

Camila Cpop PachÃ^aco-Pereira

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/34214/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

44
papers

1,172
citations

471061

17
h-index

395343

33
g-index

45
all docs

45
docs citations

45
times ranked

1508
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Adenotonsillectomy Complications: A Meta-analysis. <i>Pediatrics</i> , 2015, 136, 702-718.	1.0	149
2	Factors associated with patient and parent satisfaction after orthodontic treatment: A systematic review. <i>American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics</i> , 2015, 148, 652-659.	0.8	92
3	Prevalence of adenoid hypertrophy: A systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>Sleep Medicine Reviews</i> , 2018, 38, 101-112.	3.8	92
4	Diagnostic Capability of Biological Markers in Assessment of Obstructive Sleep Apnea: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. <i>Journal of Clinical Sleep Medicine</i> , 2015, 11, 27-36.	1.4	75
5	Biomarkers associated with obstructive sleep apnea: A scoping review. <i>Sleep Medicine Reviews</i> , 2015, 23, 28-45.	3.8	74
6	Prevalence of clinical signs of intra-articular temporomandibular disorders in children and adolescents. <i>Journal of the American Dental Association</i> , 2016, 147, 10-18.e8.	0.7	71
7	Biomarkers associated with obstructive sleep apnea and morbidities: a scoping review. <i>Sleep Medicine</i> , 2015, 16, 347-357.	0.8	49
8	Patient satisfaction after orthodontic treatment combined with orthognathic surgery: A systematic review. <i>Angle Orthodontist</i> , 2016, 86, 495-508.	1.1	49
9	Patient satisfaction and quality of life status after 2 treatment modalities: Invisalign and conventional fixed appliances. <i>American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics</i> , 2018, 154, 639-644.	0.8	49
10	Patient satisfaction and quality of life changes after Invisalign treatment. <i>American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics</i> , 2018, 153, 834-841.	0.8	39
11	Capability of CBCT to identify patients with low bone mineral density: a systematic review. <i>Dentomaxillofacial Radiology</i> , 2017, 46, 20160475.	1.3	38
12	Effectiveness and Perceptions of Flipped Learning Model in Dental Education: A Systematic Review. <i>Journal of Dental Education</i> , 2019, 83, 935-945.	0.7	35
13	Comparative analysis of imaging techniques for diagnostic accuracy of peri-implant bone defects: a meta-analysis. <i>Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology and Oral Radiology</i> , 2017, 124, 432-440.e5.	0.2	32
14	Comparison of ultrasound imaging and cone-beam computed tomography for examination of the alveolar bone level: A systematic review. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2018, 13, e0200596.	1.1	31
15	Informed consent comprehension and recollection in adult dental patients. <i>Journal of the American Dental Association</i> , 2016, 147, 605-619.e7.	0.7	21
16	Diagnostic ultrasound assessment of temporomandibular joints: a systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>Dentomaxillofacial Radiology</i> , 2019, 48, 20180144.	1.3	21
17	Diagnostic validity of CT to assess degenerative temporomandibular joint disease: a systematic review. <i>Dentomaxillofacial Radiology</i> , 2018, 47, 20170389.	1.3	20
18	Maxillary arch changes with transpalatal arch treatment followed by full fixed appliances. <i>Angle Orthodontist</i> , 2015, 85, 683-689.	1.1	18

