Sarah J Price

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/3462373/publications.pdf Version: 2024-02-01



SADAH | DDICE

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Risk of cancer following primary care presentation with fatigue: a population-based cohort study of a quarter of a million patients. British Journal of Cancer, 2022, 126, 1627-1636.	2.9	9
2	Intra-abdominal cancer risk with abdominal pain: a prospective cohort primary care study. British Journal of General Practice, 2022, 72, e361-e368.	0.7	3
3	Diagnoses after newly recorded abdominal pain in primary care: observational cohort study. British Journal of General Practice, 2022, 72, e564-e570.	0.7	5
4	Ethnic inequalities in routes to diagnosis of cancer: a population-based UK cohort study. British Journal of Cancer, 2022, 127, 863-871.	2.9	12
5	Assessing Ethnic Inequalities in Diagnostic Interval of Common Cancers: A Population-Based UK Cohort Study. Cancers, 2022, 14, 3085.	1.7	5
6	CA125 test result, test-to-diagnosis interval, and stage in ovarian cancer at diagnosis: a retrospective cohort study using electronic health records. British Journal of General Practice, 2021, 71, e465-e472.	0.7	13
7	Changes in presentations with features potentially indicating cancer in primary care during the COVID-19 pandemic: a retrospective cohort study. BMJ Open, 2021, 11, e050131.	0.8	6
8	Guideline interval: A new time interval in the diagnostic pathway for symptomatic cancer. Cancer Epidemiology, 2021, 73, 101969.	0.8	1
9	Predictive values for different cancers and inflammatory bowel disease of 6 common abdominal symptoms among more than 1.9 million primary care patients in the UK: A cohort study. PLoS Medicine, 2021, 18, e1003708.	3.9	15
10	The impact of changing risk thresholds on the number of people in England eligible for urgent investigation for possible cancer: an observational cross-sectional study. British Journal of Cancer, 2021, 125, 1593-1597.	2.9	15
11	Quantifying the impact of pre-existing conditions on the stage of oesophagogastric cancer at diagnosis: a primary care cohort study using electronic medical records. Family Practice, 2021, 38, 425-431.	0.8	0
12	Association of non-malignant diseases with thrombocytosis: a prospective cohort study in general practice. British Journal of General Practice, 2020, 70, e852-e857.	0.7	0
13	Prioritising primary care patients with unexpected weight loss for cancer investigation: diagnostic accuracy study. BMJ, The, 2020, 370, m2651.	3.0	13
14	Trends in time to cancer diagnosis around the period of changing national guidance on referral of symptomatic patients: A serial cross-sectional study using UK electronic healthcare records from 2006–17. Cancer Epidemiology, 2020, 69, 101805.	0.8	29
15	Measuring the impact of national guidelines: What methods can be used to uncover time-varying effects for healthcare evaluations?. Social Science and Medicine, 2020, 258, 113021.	1.8	2
16	Cancer diagnostic tools to aid decision-making in primary care: mixed-methods systematic reviews and cost-effectiveness analysis. Health Technology Assessment, 2020, 24, 1-332.	1.3	22
17	Changes in the presenting symptoms of lung cancer from 2000–2017: a serial cross-sectional study of observational records in UK primary care. British Journal of General Practice, 2020, 70, e193-e199.	0.7	19
18	Effect of pre-existing conditions on bladder cancer stage at diagnosis: a cohort study using electronic primary care records in the UK. British Journal of General Practice, 2020, 70, e629-e635.	0.7	7

SARAH J PRICE

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Availability and use of cancer decision-support tools: a cross-sectional survey of UK primary care. British Journal of General Practice, 2019, 69, e437-e443.	0.7	32
20	National cancer control plans. Lancet Oncology, The, 2018, 19, e665.	5.1	1
21	Cancer incidence in patients with a high normal platelet count: a cohort study using primary care data. Family Practice, 2018, 35, 671-675.	0.8	20
22	Comorbid conditions delay diagnosis of colorectal cancer: a cohort study using electronic primary care records. British Journal of Cancer, 2017, 116, 1536-1543.	2.9	61
23	Identifying clinical features in primary care electronic health record studies: methods for codelist development. BMJ Open, 2017, 7, e019637.	0.8	48
24	ls omission of free text records a possible source of data loss and bias in Clinical Practice Research Datalink studies? A case–control study. BMJ Open, 2016, 6, e011664.	0.8	70
25	Non-visible versus visible haematuria and bladder cancer risk: a study of electronic records in primary	0.7	48