Richard Popert

List of Publications by Year
in descending order

20	774	840776 11	794594 19
papers	citations	h-index	g-index

20	20	20	1124
all docs	docs citations	times ranked	citing authors

#	Article	IF	Citations
1	EXIT from TRansrectal prostate biopsies (TREXIT): sepsis rates of transrectal biopsy with rectal swab culture guided antimicrobials versus freehand transperineal biopsy. Prostate Cancer and Prostatic Diseases, 2022, 25, 283-287.	3.9	9
2	Prostate Artery Embolization in Patients above Eighty Years Old: Clinical Efficacy and Safety. The Arab Journal of Interventional Radiology, 2022, 06, 063-071.	0.1	1
3	A crossâ€section of UK prostate cancer diagnostics during the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVIDâ€19) era â€“ a shifting paradigm?. BJU International, 2021, 127, 30-34.	2.5	9
4	Local anaesthetic transperineal (LATP) prostate biopsy using a probeâ€mounted transperineal access system: a multicentre prospective outcome analysis. BJU International, 2021, 128, 311-318.	2.5	28
5	Oncological outcomes of salvage radical prostatectomy for recurrent prostate cancer in the contemporary era: A multicenter retrospective study. Urologic Oncology: Seminars and Original Investigations, 2021, 39, 296.e21-296.e29.	1.6	24
6	Recent Advances in Systematic and Targeted Prostate Biopsies. Research and Reports in Urology, 2021, Volume 13, 799-809.	1.0	8
7	Timing of radiotherapy after radical prostatectomy (RADICALS-RT): a randomised, controlled phase 3 trial. Lancet, The, 2020, 396, 1413-1421.	13.7	226
8	â€œTREXIT 2020â€: why the time to abandon transrectal prostate biopsy starts now. Prostate Cancer and Prostatic Diseases, 2020, 23, 62-65.	3.9	68
9	The clinical and financial implications of a decade of prostate biopsies in the NHS: analysis of Hospital Episode Statistics data 2008â€“2019. BJU International, 2020, 126, 133-141.	2.5	40
10	Never mind Brexit, it's time to celebrate â€˜TRexitâ€™. Trends in Urology & Men's Health, 2019, 10, 26-26.	0.4	2
11	Salvage Radical Prostatectomy for Recurrent Prostate Cancer: Morbidity and Functional Outcomes from a Large Multicenter Series of Open versus Robotic Approaches. Journal of Urology, 2019, 202, 725-731.	0.4	62
12	Prostate artery embolization. BJU International, 2018, 122, 167-168.	2.5	4
13	Systematic transperineal and magnetic resonance imagingâ€targeted biopsies: the resolution of uncertainty. BJU International, 2018, 122, 6-7.	2.5	0
14	Diagnostic value of MRI-based PSA density in predicting transperineal sector-guided prostate biopsy outcomes. International Urology and Nephrology, 2017, 49, 1335-1342.	1.4	12
15	Toward an MRI-based nomogram for the prediction of transperineal prostate biopsy outcome: A physician and patient decision tool. Urologic Oncology: Seminars and Original Investigations, 2017, 35, 664.e11-664.e18.	1.6	24
16	Confirmatory biopsy for the assessment of prostate cancer in men considering active surveillance: reference centre experience. Ecancermedicalscience, 2016, 10, 633.	1.1	6
17	Structured and Modular Training Pathway for Robot-assisted Radical Prostatectomy (RARP): Validation of the RARP Assessment Score and Learning Curve Assessment. European Urology, 2016, 69, 526-535.	1.9	80
18	Transperineal Sector Prostate Biopsies: AÂLocal Anesthetic Outpatient Technique. Urology, 2014, 83, 1344-1349.	1.0	41

#	Article	IF	Citations
19	Definitions of terms, processes and a minimum dataset for transperineal prostate biopsies: a standardization approach of the <scp>G</scp>insburg <scp>S</scp>tudy <scp>G</scp>roup for <scp>E</scp>nhanced <scp>P</scp>rostate <scp>D</scp>iagnostics. BJU International, 2013, 112, 568-577.	2.5	125
20	Transperineal magnetic resonance imaging â€“ ultrasound fusion targeted biopsies (<scp>MRI</scp>â€<scp>US FTB</scp>) of the prostate: the future of prostate diagnostics. BJU International, 2013, 112, 537-538.	2.5	5