

Carlos Gustavo De Moraes

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/3351802/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

59
papers

1,313
citations

516710

16
h-index

414414

32
g-index

60
all docs

60
docs citations

60
times ranked

1161
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Relationship between mean follow-up intraocular pressure, rates of visual field progression and current target intraocular pressure guidelines. <i>British Journal of Ophthalmology</i> , 2022, 106, 229-233.	3.9	2
2	Nicotinamide and Pyruvate for Neuroenhancement in Open-Angle Glaucoma. <i>JAMA Ophthalmology</i> , 2022, 140, 11.	2.5	51
3	Clinicians' Use of Quantitative Information When Assessing the Rate of Structural Progression in Glaucoma. <i>Ophthalmology Glaucoma</i> , 2022, 5, 507-515.	1.9	1
4	Peak Intraocular Pressure Time during Water Drinking Test and Its Relationship with Glaucoma Severity. <i>Journal of Ophthalmic and Vision Research</i> , 2022, 17, 27-32.	1.0	0
5	Comparison of the short-term results of nasal and temporal 180° selective laser trabeculoplasties for open-angle glaucoma. <i>Arquivos Brasileiros De Oftalmologia</i> , 2022, 86, .	0.5	0
6	Blood pressure control and glaucoma risk in postmenopausal women. <i>Menopause</i> , 2022, Publish Ahead of Print, 531-536.	2.0	0
7	Clinicians' Use of Quantitative Information when Assessing the Rate of Functional Progression in Glaucoma. <i>Ophthalmology Glaucoma</i> , 2022, , .	1.9	0
8	The OCT RNFL Probability Map and Artifacts Resembling Glaucomatous Damage. <i>Translational Vision Science and Technology</i> , 2022, 11, 18.	2.2	10
9	Combined Use of Nicotinamide and Pyruvate for Neuroenhancement in Open-Angle Glaucoma—Reply. <i>JAMA Ophthalmology</i> , 2022, , .	2.5	1
10	The 24-2 Visual Field Guided Progression Analysis Can Miss the Progression of Glaucomatous Damage of the Macula Seen Using OCT. <i>Ophthalmology Glaucoma</i> , 2022, 5, 614-627.	1.9	4
11	Test of a Retinal Nerve Fiber Bundle Trajectory Model Using Eyes With Glaucomatous Optic Neuropathy. <i>Translational Vision Science and Technology</i> , 2022, 11, 7.	2.2	1
12	Central Visual Field Defects in Patients with Distinct Glaucomatous Optic Disc Phenotypes. <i>American Journal of Ophthalmology</i> , 2021, 223, 229-240.	3.3	7
13	Variability and Power to Detect Progression of Different Visual Field Patterns. <i>Ophthalmology Glaucoma</i> , 2021, 4, 617-623.	1.9	7
14	Detecting Progression in Advanced Glaucoma: Are Optical Coherence Tomography Global Metrics Viable Measures?. <i>Optometry and Vision Science</i> , 2021, 98, 518-530.	1.2	4
15	Individualized Glaucoma Change Detection Using Deep Learning Auto Encoder-Based Regions of Interest. <i>Translational Vision Science and Technology</i> , 2021, 10, 19.	2.2	10
16	Progressive Thinning of Retinal Nerve Fiber Layer and Ganglion Cell Inner Plexiform Layer in Glaucoma Eyes with Disc Hemorrhage. <i>Ophthalmology Glaucoma</i> , 2021, 4, 541-549.	1.9	5
17	Characteristics of Central Visual Field Progression in Eyes with Optic Disc Hemorrhage. <i>American Journal of Ophthalmology</i> , 2021, 231, 109-119.	3.3	10
18	Central-most Visual Field Defects in Early Glaucoma. <i>Journal of Glaucoma</i> , 2021, 30, e68-e75.	1.6	7

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Impact of resistance training sets performed until muscular failure with different loads on intraocular pressure and ocular perfusion pressure. <i>European Journal of Ophthalmology</i> , 2020, 30, 1342-1348.	1.3	9
20	Review of the measurement and management of 24-hour intraocular pressure in patients with glaucoma. <i>Survey of Ophthalmology</i> , 2020, 65, 171-186.	4.0	33
21	Characterization of Central Visual Field Loss in End-stage Glaucoma by Unsupervised Artificial Intelligence. <i>JAMA Ophthalmology</i> , 2020, 138, 190.	2.5	36
22	Artificial Intelligence Classification of Central Visual Field Patterns in Glaucoma. <i>Ophthalmology</i> , 2020, 127, 731-738.	5.2	33
23	Inter-Eye Association of Visual Field Defects in Glaucoma and Its Clinical Utility. <i>Translational Vision Science and Technology</i> , 2020, 9, 22.	2.2	5
24	A Topographic Comparison of OCT Minimum Rim Width (BMO-MRW) and Circumpapillary Retinal Nerve Fiber Layer (cRNFL) Thickness Measures in Eyes With or Suspected Glaucoma. <i>Journal of Glaucoma</i> , 2020, 29, 671-680.	1.6	9
25	Improving the Detection of Glaucoma and Its Progression: A Topographical Approach. <i>Journal of Glaucoma</i> , 2020, 29, 613-621.	1.6	11
26	Primary Open Angle Glaucoma and Vascular Risk Factors: A Review of Population Based Studies from 1990 to 2019. <i>Journal of Clinical Medicine</i> , 2020, 9, 761.	2.4	69
27	Review of Hygiene and Disinfection Recommendations for Outpatient Glaucoma Care: A COVID Era Update. <i>Journal of Glaucoma</i> , 2020, 29, 409-416.	1.6	22
28	Detection of Progression With 10-2 Standard Automated Perimetry: Development and Validation of an Event-Based Algorithm. <i>American Journal of Ophthalmology</i> , 2020, 216, 37-43.	3.3	11
29	Reply. <i>Ophthalmology</i> , 2019, 126, e78-e79.	5.2	0
30	An Artificial Intelligence Approach to Detect Visual Field Progression in Glaucoma Based on Spatial Pattern Analysis. , 2019, 60, 365.		78
31	Agreement and Predictors of Discordance of 6 Visual Field Progression Algorithms. <i>Ophthalmology</i> , 2019, 126, 822-828.	5.2	31
32	In Reply. <i>Journal of Glaucoma</i> , 2019, 28, e50.	1.6	0
33	Association of Macular Visual Field Measurements With Glaucoma Staging Systems. <i>JAMA Ophthalmology</i> , 2019, 137, 139.	2.5	22
34	Spatial correlation between localized decreases in exploratory visual search performance and areas of glaucomatous visual field loss. <i>Graefes Archive for Clinical and Experimental Ophthalmology</i> , 2019, 257, 153-160.	1.9	5
35	Reply. <i>Ophthalmology</i> , 2018, 125, e27-e28.	5.2	0
36	The importance of combining structure and function to measure rates of progression in glaucoma. <i>Graefes Archive for Clinical and Experimental Ophthalmology</i> , 2018, 256, 1225-1226.	1.9	0

