Linda Onnasch

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/3394766/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

840776 794594 21 923 11 19 citations h-index g-index papers 21 21 21 505 all docs docs citations times ranked citing authors

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Human Performance Consequences of Stages and Levels of Automation. Human Factors, 2014, 56, 476-488.	3.5	355
2	Human Performance Consequences of Automated Decision Aids. Journal of Cognitive Engineering and Decision Making, 2012, 6, 57-87.	2.3	177
3	A Taxonomy to Structure and Analyze Human–Robot Interaction. International Journal of Social Robotics, 2021, 13, 833-849.	4.6	85
4	A meta-analysis on the effectiveness of anthropomorphism in human-robot interaction. Science Robotics, 2021, 6, eabj5425.	17.6	81
5	Anthropomorphizing Robots: The Effect of Framing in Human-Robot Collaboration. Proceedings of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society, 2019, 63, 1311-1315.	0.3	33
6	Why Context Matters: The Influence of Application Domain on Preferred Degree of Anthropomorphism and Gender Attribution in Human–Robot Interaction. International Journal of Social Robotics, 2022, 14, 1155-1166.	4.6	31
7	Crossing the boundaries of automation—Function allocation and reliability. International Journal of Human Computer Studies, 2015, 76, 12-21.	5.6	26
8	Human Performance Consequences of Automated Decision Aids in States of Sleep Loss. Human Factors, 2011, 53, 717-728.	3.5	24
9	Appearance is not everything - Preferred feature combinations for care robots. Computers in Human Behavior, 2022, 128, 107128.	8.5	23
10	The Effect of Anthropomorphism and Failure Comprehensibility on Human-Robot Trust. Proceedings of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society, 2020, 64, 107-111.	0.3	18
11	Impact of Anthropomorphic Robot Design on Trust and Attention in Industrial Human-Robot Interaction. ACM Transactions on Human-Robot Interaction, 2022, 11, 1-24.	4.1	18
12	Operators× 3 adaptation to imperfect automation \hat{a} ∈" Impact of miss-prone alarm systems on attention allocation and performance. International Journal of Human Computer Studies, 2014, 72, 772-782.	5.6	12
13	Absence of DOA Effect but No Proper Test of the Lumberjack Effect: A Reply to Jamieson and Skraaning (2019). Human Factors, 2020, 62, 530-534.	3.5	9
14	Manual flying skills under the influence of performance shaping factors. Work, 2012, 41, 178-183.	1.1	7
15	Stages of Decision Automation: Impact on Operators' Role, Awareness and Monitoring. Proceedings of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society, 2019, 63, 282-286.	0.3	6
16	Manipulating situational risk in human-automation research – Validation of a new experimental paradigm in virtual reality. Proceedings of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society, 2021, 65, 1109-1113.	0.3	6
17	Teammitglied oder Werkzeug – Der Einfluss anthropomorpher Gestaltung in der Mensch-Roboter-Interaktion. , 2020, , 163-175.		5
18	Misuse of Automation: The Impact of System Experience on Complacency and Automation Bias in Interaction with Automated Aids. Proceedings of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society, 2010, 54, 374-378.	0.3	4

#	Article	IF	CITATION
19	Social Loafing with Robots – An Empirical Investigation. Proceedings of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society, 2020, 64, 97-101.	0.3	2
20	Operators' Adaption to Unreliability of Alarm Systems: A Performance and Eye-Tracking Analysis. Proceedings of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society, 2012, 56, 248-252.	0.3	1
21	Appearance is not Everything - Relevant Feature Combinations for Human-Robot Interaction. Proceedings of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society, 2021, 65, 1054-1055.	0.3	0