Angus G K Mcnair

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/3641632/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

67 papers	2,959 citations	279798 23 h-index	52 g-index
71	71	71	5511 citing authors
all docs	docs citations	times ranked	

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	The COMET Handbook: version 1.0. Trials, 2017, 18, 280.	1.6	1,128
2	Reporting of Short-Term Clinical Outcomes After Esophagectomy. Annals of Surgery, 2012, 255, 658-666.	4.2	243
3	Population-based cohort study of outcomes following cholecystectomy for benign gallbladder diseases. British Journal of Surgery, 2016, 103, 1704-1715.	0.3	84
	RE-CODE DCM ($\langle i \rangle$ RE $\langle i \rangle$ search Objectives and $\langle i \rangle$ C $\langle i \rangle$ ommon $\langle i \rangle$ D $\langle i \rangle$ ata $\langle i \rangle$ E $\langle i \rangle$ lements for) Tj ETQq0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	rgBT /Ove	erlock 10 Tf 50
4	Efficiency in DCM, Through Establishment of a Standardized Dataset for Clinical Research and the Definition of the Research Priorities. Global Spine Journal, 2019, 9, 65S-76S.	2.3	83
5	Core Outcomes for Colorectal Cancer Surgery: A Consensus Study. PLoS Medicine, 2016, 13, e1002071.	8.4	82
6	The Role of Health-Related Quality of Life Outcomes in Clinical Decision Making in Surgery for Esophageal Cancer: A Systematic Review. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2008, 15, 2372-2379.	1.5	78
7	Three nested randomized controlled trials of peer-only or multiple stakeholder group feedback within Delphi surveys during core outcome and information set development. Trials, 2016, 17, 409.	1.6	74
8	What surgeons tell patients and what patients want to know before major cancer surgery: a qualitative study. BMC Cancer, 2016, 16, 258.	2.6	73
9	Critical research gaps and recommendations to inform research prioritisation for more effective prevention and improved outcomes in colorectal cancer. Gut, 2018, 67, 179-193.	12.1	73
10	Core information set for oesophageal cancer surgery. British Journal of Surgery, 2015, 102, 936-943.	0.3	70
11	Developing a core outcome set for fistulising perianal Crohn's disease. Gut, 2019, 68, 226-238.	12.1	64
12	Health-related quality of life and survival in the 2years after surgery for gastric cancer. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2010, 36, 148-154.	1.0	62
13	Preoperative risk factors for conversion from laparoscopic to open cholecystectomy: a validated risk score derived from a prospective U.K. database of 8820 patients. Hpb, 2016, 18, 922-928.	0.3	56
14	Maximising recruitment into randomised controlled trials: The role of multidisciplinary cancer teams. European Journal of Cancer, 2008, 44, 2623-2626.	2.8	53
15	A systematic review of outcome reporting in colorectal cancer surgery. Colorectal Disease, 2013, 15, e548-60.	1.4	53
16	Communicating the Results of Randomized Clinical Trials: Do Patients Understand Multidimensional Patient-Reported Outcomes?. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2010, 28, 738-743.	1.6	46
17	Synthesis and summary of patientâ€reported outcome measures to inform the development of a core outcome set in colorectal cancer surgery. Colorectal Disease, 2015, 17, O217-29.	1.4	42
18	Cost-effectiveness of emergency <i>versus</i> delayed laparoscopic cholecystectomy for acute gallbladder pathology. British Journal of Surgery, 2016, 104, 98-107.	0.3	39

