Pim A L Tonino

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/3400847/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

55 7,889 2
papers citations h-i

22 48
h-index g-index

55 55 all docs citations

55 times ranked 5471 citing authors

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Surgical Sutureless and Sutured Aortic Valve Replacement in Low-risk Patients. Annals of Thoracic Surgery, 2022, 113, 616-622.	1.3	13
2	Fractional Flow Reserve–Guided PCI as Compared with Coronary Bypass Surgery. New England Journal of Medicine, 2022, 386, 128-137.	27.0	169
3	Aortic Valve Insufficiency as a LateÂComplication After Impella Device Implantation. JACC: Cardiovascular Interventions, 2022, , .	2.9	O
4	Prehospital risk assessment in patients suspected of non-ST-segment elevation acute coronary syndrome: a systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ Open, 2022, 12, e057305.	1.9	4
5	Sirolimus-eluting stents with ultrathin struts versus everolimus-eluting stents for patients undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention: final three-year results of the TALENT trial. EuroIntervention, 2022, 18, 492-502.	3.2	8
6	Decision Trees for Predicting Mortality in Transcatheter Aortic Valve Implantation. Bioengineering, 2021, 8, 22.	3 . 5	7
7	Prospective Multicenter Randomized All-Comers Trial to Assess the Safety and Effectiveness of the Ultra-Thin Strut Sirolimus-Eluting Coronary Stent Supraflex. Circulation: Cardiovascular Interventions, 2021, 14, e010312.	3.9	10
8	Model-based aortic power transfer: A potential measure for quantifying aortic stenosis severity based on measured data. Medical Engineering and Physics, 2021, 90, 66-81.	1.7	1
9	The Allegra transcatheter heart valve: Short term results from a multicenter registry. Catheterization and Cardiovascular Interventions, 2021, 98, 1204-1209.	1.7	3
10	Machine Learning for Predicting Mortality in Transcatheter Aortic Valve Implantation: An Inter-Center Cross Validation Study. Journal of Cardiovascular Development and Disease, 2021, 8, 65.	1.6	4
11	Safety of absolute coronary flow and microvascular resistance measurements by thermodilution. EuroIntervention, 2021, 17, 229-232.	3.2	19
12	Abbreviated Antiplatelet Therapy in Patients at High Bleeding Risk With or Without Oral Anticoagulant Therapy After Coronary Stenting: An Open-Label, Randomized, Controlled Trial. Circulation, 2021, 144, 1196-1211.	1.6	41
13	Safety of Selective Intracoronary Hypothermia During Primary Percutaneous Coronary Intervention in Patients With Anterior STEMI. JACC: Cardiovascular Interventions, 2021, 14, 2047-2055.	2.9	15
14	Dedicated plug based closure for large bore access –The MARVEL prospective registry. Catheterization and Cardiovascular Interventions, 2021, 97, 1270-1278.	1.7	24
15	Microvascular Resistance Reserve forÂAssessment of Coronary MicrovascularÂFunction. Journal of the American College of Cardiology, 2021, 78, 1541-1549.	2.8	66
16	Local and Distributed Machine Learning for Inter-hospital Data Utilization: An Application for TAVI Outcome Prediction. Frontiers in Cardiovascular Medicine, 2021, 8, 787246.	2.4	1
17	Optimal Treatment Strategy for Coronary Artery Stenoses with Grey Zone Fractional Flow Reserve Values. A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Cardiovascular Revascularization Medicine, 2020, 21, 392-397.	0.8	3
18	Intraâ€eortic balloon pump counterpulsation in extensive myocardial infarction with persistent ischemia: The SEMPER FI pilot study. Catheterization and Cardiovascular Interventions, 2020, 95, 128-135.	1.7	11

#	Article	IF	Citations
19	Inter-Center Cross-Validation and Finetuning without Patient Data Sharing for Predicting Transcatheter Aortic Valve Implantation Outcome. , 2020, , .		1
20	A gigantic atrial septal aneurysm. European Heart Journal - Case Reports, 2020, 4, 1-1.	0.6	0
21	Wearable devices can predict the outcome of standardized 6-minute walk tests in heart disease. Npj Digital Medicine, 2020, 3, 92.	10.9	10
22	Titanium-Nitride-Oxide–Coated VersusÂEverolimus-Eluting Stents in Acute Coronary Syndrome. JACC: Cardiovascular Interventions, 2020, 13, 1697-1705.	2.9	27
23	Coronary Microcirculation in Aortic Stenosis: Pathophysiology, Invasive Assessment, and Future Directions. Journal of Interventional Cardiology, 2020, 2020, 1-13.	1.2	11
24	Thermodilution-Based Invasive Assessment of Absolute Coronary Blood Flow and Microvascular Resistance: Quantification of Microvascular (Dys)Function?. Journal of Interventional Cardiology, 2020, 2020, 1-7.	1.2	9
25	3D-printed stenotic aortic valve model to simulate physiology before, during, and after transcatheter aortic valve implantation. International Journal of Cardiology, 2020, 313, 32-34.	1.7	5
26	Survival and quality of life after transcatheter aortic valve implantation relative to the general population. IJC Heart and Vasculature, 2020, 28, 100536.	1.1	6
27	Assessment of exercise-induced changes in von Willebrand factor as a marker of severity of aortic stenosis. Open Heart, 2020, 7, e001138.	2.3	2
28	Stress Aortic Valve Index (SAVI) with Dobutamine for Low-Gradient Aortic Stenosis: A Pilot Study. Structural Heart, 2020, 4, 53-61.	0.6	7
29	Association of Improvement in Fractional Flow Reserve With Outcomes, Including Symptomatic Relief, After Percutaneous Coronary Intervention. JAMA Cardiology, 2019, 4, 370.	6.1	51
30	Safety and efficacy of a sirolimus-eluting coronary stent with ultra-thin strut for treatment of atherosclerotic lesions (TALENT): a prospective multicentre randomised controlled trial. Lancet, The, 2019, 393, 987-997.	13.7	72
31	Fractional flow reserve-guided percutaneous coronary intervention vs. medical therapy for patients with stable coronary lesions: meta-analysis of individual patient data. European Heart Journal, 2019, 40, 180-186.	2.2	159
32	Design and rationale of the Management of High Bleeding Risk Patients Post Bioresorbable Polymer Coated Stent Implantation With an Abbreviated Versus Standard DAPT Regimen (MASTER DAPT) Study. American Heart Journal, 2019, 209, 97-105.	2.7	53
33	Perforation of a Saphenous Vein Graft Anastomosed at a Y-Configuration to the Left Internal Mammary Artery. Cardiovascular Revascularization Medicine, 2019, 20, 716-719.	0.8	0
34	Pressure gradient vs. flow relationships to characterize the physiology of a severely stenotic aortic valve before and after transcatheter valve implantation. European Heart Journal, 2018, 39, 2646-2655.	2.2	38
35	A Novel Angiographic Quantification ofÂAortic Regurgitation After TAVR Provides an Accurate Estimation of Regurgitation Fraction Derived From Cardiac Magnetic Resonance Imaging. JACC: Cardiovascular Interventions, 2018, 11, 287-297.	2.9	37
36	Clinical Outcomes and Cost-Effectiveness of Fractional Flow Reserve–Guided Percutaneous Coronary Intervention in Patients With Stable Coronary Artery Disease. Circulation, 2018, 137, 480-487.	1.6	193

