

Chantelle Garritty

List of Publications by Citations

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/3452614/chantelle-garritty-publications-by-citations.pdf>

Version: 2024-04-23

This document has been generated based on the publications and citations recorded by exaly.com. For the latest version of this publication list, visit the link given above.

The third column is the impact factor (IF) of the journal, and the fourth column is the number of citations of the article.

25
papers

6,216
citations

13
h-index

26
g-index

26
ext. papers

10,906
ext. citations

3.8
avg, IF

5.27
L-index

#	Paper	IF	Citations
25	PRISMA Extension for Scoping Reviews (PRISMA-ScR): Checklist and Explanation. <i>Annals of Internal Medicine</i> , 2018 , 169, 467-473	8	5606
24	Cochrane Rapid Reviews Methods Group offers evidence-informed guidance to conduct rapid reviews. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2021 , 130, 13-22	5.7	109
23	Systematic review adherence to methodological or reporting quality. <i>Systematic Reviews</i> , 2017 , 6, 131	3	99
22	Rapid review programs to support health care and policy decision making: a descriptive analysis of processes and methods. <i>Systematic Reviews</i> , 2015 , 4, 26	3	70
21	The prevalence of patient engagement in published trials: a systematic review. <i>Research Involvement and Engagement</i> , 2018 , 4, 17	4.4	45
20	Updating systematic reviews: an international survey. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2010 , 5, e9914	3.7	40
19	Defining Rapid Reviews: a systematic scoping review and thematic analysis of definitions and defining characteristics of rapid reviews. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2021 , 129, 74-85	5.7	37
18	Effectiveness of Personal Protective Equipment for Healthcare Workers Caring for Patients with Filovirus Disease: A Rapid Review. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2015 , 10, e0140290	3.7	35
17	Cochrane Rapid Reviews Methods Group to play a leading role in guiding the production of informed high-quality, timely research evidence syntheses. <i>Systematic Reviews</i> , 2016 , 5, 184	3	31
16	Identifying approaches for assessing methodological and reporting quality of systematic reviews: a descriptive study. <i>Systematic Reviews</i> , 2017 , 6, 117	3	28
15	Developing WHO rapid advice guidelines in the setting of a public health emergency. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2017 , 82, 47-60	5.7	28
14	Elderberry for prevention and treatment of viral respiratory illnesses: a systematic review. <i>BMC Complementary Medicine and Therapies</i> , 2021 , 21, 112	2.9	15
13	Application of exome sequencing for prenatal diagnosis: a rapid scoping review. <i>Genetics in Medicine</i> , 2020 , 22, 1925-1934	8.1	13
12	Few evaluative studies exist examining rapid review methodology across stages of conduct: a systematic scoping review. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2020 , 126, 131-140	5.7	10
11	Potential benefits and harms of offering ultrasound surveillance to men aged 65 years and older with a subaneurysmal (2.5-2.9cm) infrarenal aorta. <i>Journal of Vascular Surgery</i> , 2018 , 67, 1298-1307	3.5	7
10	A rapid research needs appraisal methodology to identify evidence gaps to inform clinical research priorities in response to outbreaks-results from the Lassa fever pilot. <i>BMC Medicine</i> , 2019 , 17, 107	11.4	5
9	Effects of medical and non-medical cannabis use in older adults: protocol for a scoping review. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2020 , 10, e034301	3	5

8	Rapid reviews for evidence synthesis. <i>Medicina Clínica</i> , 2017 , 148, 424-428	1	2
7	Assessing how information is packaged in rapid reviews for policy-makers and other stakeholders: a cross-sectional study. <i>Health Research Policy and Systems</i> , 2020 , 18, 112	3.7	2
6	Assessing the format and content of journal published and non-journal published rapid review reports: A comparative study. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2020 , 15, e0238025	3.7	2
5	Musculoskeletal Injuries Among Females in the Military: A Scoping Review. <i>Military Medicine</i> , 2021 , 186, e903-e931	1.3	2
4	Models of provider care in long-term care: A rapid scoping review. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2021 , 16, e0254527	3.7	1
3	What are the most important unanswered research questions on rapid review methodology? A James Lind Alliance research methodology Priority Setting Partnership: the Priority III study protocol. <i>HRB Open Research</i> , 2021 , 4, 80	1.2	1
2	Rapid reviews for evidence synthesis. <i>Medicina Clínica (English Edition)</i> , 2017 , 148, 424-428	0.3	0
1	What are the most important unanswered research questions on rapid review methodology? A James Lind Alliance research methodology Priority Setting Partnership: the Priority III study protocol. <i>HRB Open Research</i> , 2021 , 4, 80	1.2	0