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	Reversal of Glaucoma Hemifield Test Results and Visual Field Features in Glaucoma. <i>Ophthalmology</i> , 2018, 125, 352-360.	5.2	36
38	Author Response: Challenges to the Common Clinical Paradigm for Diagnosis of Glaucomatous Damage With OCT and Visual Fields. , 2018, 59, 5524.		1
39	Association Between 24-Hour Intraocular Pressure Monitored With Contact Lens Sensor and Visual Field Progression in Older Adults With Glaucoma. <i>JAMA Ophthalmology</i> , 2018, 136, 779.	2.5	55
40	Association between Rates of Retinal Nerve Fiber Layer Thinning and Previous Disc Hemorrhage in Glaucoma. <i>Ophthalmology Glaucoma</i> , 2018, 1, 23-31.	1.9	7
41	Interindividual Variations in Foveal Anatomy and Artifacts Seen on Inner Retinal Probability Maps from Spectral Domain OCT Scans of the Macula. <i>Translational Vision Science and Technology</i> , 2018, 7, 4.	2.2	9
42	Reply. <i>Ophthalmology</i> , 2018, 125, e66-e67.	5.2	0
43	Association Between Undetected 10-2 Visual Field Damage and Vision-Related Quality of Life in Patients With Glaucoma. <i>JAMA Ophthalmology</i> , 2017, 135, 742.	2.5	87
44	Visual Search Performance in Patients with Vision Impairment: A Systematic Review. <i>Current Eye Research</i> , 2017, 42, 1561-1571.	1.5	4
45	Impact of Natural Blind Spot Location on Perimetry. <i>Scientific Reports</i> , 2017, 7, 6143.	3.3	10
46	Screening for glaucoma in populations at high risk: The eye screening New York project. <i>Cogent Medicine</i> , 2017, 4, 1367059.	0.7	14
47	Technology and the Glaucoma Suspect. , 2016, 57, OCT80.		23
48	African Descent and Glaucoma Evaluation Study (ADAGES). <i>Ophthalmology</i> , 2016, 123, 1476-1483.	5.2	33
49	Visual Field Change and 24-Hour IOP-Related Profile with a Contact Lens Sensor in Treated Glaucoma Patients. <i>Ophthalmology</i> , 2016, 123, 744-753.	5.2	79
50	Why Do People (Still) Go Blind from Glaucoma?. <i>Translational Vision Science and Technology</i> , 2015, 4, 1.	2.2	118
51	Risk Factors for Optic Disc Hemorrhage in the Low-Pressure Glaucoma Treatment Study. <i>American Journal of Ophthalmology</i> , 2014, 157, 945-952.e1.	3.3	70
52	A New Index to Monitor Central Visual Field Progression in Glaucoma. <i>Ophthalmology</i> , 2014, 121, 1531-1538.	5.2	11
53	Visual field progression outcomes in glaucoma subtypes. <i>Acta Ophthalmologica</i> , 2013, 91, 288-293.	1.1	53
54	A Validated Risk Calculator to Assess Risk and Rate of Visual Field Progression in Treated Glaucoma Patients. , 2012, 53, 2702.		39

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
55	Effect of Treatment on the Rate of Visual Field Change in the Ocular Hypertension Treatment Study Observation Group. , 2012, 53, 1704.		50
56	Risk Factors for Visual Field Progression in the Low-pressure Glaucoma Treatment Study. American Journal of Ophthalmology, 2012, 154, 702-711.	3.3	107
57	Clinical use of multifocal visual-evoked potentials in a glaucoma practice: a prospective study. Documenta Ophthalmologica, 2012, 125, 1-9.	2.2	10
58	Beta-zone parapapillary atrophy and multifocal visual evoked potentials in eyes with glaucomatous optic neuropathy. Documenta Ophthalmologica, 2011, 123, 43-50.	2.2	3
59	Does structural damage precede functional loss in glaucoma?. Expert Review of Ophthalmology, 2010, 5, 451-462.	0.6	0