#	Article	IF	Citations
37	Cardiac Outcomes After Treatment for Depression in Patients With Acute Coronary Syndrome. JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association, 2018, 320, 2151.	7.4	O
38	Fractional Flow Reserve and Quality-of-Life Improvement After Percutaneous Coronary Intervention in Patients With Stable Coronary Artery Disease. Circulation, 2018, 138, 1797-1804.	1.6	32
39	Prognostic Value of the Residual SYNTAX Score After Functionally Complete Revascularization in ACS. Journal of the American College of Cardiology, 2018, 72, 1321-1329.	2.8	40
40	Five-Year Outcomes with PCI Guided by Fractional Flow Reserve. New England Journal of Medicine, 2018, 379, 250-259.	27.0	622
41	Response by Piroth et al to Letter Regarding Article, "Prognostic Value of Fractional Flow Reserve Measured Immediately After Drug-Eluting Stent Implantation― Circulation: Cardiovascular Interventions, 2017, 10, .	3.9	9
42	Prognostic Value of Fractional Flow Reserve Measured Immediately After Drug-Eluting Stent Implantation. Circulation: Cardiovascular Interventions, 2017, 10, .	3.9	108
43	The Prognostic Value of Residual Coronary Stenoses After Functionally Complete Revascularization. Journal of the American College of Cardiology, 2016, 67, 1701-1711.	2.8	80
44	Why Is Fractional Flow Reserve After Percutaneous Coronary Intervention Not Always 1.0? â^—. JACC: Cardiovascular Interventions, 2016, 9, 1032-1035.	2.9	20
45	The impact of left ventricular ejection fraction on fractional flow reserve: Insights from the FAME (Fractional flow reserve versus Angiography for Multivessel Evaluation) trial. International Journal of Cardiology, 2016, 204, 206-210.	1.7	15
46	Renal denervation in hypertensive patients not on blood pressure lowering drugs. Clinical Research in Cardiology, 2016, 105, 755-762.	3.3	21
47	A Prospective Natural History Study ofÂCoronary Atherosclerosis Using Fractional Flow Reserve. Journal of the American College of Cardiology, 2016, 68, 2247-2255.	2.8	118
48	Safety and feasibility of local myocardial hypothermia. Catheterization and Cardiovascular Interventions, 2016, 87, 877-883.	1.7	13
49	Fractional flow reserve versus angiography for guidance of PCI in patients with multivessel coronary artery disease (FAME): 5-year follow-up of a randomised controlled trial. Lancet, The, 2015, 386, 1853-1860.	13.7	455
50	Response to Letter Regarding Article, "Cost-Effectiveness of Percutaneous Coronary Intervention in Patients With Stable Coronary Artery Disease and Abnormal Fractional Flow Reserve†Circulation, 2014, 129, e684.	1.6	0
51	The impact of age on fractional flow reserve-guided percutaneous coronary intervention: A FAME (Fractional Flow Reserve versus Angiography for Multivessel Evaluation) trial substudy. International Journal of Cardiology, 2014, 177, 66-70.	1.7	44
52	Prognostic Value of FractionalÂFlowÂReserve. Journal of the American College of Cardiology, 2014, 64, 1641-1654.	2.8	513
53	Angiographic Versus Functional Severity of Coronary Artery Stenoses in the FAME Study. Journal of the American College of Cardiology, 2010, 55, 2816-2821.	2.8	1,077
54	Fractional Flow Reserve versus Angiography for Guiding Percutaneous Coronary Intervention. New England Journal of Medicine, 2009, 360, 213-224.	27.0	3,510

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	Direct Volumetric Blood Flow Measurement in Coronary Arteries by Thermodilution. Journal of the American College of Cardiology, 2007, 50, 2294-2304.	2.8	132