages of news outlets. New Media and Society, 2018, 20, 3678-3699.	5.0	97
27	Scientists Joking on Social Media: An Empirical Analysis of #overlyhonestmethods. Science Communication, 2018, 40, 314-339.	3.3	21
28	Is Facebook Making Us Dumber? Exploring Social Media Use as a Predictor of Political Knowledge. Journalism and Mass Communication Quarterly, 2018, 95, 404-424.	2.7	67
29	Toxic Talk: How Online Incivility Can Undermine Perceptions of Media. International Journal of Public Opinion Research, 2018, 30, 156-168.	1.3	115
30	Opposing ends of the spectrum: Exploring trust in scientific and religious authorities. Public Understanding of Science, 2018, 27, 11-28.	2.8	41
31	It's not cricket: examining political discussion in nonpolitical online space. Information, Communication and Society, 2018, 21, 1571-1587.	4.0	10
32	Rethinking Social Amplification of Risk: Social Media and Zika in Three Languages. Risk Analysis, 2018, 38, 2599-2624.	2.7	69
33	National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and MedicineÂreport on genetically engineered crops influences publicÂdiscourse. Politics and the Life Sciences, 2018, 37, 250-261.	0.7	17
34	Protective Progressives to Distrustful Traditionalists: A Post Hoc Segmentation Method for Science Communication. Environmental Communication, 2018, 12, 1023-1045.	2.5	8
35	The Values of Synthetic Biology: Researcher Views of Their Field and Participation in Public Engagement. BioScience, 2018, 68, 782-791.	4.9	6
36	Understanding variations in user response to social media campaigns: A study of Facebook posts in the 2010 US elections. New Media and Society, 2017, 19, 826-842.	5.0	51

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Analyzing public sentiments online: combining human- and computer-based content analysis. Information, Communication and Society, 2017, 20, 406-427.	4.0	71
38	The case of #arseniclife: Blogs and Twitter in informal peer review. Public Understanding of Science, 2017, 26, 937-952.	2.8	25
39	How do U.S. state residents form opinions about â€~fracking' in social contexts? A multilevel analysis. Energy Policy, 2017, 106, 345-355.	8.8	39
40	Selective perception of novel science: how definitions affect information processing about nanotechnology. Journal of Nanoparticle Research, 2017, 19, 1.	1.9	2
41	Information-Sharing and Community-Building: Exploring the Use of Twitter in Science Public Relations. Science Communication, 2017, 39, 569-597.	3.3	48
42	U.S. attitudes on human genome editing. Science, 2017, 357, 553-554.	12.6	104
43	Politicians, celebrities and social media: a case of informalisation?. Journal of Youth Studies, 2017, 20, 127-144.	2.3	29
44	Citizens Making Sense of Science Issues. , 2017, , .		0
45	"Shared―Information in the Age of Big Data. Journalism and Mass Communication Quarterly, 2016, 93, 430-445.	2.7	12
46	Attitudinal gaps: How experts and lay audiences form policy attitudes toward controversial science. Science and Public Policy, 2016, 43, 196-206.	2.4	14
47	Everyday Making through Facebook Engagement: Young Citizens' Political Interactions in Australia, the United Kingdom and the United States. Political Studies, 2016, 64, 513-533.	3.0	48
48	Performing for the young networked citizen? Celebrity politics, social networking and the political engagement of young people. Media, Culture and Society, 2016, 38, 400-419.	3.1	41
49	Tweeting disaster: an analysis of online discourse about nuclear power in the wake of the Fukushima Daiichi nuclear accident. Journal of Science Communication, 2016, 15, A02.	0.8	20
50	Campus Politics, Student Societies and Social Media. Sociological Review, 2015, 63, 820-839.	1.6	39
51	New Media Audiences' Perceptions of Male and Female Scientists in Two Sci-Fi Movies. Bulletin of Science, Technology and Society, 2015, 35, 93-103.	2.9	3
52	Value predispositions as perceptual filters: Comparing of public attitudes toward nanotechnology in the United States and Singapore. Public Understanding of Science, 2015, 24, 582-600.	2.8	37
53	Policy decision-making, public involvement and nuclear energy: what do expert stakeholders think and why?. Journal of Responsible Innovation, 2015, 2, 266-279.	4.9	5
54	Beyond lifestyle politics in a time of crisis?: comparing young peoples' issue agendas and views on inequality. Policy Studies, 2015, 36, 532-549.	1.6	12