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Review article: pathogenesis of Crohn's perianal fistulaâ€"understanding factors impacting on success and failure of treatment strategies. Alimentary Pharmacology and Therapeutics, 2018, 48, 260-269.	3.7	39
20	Population-based cohort study of variation in the use of emergency cholecystectomy for benign gallbladder diseases. British Journal of Surgery, 2016, 103, 1716-1726.	0.3	35
21	Outcomes of Degenerative Cervical Myelopathy From The Perspective of Persons Living With the Condition: Findings of a Semistructured Interview Process With Partnered Internet Survey. Global Spine Journal, 2022, 12, 432-440.	2.3	33
22	Core information sets for informed consent to surgical interventions: baseline information of importance to patients and clinicians. BMC Medical Ethics, 2017, 18, 29.	2.4	29
23	A national patient and public colorectal research agenda: integration of consumer perspectives in bowel disease through early consultation. Colorectal Disease, 2017, 19, 075-085.	1.4	29
24	What surgeons should tell patients with oesophago-gastric cancer: A cross sectional study of information needs. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2013, 39, 1278-1286.	1.0	23
25	Clinical outcome measures and their evidence base in degenerative cervical myelopathy: a systematic review to inform a core measurement set (AO Spine RECODE-DCM). BMJ Open, 2022, 12, e057650.	1.9	22
26	Standards of Outcome Reporting in Surgical Oncology: A Case Study in Esophageal Cancer. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2012, 19, 4012-4018.	1.5	21
27	Students' participation in collaborative research should be recognised. International Journal of Surgery, 2017, 39, 234-237.	2.7	20
28	Ethical Issues Across the IDEAL Stages of Surgical Innovation. Annals of Surgery, 2019, 269, 229-233.	4.2	19
29	Protocol for the UK cohort study to investigate the prevention of parastomal hernia (the CIPHER) Tj ETQq $1\ 1\ 0$.7843]4 rg	BT /Qverlock
30	Prevailing Outcome Themes Reported by People With Degenerative Cervical Myelopathy: Focus Group Study. JMIR Formative Research, 2021, 5, e18732.	1.4	18
31	The colorectal surgeon's personality may influence the rectal anastomotic decision. Colorectal Disease, 2018, 20, 970-980.	1.4	17
32	Development of a core information set for colorectal cancer surgery: a consensus study. BMJ Open, 2019, 9, e028623.	1.9	16
33	A Core Outcome Set for Seamless, Standardized Evaluation of Innovative Surgical Procedures and Devices (COHESIVE). Annals of Surgery, 2023, 277, 238-245.	4.2	16
34	The Prognostic Value of Patient-Reported Outcome Data in Patients With Colorectal Hepatic Metastases Who Underwent Surgery. Clinical Colorectal Cancer, 2016, 15, 74-81.e1.	2.3	15
35	Optimising methods for communicating survival data to patients undergoing cancer surgery. European Journal of Cancer, 2010, 46, 3192-3199.	2.8	14
36	Gathering Global Perspectives to Establish the Research Priorities and Minimum Data Sets for Degenerative Cervical Myelopathy: Sampling Strategy of the First Round Consensus Surveys of AO Spine RECODE-DCM. Global Spine Journal, 2022, 12, 8S-18S.	2.3	13

