

Sietske J Tamminga

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/3462319/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

53
papers

1,503
citations

394286

19
h-index

345118

36
g-index

58
all docs

58
docs citations

58
times ranked

1293
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Interventions to enhance return-to-work for cancer patients. The Cochrane Library, 2017, 2017, CD007569.	1.5	205
2	Breast cancer survivorsâ€™ views of factors that influence the return-to-work process â€“ a qualitative study. Scandinavian Journal of Work, Environment and Health, 2012, 38, 144-154.	1.7	156
3	Return-to-work interventions integrated into cancer care: a systematic review. Occupational and Environmental Medicine, 2010, 67, 639-648.	1.3	120
4	Perceived employerâ€™-related barriers and facilitators for work participation of cancer survivors: A systematic review of employers' and survivors' perspectives. Psycho-Oncology, 2018, 27, 725-733.	1.0	100
5	Interventions to enhance return-to-work for cancer patients. , 2011, , CD007569.		83
6	Effectiveness of a Hospital-Based Work Support Intervention for Female Cancer Patients â€“ A Multi-Centre Randomised Controlled Trial. PLoS ONE, 2013, 8, e63271.	1.1	65
7	Quality of Working Life Issues of Employees with a Chronic Physical Disease: A Systematic Review. Journal of Occupational Rehabilitation, 2015, 25, 182-196.	1.2	62
8	Employersâ€™ experience of employees with cancer: trajectories of complex communication. Journal of Cancer Survivorship, 2017, 11, 562-577.	1.5	46
9	Enhancing return-to-work in cancer patients, development of an intervention and design of a randomised controlled trial. BMC Cancer, 2010, 10, 345.	1.1	38
10	A Hospital-Based Work Support Intervention to Enhance the Return to Work of Cancer Patients: A Process Evaluation. Journal of Occupational Rehabilitation, 2012, 22, 565-578.	1.2	38
11	The challenge of return to work in workers with cancer: employer priorities despite variation in social policies related to work and health. Journal of Cancer Survivorship, 2020, 14, 188-199.	1.5	35
12	Work-related experiences of head and neck cancer survivors: an exploratory and descriptive qualitative study. Disability and Rehabilitation, 2018, 40, 1252-1258.	0.9	31
13	Return to work experiences of patients treated with stem cell transplantation for a hematologic malignancy. Supportive Care in Cancer, 2019, 27, 2987-2997.	1.0	31
14	Employment and insurance outcomes and factors associated with employment among long-term thyroid cancer survivors: a population-based study from the PROFILES registry. Quality of Life Research, 2016, 25, 997-1005.	1.5	29
15	Measurement properties of the Work Limitations Questionnaire were sufficient among cancer survivors. Quality of Life Research, 2014, 23, 515-525.	1.5	28
16	Supporting employers to enhance the return to work of cancer survivors: development of a web-based intervention (MiLES intervention). Journal of Cancer Survivorship, 2020, 14, 200-210.	1.5	26
17	Quality of Working Life of cancer survivors: associations with health- and work-related variables. Supportive Care in Cancer, 2017, 25, 1475-1484.	1.0	25
18	Return-to-work of sick-listed workers without an employment contract â€“ what works?. BMC Public Health, 2009, 9, 232.	1.2	24

