Petra Hillinger

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/3489751/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

24 papers 1,446 citations

430874 18 h-index 24 g-index

24 all docs

24 docs citations

times ranked

24

1630 citing authors

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Prospective validation of a 1-hour algorithm to rule-out and rule-in acute myocardial infarction using a high-sensitivity cardiac troponin T assay. Cmaj, 2015, 187, E243-E252.	2.0	195
2	Optimal Cutoff Levels of More Sensitive Cardiac Troponin Assays for the Early Diagnosis of Myocardial Infarction in Patients With Renal Dysfunction. Circulation, 2015, 131, 2041-2050.	1.6	174
3	One-hour Rule-in and Rule-out of Acute Myocardial Infarction Using High-sensitivity Cardiac Troponin I. American Journal of Medicine, 2015, 128, 861-870.e4.	1.5	174
4	Direct Comparison of 4 Very Early Rule-Out Strategies for Acute Myocardial Infarction Using High-Sensitivity Cardiac Troponin I. Circulation, 2017, 135, 1597-1611.	1.6	138
5	Misdiagnosis of Myocardial Infarction Related to Limitations of the Current Regulatory Approach to Define Clinical Decision Values for Cardiac Troponin. Circulation, 2015, 131, 2032-2040.	1.6	111
6	Characterization of the observe zone of the ESC 2015 high-sensitivity cardiac troponin 0 h/1 h-algorithm for the early diagnosis of acute myocardial infarction. International Journal of Cardiology, 2016, 207, 238-245.	1.7	85
7	Clinical Effect of Sex-Specific Cutoff Values of High-Sensitivity Cardiac Troponin T in Suspected Myocardial Infarction. JAMA Cardiology, 2016, 1, 912.	6.1	75
8	Diurnal Rhythm of Cardiac Troponin: Consequences for the Diagnosis of Acute Myocardial Infarction. Clinical Chemistry, 2016, 62, 1602-1611.	3.2	71
9	Safety and efficacy of the 0 h/3 h protocol for rapid rule out of myocardial infarction. American Heart Journal, $2016,181,16\text{-}25.$	2.7	63
10	Direct Comparison of Cardiac Myosin-Binding Protein C With Cardiac Troponins for the Early Diagnosis of Acute Myocardial Infarction. Circulation, 2017, 136, 1495-1508.	1.6	63
11	Incremental value of copeptin to highly sensitive cardiac Troponin I for rapid rule-out of myocardial infarction. International Journal of Cardiology, 2015, 190, 170-176.	1.7	44
12	Clinical Use of a New High-Sensitivity Cardiac Troponin I Assay in Patients with Suspected Myocardial Infarction. Clinical Chemistry, 2019, 65, 1426-1436.	3.2	41
13	Early diagnosis of acute myocardial infarction in patients with mild elevations of cardiac troponin. Clinical Research in Cardiology, 2017, 106, 457-467.	3.3	35
14	Incremental Value of a Single High-sensitivity Cardiac Troponin I Measurement to Rule Out Myocardial Ischemia. American Journal of Medicine, 2015, 128, 638-646.	1.5	31
15	Prospective validation of current quantitative electrocardiographic criteria for ST-elevation myocardial infarction. International Journal of Cardiology, 2019, 292, 1-12.	1.7	27
16	Incremental value of copeptin in suspected acute myocardial infarction very early after symptom onset. European Heart Journal: Acute Cardiovascular Care, 2016, 5, 407-415.	1.0	23
17	Prevalence, characteristics and outcome of non-cardiac chest pain and elevated copeptin levels. Heart, 2014, 100, 1708-1714.	2.9	22
18	Clinical impact of the 2010–2012 low-end shift of high-sensitivity cardiac troponin T. European Heart Journal: Acute Cardiovascular Care, 2016, 5, 399-408.	1.0	20

#	Article	IF	CITATION
19	Diagnostic and prognostic values of the V-index, a novel ECG marker quantifying spatial heterogeneity of ventricular repolarization, in patients with symptoms suggestive of non-ST-elevation myocardial infarction. International Journal of Cardiology, 2017, 236, 23-29.	1.7	16
20	Gender-specific uncertainties in the diagnosis of acute coronary syndrome. Clinical Research in Cardiology, 2017, 106, 28-37.	3.3	16
21	Effects of hemolysis on the diagnostic accuracy of cardiac troponin I for the diagnosis of myocardial infarction. International Journal of Cardiology, 2015, 187, 313-315.	1.7	8
22	Diagnostic value of ST-segment deviations during cardiac exercise stress testing: Systematic comparison of different ECG leads and time-points. International Journal of Cardiology, 2017, 238, 166-172.	1.7	7
23	Incidence and Predictors of Cardiomyocyte Injury in Elective Coronary Angiography. American Journal of Medicine, 2016, 129, 537.e1-537.e8.	1.5	4
24	Diagnostic and prognostic value of ST-segment deviation scores in suspected acute myocardial infarction. European Heart Journal: Acute Cardiovascular Care, 2020, 9, 857-868.	1.0	3