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Outcome selection, measurement and reporting for new surgical procedures and devices: a systematic review of IDEAL/IDEAL-D studies to inform development of a core outcome set. BJS Open, 2020, 4, 1072-1083.	1.7	12
38	Increasing awareness of degenerative cervical myelopathy: a preventative cause of non-traumatic spinal cord injury. Spinal Cord, 2021, 59, 1216-1218.	1.9	12
39	Health-related quality-of-life assessment in GI cancer randomized trials: improving the impact on clinical practice. Expert Review of Pharmacoeconomics and Outcomes Research, 2009, 9, 559-567.	1.4	11
40	Core information set for informed consent to surgery for oral or oropharyngeal cancer: A mixedâ€methods study. Clinical Otolaryngology, 2018, 43, 624-631.	1,2	11
41	Discussing surgical innovation with patients: a qualitative study of surgeons' and governance representatives' views. BMJ Open, 2020, 10, e035251.	1.9	10
42	Patient-Reported Outcome Measures in Colorectal Surgery: Construction of Core Measures Using Open-Source Research Method. Surgical Innovation, 2021, 28, 560-566.	0.9	9
43	The development of lived experience-centered word clouds to support research uncertainty gathering in degenerative cervical myelopathy: results from an engagement process and protocol for their evaluation, via a nested randomized controlled trial. Trials, 2021, 22, 415.	1.6	9
44	Assessing the quality of written information provision for surgical procedures: a case study in oesophagectomy. BMJ Open, 2015, 5, e008536.	1.9	9
45	Informed Consent and the Reasonable-Patient Standard. JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association, 2016, 316, 992.	7.4	8
46	Outcomes following small bowel obstruction due to malignancy in the national audit of small bowel obstruction. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2019, 45, 2319-2324.	1.0	8
47	A scoping review of information provided within degenerative cervical myelopathy education resources: Towards enhancing shared decision making. PLoS ONE, 2022, 17, e0268220.	2.5	8
48	Development of a core measurement set for research in degenerative cervical myelopathy: a study protocol (AO Spine RECODE-DCM CMS). BMJ Open, 2022, 12, e060436.	1.9	8
49	A systematic review and inâ€depth analysis of outcome reporting in early phase studies of colorectal cancer surgical innovation. Colorectal Disease, 2020, 22, 1862-1873.	1.4	6
50	Reporting outcomes of definitive radiation-based treatment for esophageal cancer: a review of the literature. Ecological Management and Restoration, 2015, 28, 156-163.	0.4	4
51	A semiâ€Markov model comparing the lifetime costâ€effectiveness of mesh prophylaxis to prevent parastomal hernia in patients undergoing end colostomy creation for rectal cancer. Colorectal Disease, 2021, 23, 2967-2979.	1.4	4
52	†Trial Exegesis': Methods for Synthesizing Clinical and Patient Reported Outcome (PRO) Data in Trials to Inform Clinical Practice. A Systematic Review. PLoS ONE, 2016, 11, e0160998.	2.5	4
53	Reporting Modifications in Surgical Innovation: A Systematic Scoping Review Protocol. International Journal of Surgery Protocols, 2021, 25, 250-256.	1.1	4
54	The development of a colorectal cancer surgery core outcome set. Trials, 2015, 16, .	1.6	2

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	Trial outcomes and information for clinical decision-making: a comparative study of opinions of health professionals. Trials, 2016, 17, 344.	1.6	2
56	Using qualitative research methods to understand how surgical procedures and devices are introduced into NHS hospitals: the Lotus study protocol. BMJ Open, 2021, 11, e049234.	1.9	2
57	Commentary: Talking to patients about surgical innovations. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2011, 342, d2871-d2871.	2.3	1
58	Incorporating patient reported outcomes (PROs) in gastro-intestinal (GI) cancer randomised controlled trials (RCTs): the need for adequate rationale and integrated reporting. Trials, 2011, 12, .	1.6	1
59	Patient perceptions regarding the likelihood of cure after surgical resection of lung and colorectal cancer. Cancer, 2015, 121, 4443-4444.	4.1	1
60	Three nested RCTs of dual or single stakeholder feedback within Delphi surveys during core outcome and information set development. Trials, $2015,16,16$	1.6	1
61	The NCRI future of surgery initiative: Defining a research agenda for outcomes of surgical randomized controlled trials. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2016, 42, S229-S230.	1.0	1
62	Comment on: Bioethical approach to robot-assisted surgery. British Journal of Surgery, 2019, 107, 150-150.	0.3	1
63	The community burden of surgical site infection following elective colorectal resection. Colorectal Disease, 2021, 23, 724-731.	1.4	1
64	Identification of outcomes to inform the development of a core outcome set for surgical innovation: a targeted review of case studies of novel surgical devices. BMJ Open, 2022, 12, e056003.	1.9	1
65	Core outcomes for randomized trials and core information for clinical decision-making: implications for outcome selection. Trials, $2015,16,.$	1.6	0
66	OWE-009â€Developing a core outcome set for fistulising perianal crohn's disease. , 2018, , .		0
67	Response to Comment on "Ethical Issues Across the IDEAL Stages of Surgical Innovation― Annals of Surgery, 2019, 270, e132-e133.	4.2	0