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	What Employer Actions Are Considered Most Important for the Return to Work of Employees with Cancer? A Delphi Study Among Employees and Employers. <i>Journal of Occupational Rehabilitation</i> , 2019, 29, 406-422.	1.2	24
20	Quality of working life of cancer survivors: development of a cancer-specific questionnaire. <i>Journal of Cancer Survivorship</i> , 2016, 10, 394-405.	1.5	22
21	The quality of working life questionnaire for cancer survivors (QWLQ-CS): factorial structure, internal consistency, construct validity and reproducibility. <i>BMC Cancer</i> , 2018, 18, 66.	1.1	21
22	Cancer@Work â€” a nurse-led, stepped-care, e-health intervention to enhance the return to work of patients with cancer: study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. <i>Trials</i> , 2016, 17, 453.	0.7	20
23	Work-Related Outcomes in Self-Employed Cancer Survivors: A European Multi-country Study. <i>Journal of Occupational Rehabilitation</i> , 2019, 29, 361-374.	1.2	20
24	Advice about Work-Related Issues to Peers and Employers from Head and Neck Cancer Survivors. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2016, 11, e0152944.	1.1	19
25	Long-term employment status and quality of life after cancer: A longitudinal prospective cohort study from diagnosis up to and including 5 years post diagnosis. <i>Work</i> , 2020, 66, 901-907.	0.6	16
26	Enhancing the Return to Work of Cancer Survivors: Development and Feasibility of the Nurse-Led eHealth Intervention Cancer@Work. <i>JMIR Research Protocols</i> , 2016, 5, e118.	0.5	16
27	Barriers to and Facilitators of Implementing Programs for Return to Work (RTW) of Cancer Survivors in Four European Countries: A Qualitative Study. <i>Journal of Occupational Rehabilitation</i> , 2019, 29, 550-559.	1.2	15
28	Factors associated with an adverse work outcome in breast cancer survivors 5â€“10 years after diagnosis: a cross-sectional study. <i>Journal of Cancer Survivorship</i> , 2019, 13, 108-116.	1.5	14
29	Employees Diagnosed with Cancer: Current Perspectives and Future Directions from an Employerâ€™s Point of View. <i>Journal of Occupational Rehabilitation</i> , 2019, 29, 472-474.	1.2	14
30	Introduction to special section on: current topics in cancer survivorship and work. <i>Journal of Cancer Survivorship</i> , 2020, 14, 101-105.	1.5	13
31	Two-Year Follow-Up of a Multi-centre Randomized Controlled Trial to Study Effectiveness of a Hospital-Based Work Support Intervention for Cancer Patients. <i>Journal of Occupational Rehabilitation</i> , 2019, 29, 701-710.	1.2	11
32	The Successful Return-To-Work Questionnaire for Cancer Survivors (I-RTW_CS): Development, Validity and Reproducibility. <i>Patient</i> , 2020, 13, 567-582.	1.1	11
33	Diagnostic criteria for musculoskeletal disorders for use in occupational healthcare or research: a scoping review of consensus- and synthesised-based case definitions. <i>BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders</i> , 2021, 22, 169.	0.8	11
34	Loss of Paid Employment up to 4 Years after Colorectal Cancer Diagnosisâ€”A Nationwide Register-Based Study with a Population-Based Reference Group. <i>Cancers</i> , 2021, 13, 2868.	1.7	10
35	Changes in the ability to participate in and satisfaction with social roles and activities in patients in outpatient rehabilitation. <i>Journal of Patient-Reported Outcomes</i> , 2020, 4, 73.	0.9	10
36	Differences in self-rated health and work ability between self-employed workers and employees: Results from a prospective cohort study in the Netherlands. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2018, 13, e0206618.	1.1	8

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	The MiLES intervention targeting employers to promote successful return to work of employees with cancer: design of a pilot randomised controlled trial. <i>Trials</i> , 2020, 21, 363.	0.7	8
38	Occupational COVID-19: what can be learned from notifications of occupational diseases?. <i>Occupational and Environmental Medicine</i> , 2021, 78, 464-464.	1.3	8
39	A work-directed intervention to enhance the return to work of employees with cancer: A case study. <i>Work</i> , 2013, 46, 477-485.	0.6	7
40	The Quality of Working Life Questionnaire for Cancer Survivors (QWLQ-CS): a Pre-test Study. <i>BMC Health Services Research</i> , 2016, 16, 194.	0.9	7
41	A qualitative study investigating the meaning of participation to improve the measurement of this construct. <i>Quality of Life Research</i> , 2019, 28, 2233-2246.	1.5	7
42	A randomised feasibility trial of an employer-based intervention for enhancing successful return to work of cancer survivors (MiLES intervention). <i>BMC Public Health</i> , 2021, 21, 1433.	1.2	7
43	Adapting Citizen Science to Improve Health in an Occupational Setting: Preliminary Results of a Qualitative Study. <i>International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health</i> , 2020, 17, 4917.	1.2	6
44	The Use and Perceived Usefulness of an Online Toolbox Targeted at Employers (MiLES Intervention) for Enhancing Successful Return to Work of Cancer Survivors. <i>Journal of Occupational Rehabilitation</i> , 2021, 31, 393-404.	1.2	6
45	Towards harmonisation of case definitions for eight work-related musculoskeletal disorders - an international multi-disciplinary Delphi study. <i>BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders</i> , 2021, 22, 1018.	0.8	5
46	The Quality of Working Life Questionnaire for Cancer Survivors: Sufficient responsiveness for use as a patient-reported outcome measurement. <i>European Journal of Cancer Care</i> , 2018, 27, e12910.	0.7	4
47	Proposal to extend the PROMISÂ® item bank v2.0 â€˜Ability to Participate in Social Roles and Activitiesâ€™: item generation and content validity. <i>Quality of Life Research</i> , 2020, 29, 2851-2861.	1.5	4
48	Enhancing Emotional Skills of Managers to Support the Return to Work of Cancer Survivors: A Research Opinion Focusing on Value, Feasibility and Challenges. <i>Frontiers in Psychology</i> , 0, 13, .	1.1	4
49	Response to Comment on â€œThe Successful Return-To-Work Questionnaire for Cancer Survivors (I-RTW_CS): Development, Validity and Reproducibilityâ€: <i>Patient</i> , 2021, 14, 141-143.	1.1	1
50	Risk communication about work-related stress disorders in healthcare workers: a scoping review. <i>International Archives of Occupational and Environmental Health</i> , 2022, 95, 1195-1208.	1.1	1
51	254â€˜...Quality of working life of cancer survivors: associations with health- and work-related variables. , 2018, , .		0
52	1645câ€˜...Quality of working life and value of work of cancer survivors. , 2018, , .		0
53	Prognostic factors for return to work in breast cancer survivors. <i>The Cochrane Library</i> , 2022, 2022, .	1.5	0