

# George Chacko

## List of Publications by Citations

**Source:** <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/3414038/george-chacko-publications-by-citations.pdf>

**Version:** 2024-04-24

This document has been generated based on the publications and citations recorded by exaly.com. For the latest version of this publication list, visit the link given above.

The third column is the impact factor (IF) of the journal, and the fourth column is the number of citations of the article.

16  
papers

499  
citations

6  
h-index

19  
g-index

19  
ext. papers

543  
ext. citations

3.6  
avg, IF

3.05  
L-index

#	Paper	IF	Citations
16	The Fc receptor for immunoglobulin G (Fc gamma RII) on human platelets. <i>Seminars in Thrombosis and Hemostasis</i> , <b>1995</b> , 21, 1-9	5.3	187
15	Ethnic variation in frequency of an allelic polymorphism of human Fc gamma RIIA determined with allele specific oligonucleotide probes. <i>Journal of Immunological Methods</i> , <b>1994</b> , 173, 207-17	2.5	125
14	Phosphoinositide 3-kinase and p72syk noncovalently associate with the low affinity Fc gamma receptor on human platelets through an immunoreceptor tyrosine-based activation motif. Reconstitution with synthetic phosphopeptides. <i>Journal of Biological Chemistry</i> , <b>1996</b> , 271, 10775-81	5.4	83
13	Regulation of constitutive TCR internalization by the zeta-chain. <i>Journal of Immunology</i> , <b>2002</b> , 169, 6269-73	5.78	56
12	NIH Peer Review: Scored Review Criteria and Overall Impact. <i>American Journal of Evaluation</i> , <b>2016</b> , 37, 238-249	1.4	12
11	Disruptive papers published in Scientometrics: meaningful results by using an improved variant of the disruption index originally proposed by Wu, Wang, and Evans (2019). <i>Scientometrics</i> , <b>2020</b> , 123, 1149-1155	9	21155
10	Are disruption index indicators convergently valid? The comparison of several indicator variants with assessments by peers. <i>Quantitative Science Studies</i> , <b>2020</b> , 1, 1242-1259	3.8	6
9	Co-citations in context: Disciplinary heterogeneity is relevant. <i>Quantitative Science Studies</i> , <b>2020</b> , 1, 264-276	3.76	4
8	Research synergy and drug development: Bright stars in neighboring constellations. <i>Heliyon</i> , <b>2017</b> , 3, e00442	3.6	4
7	Characterization of the peer review network at the Center for Scientific Review, National Institutes of Health. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , <b>2014</b> , 9, e104244	3.7	4
6	Viewing Computer Science through Citation Analysis: Salton and Bergmark Redux. <i>Scientometrics</i> , <b>2020</b> , 125, 271-287	3	3
5	Finding scientific communities in citation graphs: Articles and authors. <i>Quantitative Science Studies</i> , <b>2021</b> , 2, 184-203	3.8	3
4	Frequently cocited publications: Features and kinetics. <i>Quantitative Science Studies</i> , <b>2020</b> , 1, 1223-1241	3.8	1
3	Converging Interests: Chemoinformatics, History, and Bibliometrics. <i>Journal of Chemical Information and Modeling</i> , <b>2020</b> , 60, 5870-5872	6.1	1
2	Center-periphery structure in research communities. <i>Quantitative Science Studies</i> , 1-26	3.8	1
1	Delayed Recognition: A Co-Citation Perspective. <i>Frontiers in Research Metrics and Analytics</i> , <b>2020</b> , 5, 577131	131	0