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Influence of Intracanal Materials in Vertical Root Fracture Pathway Detection with Cone-beam Computed Tomography. <i>Journal of Endodontics</i> , 2017, 43, 1170-1175.	1.4	18
20	Evidence on radiation dose reduction using rectangular collimation: a systematic review. <i>International Dental Journal</i> , 2019, 69, 84-97.	1.0	18
21	Predictors of long-term stability of maxillary dental arch dimensions in patients treated with a transpalatal arch followed by fixed appliances. <i>Progress in Orthodontics</i> , 2015, 16, 24.	1.3	17
22	Maxillary osteotomy complications in piezoelectric surgery compared to conventional surgical techniques: a systematic review. <i>International Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery</i> , 2019, 48, 720-731.	0.7	17
23	Radiographic methods to estimate surgical outcomes based on spinal flexibility assessment in patients who have adolescent idiopathic scoliosis: A systematic review. <i>Spine Journal</i> , 2018, 18, 2128-2139.	0.6	16
24	Can gray values be converted to Hounsfield units? A systematic review. <i>Dentomaxillofacial Radiology</i> , 2022, 51, 20210140.	1.3	15
25	Short- and long-term evaluation of mandibular dental arch dimensional changes in patients treated with a lip bumper during mixed dentition followed by fixed appliances. <i>Angle Orthodontist</i> , 2016, 86, 753-760.	1.1	14
26	Oral manifestations in patients with familial adenomatous polyposis: A systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>Journal of Gastroenterology and Hepatology (Australia)</i> , 2016, 31, 527-540.	1.4	13
27	Variation of orthodontic treatment decision-making based on dental model type: A systematic review. <i>Angle Orthodontist</i> , 2014, 85, 501-509.	1.1	12
28	Correlation and reliability of cone-beam computed tomography nasopharyngeal volumetric and area measurements as determined by commercial software against nasopharyngoscopy-supported diagnosis of adenoid hypertrophy. <i>American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics</i> , 2017, 152, 92-103.	0.8	11
29	Digital Intraoral Imaging Re-Exposure Rates of Dental Students. <i>Journal of Dental Education</i> , 2018, 82, 61-68.	0.7	10
30	Accuracy and reliability of oral maxillofacial radiologists when evaluating cone-beam computed tomography imaging for adenoid hypertrophy screening: a comparison with nasopharyngoscopy. <i>Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology and Oral Radiology</i> , 2016, 121, e168-e174.	0.2	9
31	Accuracy and reliability of orthodontists using cone-beam computerized tomography for assessment of adenoid hypertrophy. <i>American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics</i> , 2016, 150, 782-788.	0.8	9
32	Predictors of postretention stability of mandibular dental arch dimensions in patients treated with a lip bumper during mixed dentition followed by fixed appliances. <i>Angle Orthodontist</i> , 2017, 87, 209-214.	1.1	8
33	Dental imaging of trabecular bone structure for systemic disorder screening: A systematic review. <i>Oral Diseases</i> , 2019, 25, 1009-1026.	1.5	7
34	Oropharyngeal 3-dimensional changes after maxillary expansion with 2 different orthodontic approaches. <i>American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics</i> , 2021, 159, 352-359.	0.8	5
35	Orthodontists' criteria for prescribing cone-beam computed tomography: a multi-country survey. <i>Clinical Oral Investigations</i> , 2022, 26, 1625-1636.	1.4	4
36	Development, validation and application of a 3D printed model depicting adenoid hypertrophy in comparison to a Nasoendoscopy. <i>Head & Face Medicine</i> , 2020, 16, 5.	0.8	3

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	Student Response to a Blended Radiology Course: A Multi-Year Study in Dental Education. Canadian Journal for the Scholarship of Teaching and Learning, 2020, 11, .	0.2	3
38	Patient compliance and periodontal outcomes. Evidence-Based Dentistry, 2016, 17, 21-22.	0.3	2
39	Gingival condition associated with two types of orthodontic fixed retainers: a meta-analysis. European Journal of Orthodontics, 2017, 39, cjw057.	1.1	2
40	Assessing students' confidence in interpreting dental radiographs following a blended learning module. International Journal of Dental Hygiene, 2019, 17, 280-287.	0.8	2
41	Trabecular and cortical mandibular bone investigation in familial adenomatous polyposis patients. Scientific Reports, 2021, 11, 9143.	1.6	2
42	Trends in oral and maxillofacial radiology career: A survey. Journal of Dental Education, 2021, 85, 1565-1573.	0.7	0
43	Phenotypic dento-osseous characterization of a Brazilian family with Familial Adenomatous Polyposis. Archives of Oral Biology, 2021, 129, 105206.	0.8	0
44	A comparison of panoramic radiographic findings in patients with Familial Adenomatous Polyposis and the general population - A multicenter study. Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology and Oral Radiology, 2021, , .	0.2	0