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	Selecting Our Own Science. Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science, 2015, 658, 172-191.	1.6	46
56	Science News Consumption Patterns and Their Implications for Public Understanding of Science. Journalism and Mass Communication Quarterly, 2015, 92, 597-616.	2.7	54
57	Young people, social media and connective action: from organisational maintenance to everyday political talk. Journal of Youth Studies, 2015, 18, 80-100.	2.3	169
58	Research on the political implications of political entertainment. , 2015, , .		1
59	Building Buzz. Journalism and Mass Communication Quarterly, 2014, 91, 772-791.	2.7	101
60	Misperceptions in Polarized Politics: The Role of Knowledge, Religiosity, and Media. PS - Political Science and Politics, 2014, 47, 654-661.	0.5	16
61	Disentangling the Influence of Value Predispositions and Risk/Benefit Perceptions on Support for Nanotechnology Among the American Public. Risk Analysis, 2014, 34, 965-980.	2.7	37
62	Inequalities in Scientific Understanding. Science Communication, 2014, 36, 352-378.	3.3	47
63	The networked young citizen: social media, political participation and civic engagement. Information, Communication and Society, 2014, 17, 143-150.	4.0	235
64	Partisan amplification of risk: American perceptions of nuclear energy risk in the wake of the Fukushima Daiichi disaster. Energy Policy, 2014, 67, 727-736.	8.8	55
65	The "Nasty Effect:―Online Incivility and Risk Perceptions of Emerging Technologies. Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication, 2014, 19, 373-387.	3.3	514
66	The great equalizer? Patterns of social media use and youth political engagement in three advanced democracies. Information, Communication and Society, 2014, 17, 151-167.	4.0	300
67	Disconnected discourses. Materials Today, 2014, 17, 48-49.	14.2	2
68	Tweeting nano: how public discourses about nanotechnology develop in social media environments. Journal of Nanoparticle Research, 2013, 15, 1.	1.9	45
69	What's in a name? How we define nanotech shapes public reactions. Journal of Nanoparticle Research, 2013, 15, 1.	1.9	7
70	Coverage of emerging technologies: A comparison between print and online media. New Media and Society, 2012, 14, 1039-1059.	5.0	97
71	Information snapshots: What Google searches really tell us about emerging technologies. Nano Today, 2012, 7, 72-75.	11.9	4
72	Narratives and Network Organization: A Comparison of Fair Trade Systems in Two Nations. Journal of Communication, 2011, 61, 219-245.	3.7	30

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
73	Stimulating Upstream Engagement: An Experimental Study of Nanotechnology Information Seeking. Social Science Quarterly, 2011, 92, 1191-1214.	1.6	6
74	Sizing UpThe Daily Show: Audience Perceptions of Political Comedy Programming. Atlantic Journal of Communication, 2010, 18, 144-157.	1.0	24
75	Candidates' Web Practices in the 2002 U.S. House, Senate, and Gubernatorial Elections. Journal of Political Marketing, 2009, 8, 147-167.	2.0	13
76	Moments of Zen: Effects of <i>The Daily Show</i> on Information Seeking and Political Learning. Political Communication, 2009, 26, 317-332.	3.9	130
77	New Mediated Deliberation: Blog and Press Coverage of the Alito Nomination. Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication, 2008, 13, 485-503.	3.3	62
78	Rocking the Vote and More: An Experimental Study of the Impact of Youth Political Portals. Journal of Information Technology and Politics, 2008, 5, 175-189.	2.9	10
79	The Disconnection In Online Politics: the youth political web sphere and US election sites, 2002–2004. Information, Communication and Society, 2007, 10, 443-464.	4.0	28
80	Priming Effects of Late-Night Comedy. International Journal of Public Opinion Research, 2006, 18, 198-210.	1.3	115
81	Politics As Usual, or Politics Unusual? Position Taking and Dialogue on Campaign Websites in the 2002 U.S. Elections. Journal of Communication, 2005, 55, 169-185.	3.7	98
82	Communication and Citizenship: Mapping the Political Effects of Infotainment. Mass Communication and Society, 2005, 8, 111-131.	2.1	125
83	Politics As Usual, or Politics Unusual? Position Taking and Dialogue on Campaign Websites in the 2002 U.S. Elections. Journal of Communication, 2005, 55, 169-185.	3.7	0
84	Dimensional Reduction of Word-Frequency Data as a Substitute for Intersubjective Content Analysis. Political Analysis, 2004, 12, 63-75.	3.3	31
85	Elite Messages and Source Cues: Moving Beyond Partisanship. Political Communication, 2000, 17, 395-402.	3.9	14
86	Media Framing and Effective Public Deliberation. Political Communication, 2000, 17, 363-376.	3.9	111
87	Direct and Differential Effects of the Internet on Political and Civic Engagement. Journal of Communication, 0, 57, 704-718.	3.7	340
88	Of Attitudes and Engagement: Clarifying the Reciprocal Relationship Between Civic Attitudes and Political Participation. Journal of Communication, 0, 60, 318-343.	3.7	93
89	Mapping the Landscape of Public Attitudes on Synthetic Biology. BioScience, 0, , biw171.	4.9	22
90	The Polls—Trends. Public Opinion Quarterly, 0, , .	1.6	28

6

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
91	Analyzing Linking Practices: Candidate Sites in the 2002 US Electoral Web Sphere. Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication, 0, 8, 0-0.	3.3	55
92	Effects of Campaign-to-User and Text-Based Interactivity in Political Candidate Campaign Web sites. Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication, 0, 10, 00-00.	3.3	53
93	Surveys Underestimate Online News Exposure: A Comparison of Self-Reported and Observational Data in Nine Countries. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	4